Call for Projects Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant Project Information Form (PIF)

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1.	Project Title:	Master Water Report and	d Information System
2.	Project Sponsor(s):	San Luis Obispo County F	Flood Control and Water Conservation District
3.	Eligible Applicant Type:	Public Agency	▼
4.	IRWM Project Region(s):	San Luis Obispo County	
5.		•	rantaged Communities (DAC) and/or Economically Distressed
	Areas (EDA) (minimum 75% ☐ Yes ☑ No		ohy)? D.8 and/or D.9. Show on map if applicable.
6.			ovide benefits to a Tribe (minimum 75% by population or
•	geography) as defined by Pr		The series to a final (minimum 7575 by population of
	☐ Yes ☑ No	If yes, please complete D	0.10. Show on map if applicable.
7.		e location of project, proje	ect benefit and/or service area, and other applicable
0	information.		
8.	Funding Category: DAC Implementation Pro	niect	
	☑ General Implementation		
9.	Project Type: Decision support		▼ Other:
	Select most applicable proje	ect type. See Section II.C. o	of the 2019 Guidelines for full description of eligible project
	types. If "Other" is selected	, please write in the space	e provided the proposed project type.
B.	SELECTED ELIGIBILITY REC	OUIREMENTS	
	•		vill be adopted prior to anticipated Agreement Execution?
	✓ Yes ☐ No		
2.	• •	critical need(s) and/or pric	ority(ies) of the IRWM Region as identified in the IRWM
	Plan?	16	
_	✓ Yes ☐ No	If yes, complete part a:	t address? Identify and explain.
			and Watershed, Groundwater, and Water Resources
	anagement goals, including:		,
Ma	aximize Accesibility of water,	Adequate Water Supply, [Develop/Implement Water Management Plans,
Со	nservation/Water Use Efficie	ncy, Pan for Climate CHan	nge Vulnerabilties of Water Supply, Conserve Balance of
	•		ons, Support Local Groundwater Management, Public
	Outreach on IRWM Implementation, Support Local Control, Agency Alignment on Water Resources Efforts, and		
Co	llaboration between Urban, F	lural and Ag.	

San Luis Obispo Region Call for Projects	3/22/202
Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant Project Information Form (PIF) 2. Does the project base on expected useful life consistent with Covernment Code \$16727 (generally 15 years)	
3. Does the project have an expected useful life consistent with Government Code §16727 (generally 15 years	-\2 If
	<i>))</i> : 11
not, explain why this requirement is not applicable.	
Yes, the project is expected to be in use for at least 15 years.	
4. Does the project address and/or adapt to the effects of climate change? Does the project address the climate change?	
	ite
change vulnerabilities assessed in the IRWM Plan?	
✓ Yes □ No If yes, please explain below.	
Yes, the project addresses multiple climate change vulnerabilities assessed in the IRWM plan, including:	
Drought Sensitive Water Systems, Water Supply from Coastal Aquifiers, Inability to store carryover supply surply	luses,
coastal infrastructure in low-lying areas, and aging flood protection infrastructure.	
5. Does the project contribute to regional water self-reliance?	
✓ Yes □ No If yes, please explain below.	
	.1
Absolutely. This Data and Information Management System will allow the District to track, project and scenario	-
for water supply and demand volumes, trends, issues and projects. These issues and projects include State Wat	er
(contract extension, water management tools, etc.), possible expansion of our local storage (reservoirs), planni	ng of
new regional water supplies (i.e. desal), and more.	
Section 1997 and the section of the	

Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant
Project Information Form (PIF)

	Project information Form (PIF)
6.	Does the project provide a benefit that meets at least one of the Statewide Priorities as defined in the 2019
	IRWM Grant Program Guidelines?
	☑ Yes □ No If yes, please identify below.
	2. Increase Regional Self-Reliance and Integrated Water Management Across All Levels of Government
7.	Will CEQA be completed within 12 months of Final Award? Yes NA, project is exempt under CEQA NA, not a project under CEQA NA, project benefits DAC/EDA/Tribe (minimum 75%), or a Tribe is a local project sponsor
8.	 ✓ No Will all permits necessary to begin construction be acquired within 12 months of Final Award? ✓ Yes ✓ NA, project benefits DAC/EDA/Tribe (minimum 75%), or a Tribe is a local project sponsor ✓ No

Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant Project Information Form (PIF)

C. WORK PLAN, BUDGET, and SCHEDULE SUMMARY

1. Project Description: Provide a brief project description summarizing major components, objectives, goals, and intended outcomes/benefits (quantitative and qualitative).

The Public Works Department, in coordination with the Planning Department, is developing a tool to improve the District's and County's approach to the collection, storage, analysis and reporting of data and information needed for water-related planning efforts. This Decision Support Tool will facilitate a comprehensive update to the Master Water Report (MWR), which includes integrating a recently completed Regional Water Infrastructure Resiliency Plan. This project would develop the data and information management and reporting tools needed to facilitate this and future updates to the MWR as well as other analysis needs, such as the capacity of a particular area to accommodate housing and accessory dwelling units.

This Master Water Report and Information Management System Development Project (Project) has the following goals:

- Create a system, with web and GIS based elements, to automate tracking and reporting of information and data needed to analyze current and future water supply and demand, and report conditions and activities, to be hosted and managed by the District
- •Track water supply, conservation, recycled water and other water-related projects and programs throughout District
- Provide most up-to-date data and analysis of current and future water supply and demand balances to inform decision-makers and the public
- •Generate bi-annual countywide water resources reports
- Generate incidental water snapshot reports for specific agency or areas in SLO County as needed.
- Data and reports to be scalable via multiple scales, i.e. Countywide, Water Planning Areas (6), Watersheds (up to 25), etc.
- Convert the Master Water Report to a "living document"

Project Components include: Project Management, Stakeholder Engagement, Data and Information Audit, Data and Information Management System Alternatives Analysis and Selection, Develop Data and Information Management System, Develop Reporting Tools, Update the Master Water Report, including an Operations Model.

Budget: Provide cost estimates for each Budget Category listed in the table below. (Required for Pre-Application Material Submittal; not required for Final Application Submittal)

	Table 1 - Project Budget				
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
	Category	Cost Share: Non- State Fund Source	Requested Grant Amount	Other Cost Share (including other State Sources)	Total Cost
(a)	Project	15,000	0	0	15,000
	Administration	<u> </u>			<u> </u>
(b)	Land Purchase/	0	0	0	0
	Easement	J J	<u> </u>	ŭ	<u> </u>
(c)	Planning/Design				
	/Engineering	0	0	0	0
	/Environmental	O	O	O	O
	Documentation				
(d)	Construction/	991 264	EEO 000	390,003	1 711 267
	Implementation	881,364	550,000	280,003	1,711,367
(e)	Grand Total (Sum				
1	rows (a) through	896,364	550,000	280,003	1,726,367
	(d) for each				

Note: Provide information or other documentation to support the cost estimate in a separate attachment. Identify the source of all cost share and other funds. If other funds are not used, describe efforts to obtain other funding and/or why other funding sources were not used.

Project currently has approved District funds of \$250,000 for consutlant costs, approved staff time for project management, and Regional Early Action Planning (REAP) grant for \$283,003. County staff expects the remaining finances to be completed with BOS approval in Summer 2022.

Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant
Project Information Form (PIF)

3.	Cost Share Waiver Requested (DAC or EDA)? ☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, continue below:
	Cost Share Waiver Justification: Describe what percentage of the proposed project area encompasses a DAC/EDA,
	how the community meets the definition of a DAC/EDA, and the need of the DAC/EDA that the project addresses.
	In order to receive a cost share waiver, the applicant must demonstrate that the project will provide benefits
	(minimum 25% by population or geography) that address a need of a DAC and/or EDA.
<ap< td=""><td>pproximately 250 words></td></ap<>	pproximately 250 words>

4. Schedule: Include reasonable estimates of the start and end dates for each Budget Category listed in Table 1 -Project Budget. (Required for Pre-Application Material Submittal; not required for Final Application Submittal)

	Table 2 - Project Schedule				
	Category	(a) Start Date	(b) End Date		
(a)	Direct Project Administration	11/1/2021	6/30/2025		
(b)	Land Purchase/Easement	n/a	n/a		
(c)	Planning/Design/Engineering/Environmental Documentation	n/a	n/a		
(d)	Construction/Implementation	6/8/2022	3/31/2025		

D. OTHER PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Provide a narrative for project justification. If applicable, include references to supporting documentation such as models, studies, engineering reports, etc. Include any other information that supports the justification for this

Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant Project Information Form (PIF)

Call for Projects

project, including how the project can achieve the claimed level of benefits.

The District's role is to provide periodic updates regarding water supply conditions throughout the County. This includes a land-use based analysis of current and future projected demand for water, an analysis of the supplies available to meet demands, identification of deficiencies and identification of strategies to address the deficiencies. The results are shared via the production of a Countywide Master Water Report (MWR), which is organized by Water Planning Area (WPA). This information is then integrated into our region's Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Plan. The County uses the information from the MWR and IRWM Plan to make land use decisions via its Resource Management System, Community Plans and other processes.

The data and information that the District needs to fulfill its role (e.g. land use data, demand data, production data, water duty factors, etc.) is currently sourced from a variety of locations and systems, and stored in a variety of locations and systems. The same data and information is used by a variety of entities in the County to conduct specific analysis and/or develop specific reports (e.g. EIRs, Urban Water Management Plans, Resource Capacity Studies, Sphere of Influence reviews, Regional Housing Needs Allocation Plans, Groundwater Management Reports, etc.). Data and information generated by these efforts would also benefit the District and County when it is needed for planning updates and to facilitate consistency when appropriate.

County staff from the Public Works and Planning Departments pursued grant funding to help offset the cost of developing a tool to improve the District's and County's approach to the collection, storage, analysis and reporting of data and information needed for water-related planning efforts. Staff from the Public Works Department is leading this project because a comprehensive update to the Master Water Report is also needed that will also integrate a recently completed Regional Water Infrastructure Resiliency Plan. This project would develop the data and information management and reporting tools needed to facilitate this and future updates to the MWR as well as other analysis needs, such as the capacity of a particular area to accommodate housing and accessory dwelling units.

Reference documents include: 2012 Master Water Report, 2019 IRWM Plan, Final Draft of the Regional Water Infrastructure Resiliency Plan. Available at slocounty.ca.gov/water

The tool intends to meet these outcomes by reporting / providing the following outputs, which will be able to be updated as additional data is provided over time: Supply and Demand Tables, at various scales; Countywide Precipitation reports; Monthly Rain and Reservoir Report; Specific Area reports (i.e. County Planning / Land-use Areas); Project tracking (Water Supply / Recycled Water / Interties / Etc.); Annual or bi-annual reports; Incidental agency / watershed / subarea report; Watershed / Drainage tracking and reporting; Wastewater tracking and reporting; Recycled Water tracking and reporting; Drought Reports; Groundwater Basin and Watershed computer model updates/data needs;

2. Project Benefits Table:

Table 3 - Project Benefits					
Anticipated Useful Life of	Project (years):	20			
	Primary (Required)				
Type of Benefit Claimed: Water Supply Reliabili		▼	Benefit Units*:	Other	
Secondary (Optional)					
ype of Benefit Claimed: Operational Efficiency ▼ Benefit Units*: Other ▼					

Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation	Gran
-------------------------------------	------

u	ıι		_	_	_		
1	n		:+	1.45	mation	Г	/DIE
ı	_	rn	IPCT	Intor	matinn	-Orm	IPIFI
				111101			

Physical Benefits (At project completion or lifetime, as appropriate)				
(a)	(b)	(c)		
Benefit	Added Physical Benefit Description	Quantitative Benefit		
Primary	<15 words maximum>			
Secondary	<15 words maximum>			
	Qualitative Benefits (For Decision Support Tools, please	describe non-physical benefits.)		
a data and information s and more interconnecte rainfall and runoff, State need to understand how	ct are best grouped into "Water Supply Reliability" and "Oper system to ensure water supply reliability for all of San Luis Ob d, a deeper and more dynamic water data system is needed. Water allocations, groundwater basin management and more our supplies are connected, affected by various decisions and of this system are listed in the last paragraph of D.1).	ispo County. As our water sources and systems are more To combat effects of supply constraints to changes in re, the County, purveyors, agencies and other stakeholders		
Comments: [Include r	narrative on additional benefits, as warranted.]			
 the benefit claimed: For water supply primate for water quality, either for flood damage reformed. For habitat improve For fishery benefits, 	licant to convert or modify Benefit Claimed and/or Benefit Units. Who duced, saved, or recycled, enter acre-feet per year (AFY) inter constituent concentration reduced in mg/L eduction, enter inundated acres reduced in acres id, restored or protected, enter habitat restored in acres enter increased fishery flow rate in cubic feet per second (cfs) on, enter number of species benefited	ere applicable, select one of the following units that corresponds to		
	sed project provide benefits to multiple IRWM reging area, please provide the information requeste No If yes, provide a description of the be	d in the 2019 Guidelines, Section 1.A.		

4.	Provide a narrative on cost	considerations. For example, were other alternatives to achieve the same types and
	amounts of physical benefi	ts as the proposed project evaluated? Provide a justification as to why the project was
	• •	sed project is not the lowest cost alternative, why is it the preferred alternative? Are
		s that the proposed project provides from a cost perspective?)
Thi		developing another static, point-in-time report. For a similar cost, we are getting a
1	• •	tes and changes to water supply and demand as things evolve and change in the
1 '	·	t able to provide this. The chosen solution will also be able to be hosted on-site and
1		·
1	•	nich will save costs in the long run since annual contracts will not be required with third
par	ty(s) to maintain and opera	te the system.
5.		a contaminant listed in AB 1249?
	☐ Yes ☑ No	If yes, complete parts b and c:
	b. Describe how the project	t helps address the contamination.
<ni< td=""><td>trate, Arsenic, Perchlorate,</td><td>or Hexvalent Chromium></td></ni<>	trate, Arsenic, Perchlorate,	or Hexvalent Chromium>
	c. Does the project provide	safe drinking water to a small disadvantaged community?
	□ Yes ☑ No	If yes, provide an explanation on how the project benefits a small disadvantaged
		community as defined in the 2019 IRWM Guidelines.
< D.	AC with population less than	
``	te with population less than	1 10,000 persons

Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant
Project Information

1 /	Project Information Form (PIF)
• •	provide safe, clean, affordable, and accessible water adequate for human consumption, cooking, oses (consistent with AB 685) to meet a specific need(s) of a community?
	If yes, please describe.
support the integ use, and sanitation	employ new or innovative technologies or practices, including decision support tools that ration of multiple jurisdictions, including, but not limited to, water supply, flood control, land n? If yes, please describe.
integrate water suppl have worked closely	tool will be unique to and created specifically for San Luis Obispo County and will absolutely y, groundwater, recycled water and more from cities, CSD's, GSA's, the State, and more. We with our land use agency partners (County Plannign and Building, Local Agency Formation re) to ensure the scope and reporting of this tool will meet their planning and water-based-
	vides benefits (75% by population or geography) to a DAC, explain the need of the DAC and how ddress the described need. Explain how the area/community meets the definition of a DAC.
n/a	

Call for Projects Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant Project Information Form (PIF) (750) Information or geography) to an EDA, explain the need of the EDA and how

<i>J</i> .	the project will address the described need. Explain how the area/community meets the definition of an EDA.
n/a	
10	If the project provides benefits (75% by population or geography) to a Tribe or a Tribe is the sponsor of the
n/a	project, explain the need of the Tribe and how the project will address the described need.
11.	Does the project sponsor have legal access rights, easements, or other access capabilities to the property to implement the project?
	☐ Yes If yes, please describe.
	 ✓ NA If NA, please describe why physical access to a property is not needed.
	□ No If no, please provide a clear and concise narrative with a schedule to obtain necessary access.
Bei	ng a decision support tool, the project does not have property easement/acquisition needs.

Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant Project Information Form (PIF)

Call for Projects

E. ENVIRONMENTAL

1. Please fill out the CEQA Timeline Table below, if applicable:

Table 4 - CEQA Timeline			
CEQA STEP	COMPLETE? (y/n)	ESTIMATED DATE TO COMPLETE	
Initial Study			
Notice of Preparation			
Draft EIR/MND/ND			
Public Review			
Final EIR/MND/ND			
Adoption of Final EIR/MND/ND			
Notice of Determination			

a. If additional explanation or justification of the timeline is needed, please describe below (optional).		
CEQA n/a for this project.		

2. Permit Acquisition Plan:

List all permits needed to complete the project. If the project does not provide benefits to a DAC, EDA, or Tribe (min 75%), all permits needed to begin construction must be acquired within 12 months of Final Award.

No.	Type of Permit	Permitting Agency	Date Acquired or Anticipated
1.	No permits necessary.		
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
n.			

For each permit not yet acquired, describe the following:

No.	a. Actions taken to date (include dates of any key meetings, consultations, submittals, etc.)	b. Any issues or obstacles that may delay acquisition of permit
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
n.		

3. Permitting Checklist: This checklist is provided as a courtesy for documentation purposes. Not all permits which may apply are listed. (Required for Pre-Application Material Submittal; not required for Final Application Submittal)

Call for Projects Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant Project Information Form (PIF)

a. Does the project involve any activities that may affect federally or state listed threatened or endangered species or their critical habitat that are known, or have a potential, to occur on-site, in the surrounding area, or in the service area? (i.e. Federal Endangered Species Act Section 7 Consultation and Incidental Take Authorization and Section 10 Incidental Take Permit, California Endangered Species Act Permit, and/or ESA & CESA Consistency Determination) Yes No If yes, please explain:				
 b. Would the proposed project work in, over, or under navigable waters of the US or discharge dredged or fill material in waters of the US? (i.e. Rivers & Harbors Act Section 10 Permit and/or Clean Water Act Section 404 Permit) 				
☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, please explain:				
c. Will the proposed project have the potential to affect historical, archaeological, or cultural resources? (i.e. National Historic Preservation Act and/or State Historic Preservation Officer Consultation) ☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, please explain:				
d. Will the proposed project discharge into a water of the US? (i.e. Clean Water Act Section 401 and/or 404 Permit) Yes No If yes, please explain:				
e. Will the proposed project divert the natural flow of a river, stream, or lake? (i.e. Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement)				
☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, please explain:				