From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:13 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Kelly Stainbrook <kstainbrook@pasoschools.org>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 5:40 AM

To: +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; +darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us; Redistricting

<Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT] Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north. Kelly Stainbrook, San Miguel.

--

Kelly Stainbrook
Maintenance, Operations and Transportation Director
Paso Robles Joint Unified School District
kstainbrook@pasoschools.org



This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager.

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:13 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Kevin Erickson < kevin93257@yahoo.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 5:43 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Kevin Erickson Paso Robles

Sent from my iPad

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:13 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Luther Johnson < lutherj35@yahoo.com> **Sent:** Monday, November 22, 2021 5:43 AM **To:** Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north. Luther Johnson, Atascadero

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:13 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Bob W <bgwpinc@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 6:41 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org;

+darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Robert Wilcox Paso Robles

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:14 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Julie Phillips <juls131365@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 6:46 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly.

Your Name Julie Phillips City Morro Bay

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:14 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Julie Phillips <juls131365@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 6:46 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps have more voices with the Coastal Commission. No one supervisors should represent only the coast as together the supervisors can be more effective.

Your Name

City

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:14 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Connie Clements <cclements0071@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:13 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org;

+darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps bring together the north coast and provides a voice to the numerous types of Ag, Ranching and Vineyards together to help protect water rights.

Connie Clements San Luis Obispo County

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:14 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: sdegerer < sdegerer@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:14 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear supervisors,

Thank you for the many hours of hard work you have put into redistricting our county. Narrowing the possible maps down to two is a big step towards bringing resolution to multiple issues that arose as a result of the district boundaries that were decided upon last time.

Between the remaining maps I ask you to choice the Patten Map. Cal Poly should not be included above the grade in district 5, it should be included within the city it exists. Cal Poly is clearly embedded in the city of San Luis Obispo. The Patten map would also reunite Templeton.

Thank you for your time and efforts.

Sara Semmes Atascadero District 2

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:14 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Connie Clements <cclements0071@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:14 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org;

+darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly.

Connie Clements San Luis Obispo

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:14 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Allen Duckworth <duck_pen@hotmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:28 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <arnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map unites Templeton, keeps Cal Poly in the San Louis Obispo City area and helps bring together the north coast and provides a voice to the numerous types of agriculture to help protect water rights.

Allen Duckworth Paso Robles

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:14 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Allen Duckworth <duck_pen@hotmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:29 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <ippeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <arnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Penelope Duckworth Paso Robles

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:14 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Mark Schmitt <1mschmitt@att.net> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:50 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org;

+darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps have more voices with the Coastal Commission. No one supervisors should represent only the coast as together the supervisors can be more effective. Additionally, Richard Patten's map is the only map that follows the State guidelines as defined in the Fair Maps Act. Mark Schmitt, Pismo Beach.

Sent from my iPad

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:15 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Cissie Pace <cissie1955@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:52 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org;

+darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps have more voices with the Coastal Commission. No one supervisors should represent only the coast as together the supervisors can be more effective.

Cissie Pace Arroyo Grande

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:15 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Maps

From: kim lachance <luckydanes@sbcglobal.net> **Sent:** Monday, November 22, 2021 7:55 AM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Having reviewed the 'final' 2 maps, I would hope that the Supervisors would move forward with the *Richard Patten* map.

Thank you for your time and attention, Respectfully Kim Lachance SLO County Resident and Voter

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:15 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Sandy Gong <sandygong647@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:56 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district.

Your Name

City

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:15 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: laura mordaunt.org < laura@mordaunt.org> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:56 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <arnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us> **Subject:** [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly.

The other map cuts up Atascadero and once again that is not OK per the 5 stated priority rules.

Laura Mordaunt San Luis Obispo

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:15 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Sandy Gong <sandygong647@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:57 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Sandy Gong Arroyo Grande

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:15 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Sandy Gong <sandygong647@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:58 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district.

Sandy Gong Arroyo Grande

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:15 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Phil Mordaunt <phil@mordaunt.org> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:59 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <arnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly.

The other map is the same as Map A that was already rejected so no the 2nd map is out.

Phil Mordaunt

San Luis Obispo

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:16 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Ruth Ooi <ruthooi@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:59 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear sir,

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps have more voices with the Coastal Commission. No one supervisors should represent only the coast as together the supervisors can be more effective.

Regards, Ruth Cambria

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:16 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Noah Cooper <noahcpr71@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:00 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north. Thank you, Noah Cooper-

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:16 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Noah Cooper <noahcpr71@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:00 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >; John Peschong < jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie < jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie < jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us @rpslo.org; Debbie < jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us & jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us & jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us & jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us & jpeschong@co

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly. Noah Cooper, Paso Robles.

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:16 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Noah Cooper <noahcpr71@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:01 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north. Noah Cooper, Paso Robles.

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:16 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Susan Renteria <susanrenteria@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:02 AM

To: +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; +darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us; Redistricting

<Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Susan Renteria Santa Margarita

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:16 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Noah Cooper <noahcpr71@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:02 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district. Noah Cooper, Paso Robles.

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:16 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Susan Renteria <susanrenteria@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:02 AM

To: +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; +darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us; Redistricting

<Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps bring together the north coast and provides a voice to the numerous types of Ag, Ranching and Vineyards together to help protect water rights.

Susan Renteria Santa Margarita

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:16 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Noah Cooper <noahcpr71@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:03 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <arnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps have more voices with the Coastal Commission. No one supervisors should represent only the coast as together the supervisors can be more effective. Noah Cooper, Paso Robles.

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:16 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Noah Cooper <noahcpr71@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:03 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north. Noah Cooper, Paso Robles.

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:17 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Noah Cooper <noahcpr71@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:04 AM

 $To: Redistricting < Redistricting @co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong < jpeschong @co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie \\ Debbie < jpeschong &co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie < jpeschong &co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie < jpeschong &co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.ca.us@rpslo.ca.us@rpslo.co.us@rpslo.ca.us@rpslo$

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.
My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps bring together the north coast and provides a voice to the numerous types of Ag, Ranching and Vineyards together to help protect water rights. Noah Cooper, Paso Robles.

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:17 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Noah Cooper <noahcpr71@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:05 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map recognizes that this county is united in tourism and aligns communities to highlight their assets. Noah Cooper, Paso Robles.

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:17 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: David R. Duringer <info@lawnews.tv> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:31 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold < darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton < lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map gives us more voices with the Coastal Commission. No one supervisor should represent only the coast as together the supervisors can be more effective.

David Duringer Morro Bay

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:17 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Sandy Gong <sandygong647@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:37 AM

To: +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; +darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us; Redistricting

<Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district. Your Name City

Maria G. Brown	
From: Sent: To: Subject:	Redistricting Monday, November 22, 2021 9:17 AM Maria G. Brown FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021
Sent: Monday, N To: Redistricting <darnold@co.slo< th=""><th>nont <dave@adlerbelmontgroup.com> lovember 22, 2021 9:00 AM <redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Debbie Arnold o.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us> edistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021</lcompton@co.slo.ca.us></jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us></redistricting@co.slo.ca.us></dave@adlerbelmontgroup.com></th></darnold@co.slo<>	nont <dave@adlerbelmontgroup.com> lovember 22, 2021 9:00 AM <redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Debbie Arnold o.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us> edistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021</lcompton@co.slo.ca.us></jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us></redistricting@co.slo.ca.us></dave@adlerbelmontgroup.com>
ATTENTION: This	email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.
Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.	
Dave Belmont Templeton Ca.	

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:18 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Kathleen Goble <kgoble@co.slo.ca.us> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:15 AM To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: sdegerer < sdegerer@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:14 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <arnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear supervisors,

Thank you for the many hours of hard work you have put into redistricting our county. Narrowing the possible maps down to two is a big step towards bringing resolution to multiple issues that arose as a result of the district boundaries that were decided upon last time.

Between the remaining maps I ask you to choice the Patten Map. Cal Poly should not be included above the grade in district 5, it should be included within the city it exists. Cal Poly is clearly embedded in the city of San Luis Obispo. The Patten map would also reunite Templeton.

Thank you for your time and efforts.

Sara Semmes Atascadero District 2

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 10:16 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Carole <onegoodgal@juno.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:23 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >; John Peschong < jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie < jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie < jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us @rpslo.org; Debbie < jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us & jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us & jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us & jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us & jpeschong@co

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps have more voices with the Coastal Commission. No one supervisors should represent only the coast as together the supervisors can be more effective.

Carole Good Arroyo Grande

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 10:49 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Mike Tannler <mtannler@att.net> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 10:20 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps have more voices with the Coastal Commission. No one supervisors should represent only the coast as together the supervisors can be more effective.

Your Name
City

Sent from my iPad

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 10:49 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Ryan L <RyanL@centralcoastpropane.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 10:29 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Ryan Lindberg Templeton

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 10:50 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: MICHELE NORWOOD <michele451@msn.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 10:41 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold < darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton < lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My husband and I feel that the logical choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district.

Michele and David Norwood Arroyo Grande, CA

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:28 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT] Please Retain Existing District Lines within the County of San Luis Obispo

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 10:56 AM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: FW: [EXT]Please Retain Existing District Lines within the County of San Luis Obispo

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: judy Vick < vent: Friday, November 19, 2021 7:03 PM

To: Board of Supervisors < <u>Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us</u>> **Cc:** secretary board of supervisors < <u>sbaker@co.slo.ca.us</u>>

Subject: [EXT] Please Retain Existing District Lines within the County of San Luis Obispo

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To be read during the County Board of Supervisors hearing on district lines Tuesday, November 30th, 2021:

Dear Board of Supervisors for the County of San Luis Obispo,

I am urging you to **retain the existing district lines**, which are fully compliant with election laws. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest and party registration.

There is no appropriate reason that serves the people of this County, to re-draw the district lines.

Sincerely,

Judy Vick

Nipomo

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:28 AM

To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 10:56 AM **To:** Redistricting Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: FW: [EXT] Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Roger Heathcote < rfheathcote@gmail.com Sent: Saturday, November 20, 2021 7:22 AM

To: Board of Supervisors < <u>Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us</u>>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please keep the existing district lines for voting.

R.F. Heathcote Nipomo

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:28 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 10:56 AM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Saturday, November 20, 2021 11:31 AM

To: Board of Supervisors < <u>Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us</u>>

Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Tom Shumard

U.S. phone number:

Your

Message: After spending all day attending and watching the 11/19 redistricting meeting I was deeply disturbed that the majority board members chose to ignore public comments supporting Maps A and B and blocked any attempt to include them for final consideration. It appears that the "Patton" map may have been predetermined by the majority to be the Board's choice and all others blocked from meaningful consideration. It appears that any further participation in this process is a waste of time and energy given that the final outcome appears to be a foregone conclusion. To not even allow Map A or B to make the final cut to 3 seems to be a dereliction of duty.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 092918

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2819

Form inserted: 11/20/2021 11:30:21 AM

Form updated: 11/20/2021 11:30:21 AM

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:28 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Please vote for Plan A

----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 10:57 AM To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us> Subject: FW: [EXT]Please vote for Plan A

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cd51e717d84404997798b08d9adee2ee6%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637732060762861866%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=ey4c113sP29LwcnZKthJ%2BHBSHYD1K1b9O2tzHBdd5VI%3D&reserved=0

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

----Original Message-----

From: Tracy Priestley <217miles@gmail.com> Sent: Saturday, November 20, 2021 1:48 PM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; email@ncacslo.org

Subject: [EXT]Please vote for Plan A

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The sense of community in Cambria is strong, with a like-minded approach to environmental conservation. The Plan A map aligns with the specific needs of our coastal community.

Paso Robles is in a growth spurt that does not align with our limited expansion, and a supervisor not living in a coastal town will not be in a position to address our concerns with the same regard.

It is my sincere hope that we can continue to be a thriving part of the current North Coast representation.

Thank you.

Tracy Priestley Cambria, CA

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:28 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Re-districting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 10:57 AM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: FW: [EXT]Re-districting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: lanahollady@gmail.com <lanahollady@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, November 20, 2021 3:05 PM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Re-districting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors:

As a 31 year resident of San Luis Obispo County, I urge you to retain the current district lines, which are fully compliant with election laws. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration.

Sincerely,

Lana Hollady Richmond

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:28 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting: Please address key issues to consider in evaluating the two maps

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:01 AM **To:** Redistricting Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting: Please address key issues to consider in evaluating the two maps

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Don Maruska < don@donmaruska.com > Sent: Saturday, November 20, 2021 7:07 PM

To: Board of Supervisors < <u>Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us</u>>; Redistricting < <u>Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us</u>> **Subject:** [EXT]Redistricting: Please address key issues to consider in evaluating the two maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Madame Chair and members of the Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for the time and attention you gave to the redistricting issues at the November 19 hearing. I appreciated listening and learning from each of you and other speakers.

The two maps you found of value to advance for further analysis have major differences and pose important issues for your discussion, deliberation, and decision. Unfortunately, we did not hear significant analysis and discussion from Board members prior to the vote. Thus, the public is left seeking further understanding about your rationale.

Here are relevant points that I respectfully request that you, the County staff, and redistricting consultant specifically address in the analyses and presentations you prepare in advance of the November 30 hearing and in the discussions you have prior to taking action on a final map.

Concerns about the Patten Map 74786 that need to be substantively addressed.

1. This map prioritizes jurisdictional boundaries over communities of interest, which is exactly the reverse priority established in Election Code 21500.

- 2. It separates Morro Bay from Los Osos, two communities that have many similarities and common interests. For example, they share the shoreline boundaries of the Morro Bay National Estuary. While severing Los Osos from Morro Bay, the plan links Los Osos to Avila Beach in a convoluted, newly configured district.
- 3. To make the Los Osos to Pismo Beach and Avila Beach linkage contiguous, this district encompasses a significant portion of the City of San Luis Obispo but along new district lines that are radically different from existing ones. These changes to existing San Luis Obispo district boundaries are confusing and not necessary. The proposed lines force disparate communities of interest into a new district, a result precisely opposite the intentions of state redistricting law.
- 4. The Patten map divides the historic north coast district among three radically re-shaped districts such that Los Osos ends up in one district, Morro Bay in another, and Cayucos, Cambria and San Simeon in yet a third. These communities have a long history of common interests, inextricably linked by their coastal environmental and economic features (e.g., tourism) and, for Morro Bay, Cayucos, Cambria and San Simeon especially, their sharing of the Highway 1 corridor. The connections and interests among these communities are much stronger than interests with inland areas.
- 5. This map appears to remove Oceano from the district with Nipomo, ignoring that these two unincorporated communities have several shared interests and issues related to county governance. These areas comprise a much stronger and obvious community of interest than Nipomo does with areas adjacent to San Luis Obispo.
- 6. Furthermore, Oceano and Nipomo have significant Latino populations; putting Oceano and Nipomo into separate districts will dilute Latino representation and influence.
- 7. In combining the City of San Luis Obispo and the City of Morro Bay to create a new District 3, the map overlays County governance on two communities with active incorporated city governance while diverting supervisorial attention from larger unincorporated areas needing active County attention.
- 8. The major changes in district boundaries will disrupt citizens' opportunities to vote. For example, giving residents of Atascadero (currently in District 5, Supervisor Arnold) who were scheduled to vote in 2024 a vote in District 2 (Supervisor Gibson) in 2022. Meanwhile, residents of Los Osos (currently in District 2, Supervisor Gibson), who were scheduled to vote in 2022 would not have a vote until 2024 in a separate, newly configured district.
- 9. All of these proposed modifications raise serious concerns about the map and its adequacy to satisfy the requirements of the Election Code.
- 10. How would you see this map helping citizens of the County overcome the current divisions and lack of trust that undermine our capacity to work together for mutual benefit?

Benefits of SLO County 2030 Map 74760 that address problems with Patten Map and concerns about the current districts

- 1. This map preserves the major communities of interest, especially those encompassing large portions of unincorporated areas (North Coast, Nipomo and Oceano) that are heavily dependent upon County government for services and thus aligns with the priorities of Election Code 21500.
- 2. No CSDs will have split representation. This ensures that their residents have consistent County representation.
- 3. Only two incorporated cities will have district divisions and these have rationales based upon underlying communities of interest with their associated districts.
- 4. Each district will have an agricultural component in recognition of this important element that's active across the County.
- 5. The map will have dramatically fewer disruptions to voting opportunities for citizens. More citizens will have an opportunity to vote when and in the district where they expected to vote.
- 6. The map has lower maximum population deviation than the Patten Map (4.11% vs. 4.85%).
- 7. The map raises fewer concerns about partisan intent, and, thus, is less likely to run afoul of legal challenges that would unnecessarily divert time and resources from important issues and services the County needs to address.
- 8. The map offers a path to bring our county back to working together rather than dividing us into isolated enclaves that would heighten the difficulties we already face.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration and efforts to be of service to the County. I'm hopeful we can work together for the benefit of all.

Sincerely yours,

Don Maruska Los Osos, CA 93402

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:28 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Retain Current district lines

----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:01 AM To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us> Subject: FW: [EXT]Retain Current district lines

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C57862daaa3c34d00862308d9adee3d91%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637732061001296833%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&p;sdata=xkUXi7a74XzGylLplUVtxrufL3GuJ4PhJxjU7Pjt7Qw%3D&p;reserved=0Direct Line: (805)781-5498

----Original Message----

From: Cynthia McCabe <cyncynlou@gmail.com> Sent: Saturday, November 20, 2021 9:52 PM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Retain Current district lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear supervisors, we the undersigned, urge you to retain the current district lines, which are fully compliant with election laws. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration.

If you opt for radically new district boundaries simply to secure partisan advantage, we are concerned that they will fuel an already divided and angry group. We have the opportunity to help quell the rage and divide in this country and even in this country. Do the right thing.

Thank you, Dan and Cynthia McCabe Los Osos, CA. 93402

Sent from my iPad

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:28 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:01 AM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us >

Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 8:43 AM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Donna Jones

U.S. phone number:

Your

Message: I wish to add my voice to the redistricting debate. There are only two choices in the several options. I strongly support plan B. My second choice is plan A. The Patten plan is not in keeping with the geographic interest of our county. Please keep partisan politics out of this process.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 262258

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2820

Form inserted: 11/21/2021 8:42:53 AM

Form updated: 11/21/2021 8:42:53 AM

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:29 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Please retain the current district lines

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:01 AM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: FW: [EXT]Please retain the current district lines

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Fidell, Linda < linda.fidell@csun.edu>
Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 10:47 AM

To: Board of Supervisors < <u>Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us</u>> **Subject:** [EXT]Please retain the current district lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The current district lines are fully compliant with election laws and efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration.

Linda Fidell

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:29 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]PRIORITY - GEOGRAPHICALLY CONTIGUOUS

----Original Message----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:02 AM To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: FW: [EXT]PRIORITY - GEOGRAPHICALLY CONTIGUOUS

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Ca6ac1ac2444b44afa3ba08d9adee4ca4%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637732061253690634%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTil6lk1haWwiLCJXVCl6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=YckTMmbikEwTtwib7rO4JZnuzSUvzfe0MIT2CdeJ%2Fo8%3D&reserved=0

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

----Original Message----

From: Toni Edwards <toni6600@sbcglobal.net> Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 11:06 AM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us> Subject: [EXT]PRIORITY - GEOGRAPHICALLY CONTIGUOUS

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As we know, and as was stated by County Counsel during the BoS Hearing on Redistricting, the state law lists "geographically contiguous" as the priority in drawing maps. So why is this absolute primary priority being ignored?

We know why. I do not remember who said it, but someone stated the actual intent out loud. Someone stated that keeping the North Coast together "gives the Democrats a 15% advantage". There is no place in the law that says that districts can be broken up due to political party. Voter registration is not to be used as a factor.

Someone stated the real reason.

Toni L. Edwards

Sent from my iPad

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:29 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]"GIVES THE DEMOCRATS A 15% ADVANTAGE"

----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:02 AM To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: FW: [EXT]"GIVES THE DEMOCRATS A 15% ADVANTAGE"

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cf77a51c8ca8848eb05f508d9adee52f4%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637732061359546654%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTil6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=iFxIO0cJVy7lur1VUIuBeerKJdruCFAWzG5dGvac7os%3D&reserved=0Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----

From: Toni Edwards <toni6600@sbcglobal.net> Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 11:11 AM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]"GIVES THE DEMOCRATS A 15% ADVANTAGE"

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Someone said the quiet part out loud for all of us to hear. Not just the quiet part, but the actual reason for the breaking up of the North Coast District.

Using voter registration for districting is the very definition of gerrymandering. It is illegal.

Toni L. Edwards

Sent from my iPad

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:29 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:02 AM **To:** Redistricting Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Karen Reed < kreedaren@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 12:05 PM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >; Board of Supervisors < Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us >; John Peschong < ipeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold < darnold@co.slo.ca.us >; Lynn Compton < cloompton@co.slo.ca.us >

Subject: [EXT] Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly with its community of interest.

Karen Reed Paso Robles, CA

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:29 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:04 AM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us >

Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 12:22 PM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Harold M Ferguson

Your Email:

U.S. phone number:

Message: Please do not accept the Patton map for the redistricting effort. This map is strongly biased in favor of one political party and your main job is to provide a map that has a balanced red/blue end result.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 075060

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2821

Form inserted: 11/21/2021 12:21:04 PM

Form updated: 11/21/2021 12:21:04 PM

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:29 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting maps

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:23 AM **To:** Redistricting Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting maps

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Bonnie Thompson < bthompson.xyz@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 3:24 PM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Having studied the so-called Patten map, I am appalled that it has made it to the final stage for consideration. This is clearly nothing but gerrymandering for political purposes. That is: this map is about retaining power, not about serving the county's residents.

Splitting up Los Osos and Morro Bay is literal insanity; the two towns have so much in common that "local" to a resident of either one can mean either town. And grouping Los Osos with Avila Beach is also ludicrous--it takes forty minutes even to get from one to the other. You might as well group Los Osos with San Miguel, for all the use this would do. Oh, wait--that's what's proposed for Cambria.

If you honestly believe in the ideals that are America, you will reject the Patten map.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely yours, Bonnie Thompson Los Osos

	4	

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:29 AM

To: Maria G. Brown **Subject:** FW: [EXT]redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:23 AM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: FW: [EXT] redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov

From: Rick Moss < rickmoss46@gmail.com Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 3:58 PM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT] redistricting

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear County Board of Supervisors,

I am very opposed to the Patten Map that was presented to the Board. It seems that there has not been a significant population shift in SLO County and the radical redrawing of district lines is unnecessary. I do, however, support the map titled SLO County 2030 Map ID #75760.

Richard Moss Leslie B. Moss

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:30 AM

To: Maria G. Brown **Subject:** FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:23 AM **To:** Redistricting Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: FW: [EXT] Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Jan Scott <<u>jans194@aol.com</u>>

Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 3:59 PM

To: Board of Supervisors < <u>Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us</u>>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I can't help but fear that the 3 conservatives are gonna screw the rest of us. We don't need big changes no matter how much they insist we do. We all know what's happening, or at least most of us do. It makes me feel helpless but maybe my vote will still matter, depending. But I know what this vote will be. It's too obvious.

J.S Scott

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:30 AM

To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:23 AM To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov

From: Anthony Huffaker < tihuffaker@att.net > Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 4:00 PM

To: Board of Supervisors < Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

November 21, 2021

San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors 1055 Monterey Street San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors:

In the matter of redistricting, I would much prefer that we maintained the current supervisorial district boundaries. If the board continues with its plan to choose one of the two plans before it, I believe that the Chamnber of Commerce plan is the more appropriate.

Sincerely,

Anthony Huffaker

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:30 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Fair redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:23 AM **To:** Redistricting Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: FW: [EXT]Fair redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov

From: Jen Holcombe < marjenho@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 5:36 PM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT] Fair redistricting

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board Members,

Please do NOT vote for the "Patten" map as it appears to be heavily biased against one particular political party. One of your primary job responsibilities is to assure that the map you select is Party neutral especially in the trust-lacking state of our country, and County, at this time. I would hope you would bend over backward to keep our County as Party neutral as possible.

Please vote to keep Map A or B as they seem to qualify best on all the points deemed fair for S.L.O County for the next ten years.

Thank you,
MarthaJane D. Holcombe
Los Osos, CA.
Voter registered Independent

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:30 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO County Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:24 AM **To:** Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us > **Subject:** FW: [EXT]SLO County Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Sheryl Huffaker <<u>shuffaker@att.net</u>> Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 6:34 PM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]SLO County Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear SLO County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to please retain the current county district lines. According to the 2020 census, the population of the county has not increased significantly since 2010, and there is no requirement to change the boundaries.

It's disappointing that the board did not follow the recommendations made by the <u>multi-departmental</u> County staff team, and did not appoint a nonpartisan citizen panel to give input on the district boundaries. It shakes one's confidence in the redistricting process. Maintaining the current district lines will allow our county to continue to be compliant with election laws, while balancing geography, population and communities of interest.

Please work to keep our local government fair and healthy.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sheryl Frisch-Huffaker Resident. District 2

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:30 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting maps

----Original Message----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:24 AM To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting maps

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C4eff4b07628445695c8e08d9adee781d%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637732061983302801%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=idJxjWfRXPA1bHQ%2F9azLfyrilp%2BOZYKT3yyvpXEKMKM%3D&reserved=0

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

----Original Message-----

From: Kathy Longacre <KII50@sbcglobal.net> Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 9:18 PM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Board of Supervisors,

I live in the Edna Valley and I do NOT want to be in district 4 where I will lose my "Community of Interest". The current District 3 serves the Edna area very well. I shop in the Tank Farm area, and Pismo Beach and Grover Beach is my coastal recreation area and shopping too. The Edna Valley shares Water aquifer management with southern City of SLO and our agriculture is wine not strawberries.

I am very disappointed that Map A or B was not one of the recommended maps when your staff had requested 3 maps, but the map the SLO Chamber put forth is much better than the Patton map that still splits City of SLO in 2 pieces.

I am also very disappointed that your majority chose not to have staff respond with the disruption of access to voting in our regular cycle, or possibility that Some resident may not able to vote for 4 or 6 years because of major changes in the districts. This is information that all citizens should be made aware of.

Please add that information to the 2 maps on the website, it is critical that we see this very vital information as this process moves forward.

Kathy Longacre

Sent from my iPad

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:28 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]County Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:24 AM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us> **Subject:** FW: [EXT]County Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: <u>bstatler@pacbell.net</u> <<u>bstatler@pacbell.net</u>>
Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 10:01 PM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]County Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

One of the smartest (if not "the") people to have ever lived – Albert Einstein – once recommended:

"Make everything as simple as possible, but not simpler. Any intelligent fool can make things bigger and more complex... It takes a touch of genius - and a lot of courage - to move in the opposite direction."

I strongly recommend that the Board of Supervisors follow his advice. This means selecting the Map A/B districting option: current boundaries meet redistricting goals and Map A/B offers the "simplest," most intuitively obvious solution. Let's go that direction and avoid being "intelligent fools" by making things more complicated; and instead, show a touch of genius and courage in moving in the opposite direction.

PS: Of course, Einstein followed his own advice. His amazing, breakthrough theory of special relativity is expressed simply in the well-known equation: E=mc2.

- Bill

William C. Statler

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:28 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:24 AM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us >

Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 10:12 PM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Patrice Promack

Your Email:

Message: Regarding the redistricting options: Please choose Plan A. It is the most fair and appropriate allocation of population for voting districts. Thank you.

Security Check: 226783

Public Records Notice: True

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2822

Form inserted: 11/21/2021 10:10:50 PM

Form updated: 11/21/2021 10:10:50 PM

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:28 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Supporting map A/B

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:25 AM **To:** Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us > **Subject:** FW: [EXT]Supporting map A/B

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Chris Schulz <<u>rosehavennest@icloud.com</u>> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:48 AM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Supporting map A/B

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

After careful consideration of the redistricting maps, it has become clear that continuing to use the current district lines as a model, preserves a more democratic process in our county. The Patten map seems to be a radical approach to the fair-handed intentions of redistricting...and begs to ask the question: What do we want in our county for the next ten years, a map that overwhelming supports the current majority on the Board, or a map that represents the interests of the people?

Sincerely, Chris Schulz

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:28 PM

To: Maria G. Brown **Subject:** FW: [EXT]redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:26 AM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: FW: [EXT] redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely, Lisa Marie Estrada Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors www.slocounty.ca.gov

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Vallerie Steenson < outlook 5BDF79611E89D5F1@outlook.com >

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:07 AM

To: Board of Supervisors < <u>Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us</u>>

Subject: [EXT]redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

We urge you to support the SLO County 2030 map. It meets all the legal requirements and unlike the alternative "Patten" map it respects communities of interest and natural, physical boundaries between communities.

Thank you.

Gary and Vallerie Steenson

San Luis Obispo

Sent from Mail for Windows

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:28 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:26 AM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us >

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:25 AM

To: Board of Supervisors < <u>Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us</u>>

Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Mary A. Toepke

U.S. phone number:

Your

Message: Two proposed maps; one favored by county GOP and one recommended by Chamber of Commerce. One clearly political to maintain and extend political position and power. The other supported by a nonpartisan group. That says it all. You are gerrymandering. Stop it. You were elected to represent county citizen's interests, not your own personal ones. Accept the Chamber map, even though there was no legitimate need at this time for redistricting. Again you are wasting public funds and staff time and energy. There are serious problems in the county that need attention. This is not one. Your refusal to have a citizen committee makes it clear that you do not want oversight this time. Because last time it stopped your irresponsible action to find an unqualified clerk-reporter. More wasted public funds and wasted staff time and energy. You are failing to do your duty.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 062872

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2823

Form inserted: 11/22/2021 8:23:58 AM

Form updated: 11/22/2021 8:23:58 AM

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:28 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Suzanne Petrie <petriesuzanne@hotmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:37 AM

 $To: Redistricting < Redistricting @co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong < jpeschong @co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie \\ Debbie < jpeschong &co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie < jpeschong &co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie < jpeschong &co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.ca.us@rpslo.ca.us@rpslo.co.us@rpslo.ca.us@rpslo$

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.
My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Petrie

Sent from my iPhone

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:28 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Suzanne Petrie <spetrie1@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:38 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps have more voices with the Coastal Commission. No one supervisors should represent only the coast as together the supervisors can be more effective.

Suzanne Petrie Pismo Beach

Sent from my iPhone

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:29 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Sarah Barnes <sarah@thewinewrangler.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:46 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org;

+darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map recognizes that this county is united in tourism and aligns communities to highlight their assets.

Sarah Barnes Paso Robles

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:29 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Sarah Barnes <sarah@thewinewrangler.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:47 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org;

+darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Sarah Barnes Paso Robles

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:29 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Input on Redistricting Nov 19 Hearing

----Original Message-----

From: Laurie Scott <slolotis@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:09 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Input on Redistricting Nov 19 Hearing

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello,

We support Maps A or B as the only viable choice for our county. And we definitely DO NOT support the Patton map!!

We think it only fair to make very minimal boundary line changes, avoiding the disruption to many citizens of the North Coast, South County, and SLO, as well as saving thousands of dollars for the redistribution process. Not to mention the dollars saved if any litigation takes place. This new map must be deemed fair, as well as PERCEIVED FAIR by your constituents.

As a member of the Women's League of Slo county, I read and listened to many viewpoints and come to this decision from a hopefully educated point of view.

My friend and I were at that hearing and wished to speak, but we were way down the line and by 2:00 we unfortunately had to leave.

We spoke with Ms Compton before leaving, and she found our request slips and said to send an email which would be equal to speaking up at the hearing.

So please consider this as my 3 minutes of speaking time.

Thank you very much for reading this, Laurie Scott A county voter since 1976 ***happy holidays***

Sent from my iPad

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:29 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: dmcelroy555 <dmcelroy555@protonmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:57 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org;

+darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

SLO Supervisors,

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786.

Sincerely

David McElroy

Grover Beach

Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email.

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:29 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Chris Bausch <chrisb4re@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 12:12 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org;

+darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: [EXT] Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Chris Bausch

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:29 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

FW: Public Comment - ID 223 **Subject:**

From: Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 12:41 PM To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 223

RedistrictingID 223

Form inserted 11/22/2021 12:40:20 PM 11/22/2021 12:40:20 PM Form updated

First Name Aaron Last Name Ulm

Phone

Name of Organization

Represented

Email

Vino VIce, Inc.

City Paso Robles 93446

Zip

The proposed map made by Patten is a great map that more accurately represents the County.

Comment Dividing up the dorms into 2 districts has never made much sense, and borders

gerrymandering.

Public Records Notice True

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:29 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Patty OLear <pttyolear@yahoo.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 1:18 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Patricia O'Lear Arroyo Grande

Sent from my iPhone

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 2:04 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]REDISTRICTING

From: Lisa Tanzman lisa@ccumerch.com> **Sent:** Monday, November 22, 2021 1:52 PM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Cc: Bruce Gibson

 bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; ktanner_thetribunenews.com <ktanner@thetribunenews.com>

Subject: [EXT]REDISTRICTING

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please consider my following comments before making a final redistricting decision:

- 1. THERE IS NO REASON TO MAKE CHANGES TO THE CURRENT BOUNDARIES. MAP "A" UPDATES THESE BOUNDARIES TO REFLECT CHANGES TO CENSUS BLOCKS.
- 2. CURRENT DISTRICTS MEET ALL STATE REQUIREMENTS FOR POPULATION BALANCE (LESS THAN 10% DEVIATION), FAIRNESS OF REPRESENTATION (MINORITY REPRESENTATION AND COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST) AND COMPACTNESS.
- 3. UNDER THE LAW (CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS CODE SECTION 21500) KEEPING COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST INTACT SUPERSEDES THE DESIRE FOR COMPACT GEORGRAPHICAL BOUNDARIES SUCH AS CITY LIMITS.
- 4. KEEPING THE ENTIRE CITY OF SLO IN ONE DISTRICT VIOLATES THE FAIR MAPS ACT BY PLACING THE CRITERIA OF RESPECTING THE GEOGRAPHIC BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY OF SLO AS A HIGHER PRIORITY THAN RESPECTING THE GEOGRAPHIC INTEGRITY OF LOCAL COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST.
- 5. RETAINING CURRENT BOUNDARIES HAS THE VALUE OF CONTINUITY AND KEEPS EXISTING COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST INTACT. THE HISTORIC ASSOCIATION WITHIN EXISTING DISTRICTS IS PART OF BEING A COMMUNITY OF INTEREST.
- 6. ALL NORTH COAST COMMUNITIES SHOULD REMAIN IN THE SAME DISTRICT (D2), INCLUDING LOS OSOS, MORRO BAY AND CAMBRIA, DUE TO SHARED COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST, IE: HIGHWAY 1 CORRIDOR AND COASTAL ISSUES.
- 7. MAJOR PHYSICAL BARRIERS BETWEEN CAMBRIA AND RURAL NORTH COUNTY AS WELL AS BETWEEN LOS OSOS AND AVILA BEACH DO NOT MEET THE CONTIGUOUS OR COMPACTNESS MAPPING CRITERIA.
- 8. IF INDEED THE BOARD FEELS THE NEED FOR REDISTRICTING, IT SHOULD BE VOTED UPON BY THE REGISTERED VOTING POPULATION OF SLO COUNTY, NOT THE 5 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

I attended the meeting on November 19th, arrived early and signed up to speak; however, because so much time was given to each person I was unable to wait until my name was called due to a prior commitment. The time limit should have initially been reduced to 1 minute per person based on the number of people who signed up to speak.

Thank you.

Lisa

Lisa Tanzman

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 2:04 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Zoe Carmean <mingyangca@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 2:03 PM

To: + co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; + darnold@co.slo.ca.us; + jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; + lcompton@co.slo.ca.us; Redistricting and the control of the control o

<Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north. Zoe Carmean Paso Robles

From: Redistricting

Monday, November 22, 2021 3:00 PM Sent:

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Sid Abma <Sid@sidelsystems.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 2:17 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org;

+darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev 1 ID 74786. This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly.

Atascadero

Have a fantastic day!

Sid Afma



Sid Abma

www.SidelGlobal.com

What natural gas is not wasted today, will be there to be used another day.



Check out our new video!

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:00 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Sid Abma <Sid@sidelsystems.com>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 2:18 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org;

+darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Atascadero

Have a fantastic day!

Sid Afma







| www.SidelGlobal.com



What natural gas is not wasted today, will be there to be used another day.



From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:00 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 224

From: Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 2:35 PM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 224

RedistrictingID 224

Form inserted 11/22/2021 2:33:54 PM Form updated 11/22/2021 2:33:54 PM

First Name LISA

Last Name TANZMAN

Email

Name of Organization Represented

City CAMBRIA Zip 93428

ENTIRE CITY OF SLO IN 1 DISTRICT VIOLATES FAIR MAPS ACT PLACING CRITERIA OF RESPECTING GEOGRAPHIC BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY OF SLO AS A HIGHER PRIORITY THAN RESPECTING

GEOGRAPHIC INTEGRITY OF LOCAL COI.CURRENT BOUNDARIES VALUE CONTINUITY & KEEPS

Comment EXISTING COI INTACT.ALL NORTH COAST COMMUNITIES MUST REMAIN IN DISTRICT 2 DUE TO SHARED COI, IE: HIGHWAY 1 CORRIDOR & COASTAL ISSUES.PHYSICAL BARRIERS BETWEEN

CAMBRIA & RURAL NORTH COUNTY DO NOT MEET THE CONTIGUOUS OR COMPACTNESS MAPPING

CRITERIA

Public Records

Notice True

From: Sent: To: Subject:	Redistricting Monday, November 22, 2021 3:00 PM Maria G. Brown FW: [EXT]Redistricting comments
From: Hilary Graves <hilarysgraves@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 2:54 PM To: Redistricting <redistricting@co.slo.ca.us> Subject: [EXT]Redistricting comments</redistricting@co.slo.ca.us></hilarysgraves@gmail.com>	
ATTENTION: This ema	il originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.
San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors	
1055 Monterey Street Suite D430 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408	
By email to: redistricting@co.slo.ca.us	
Re: November 30, 2	021 Redistricting Hearing
composition of the no compelling reason	you to maintain the current supervisorial district boundaries for San Luis Obispo County. The current districts meets the criteria for equal representation. Two maps are being considered, but I have seen oning for why any of the maps are an actual improvement over the current map. Population changes t census do not indicate any mathematically significant changes that warrant changes to any
composition of the and local governme	ge the districts, please make only small incremental changes that will not substantially alter the five districts. Any significant changes to the district boundaries will erode trust in our political process nt leaders. For the good of our county, I ask you to exercise statesmanship and look beyond any at good be gained from certain map changes.
Thank you for your	consideration.
Hilary and Simon Gr	raves

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:00 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting: Keeping the North Coast Communities of Interest Together

From: John Carsel < jcarsel@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 2:55 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting

<Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Cc: jcarsel_aol.com <jcarsel@aol.com>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting: Keeping the North Coast Communities of Interest Together

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Chairperson Compton and members of the Board of Supervisors:

I implore you to reject the attempt to break up the communities of interest historically and geologically inexorably linked together - Los Osos, Morro Bay, Cambria and San Simeon. Either on or serviced by one of the most scenic of All-American Roads (the creme de la creme of National Scenic Byways), the North Coast has unique and locally specialized concerns ranging from rising seawater to the Chumash Marine Sanctuary. Splitting the North Coast into two or three supervisorial districts neutralizes our ability to impact issues at the Board - we will be a small pawn in supervisorial districts where over-the-mountains vastly more numerous areas have their supervisor's ear. The North Coast constituency becomes an irritant to be humored without actual representation. I trust this is not the goal of the Board majority.

Morro Bay and Cayucos cannot in good conscience be siphoned off and placed in different districts. The ability and desire of our current supervisor, who represents the entire North Coast, is leading to the federally funded Morro Bay - Cayucos Connector. Almost all of Cayucos' high school students choose to attend Morro Bay High School. Our NextDoor app links our neighborhoods together - if there is a missing dog in Morro Bay, Cayucos knows about it. If there's a prowler in Cayucos, Morro Bay knows about it. The school Superintendent for Cayucos is also the School Superintendent for Cambria. We are all joined at the hip in servicing our All-American Road.

As the President of the Cayucos Citizens' Advisory Council, I earlier informed you that Cayucos as a whole strongly wishes to remain in a full sized North Coast District - from Montana Del Oro to the Monterey border. That expressed desire remains. Please, do not break up the North Coast!

Respectfully yours, John M. Carsel

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:05 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Public Comment

From: Lorie E Noble <jlnoble50@sbcglobal.net> **Sent:** Monday, November 22, 2021 3:01 PM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Public Comment

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I support Richard Patten's citizen's map ID 74786. The City of SLO and Cal Poly are communities of interest that should be kept together in one district. I also believe the City of Morro Bay would be better served if in the same district as SLO. Morro Bay has changed over the years and should be in a different district than the unincorporated areas of Los Osos, Cayucos, Cambria, San Simeon.

Lorie Noble



Sent from Mail for Windows

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:06 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting: Keeping the North Coast Communities of Interest Together

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:02 PM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting: Keeping the North Coast Communities of Interest Together

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: John Carsel < <u>jcarsel@aol.com</u>>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 2:55 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting

<Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Cc: jcarsel_aol.com < jcarsel@aol.com >

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting: Keeping the North Coast Communities of Interest Together

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Chairperson Compton and members of the Board of Supervisors:

I implore you to reject the attempt to break up the communities of interest historically and geologically inexorably linked together - Los Osos, Morro Bay, Cambria and San Simeon. Either on or serviced by one of the most scenic of All-American Roads (the creme de la creme of National Scenic Byways), the North Coast has unique and locally specialized concerns ranging from rising seawater to the Chumash Marine Sanctuary. Splitting the North Coast into two or three supervisorial districts neutralizes our ability to impact issues at the Board - we will be a small pawn in supervisorial districts where over-the-mountains vastly more numerous areas have their supervisor's ear. The North Coast constituency becomes an irritant to be humored without actual representation. I trust this is not the goal of the Board majority.

Morro Bay and Cayucos cannot in good conscience be siphoned off and placed in different districts. The ability and desire of our current supervisor, who represents the entire North Coast, is leading to the federally funded Morro Bay - Cayucos Connector. Almost all of Cayucos' high school students choose to attend Morro Bay High School. Our NextDoor app links our neighborhoods together - if there is a missing dog in Morro Bay, Cayucos knows about it. If there's a prowler in Cayucos, Morro Bay knows about it. The school Superintendent for Cayucos is also the School Superintendent for Cambria. We are all joined at the hip in servicing our All-American Road.

As the President of the Cayucos Citizens' Advisory Council, I earlier informed you that Cayucos as a whole strongly wishes to remain in a full sized North Coast District - from Montana Del Oro to the Monterey border. That expressed desire remains. Please, do not break up the North Coast!

Respectfully yours, John M. Carsel

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:09 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message----

From: Sandra Caughell <saries4u@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:08 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district.

Your Name
City Thank you, Sandra Caughell

Nipomo

Sent from my iPad

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:45 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 225

From: Web Notifications < webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:11 PM **To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 225

RedistrictingID 225

Form inserted 11/22/2021 3:10:04 PM Form updated 11/22/2021 3:10:04 PM

First Name LISA

Last Name TANZMAN

Phone

Name of Organization

Represented

Email

City CAMBRIA Zip 93428

Comment REDISTRICTING NEEDS TO BE PUT TO A GENERAL POPULATION VOTE. 1 PERSON, 1 VOTE FOR

ALL REGISTERED VOTERS IN SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY

Public Records Notice True

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:45 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Becky Mansfield <beckyandjeff2@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:40 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org;

+darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Becky Mansfield Atascadero, CA

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:45 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Becky Mansfield <beckyandjeff2@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:42 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org;

+darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map recognizes that this county is united in tourism and aligns communities to highlight their assets.

Becky Mansfield Atascadero, CA

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:45 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Public Comment

----Original Message-----

From: Laurie Scott <slolotis@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:42 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Public Comment

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello,

We support Maps A or B as the only viable choice for our county.

And we definitely DO NOT support the Patton map!

We think it only fair to make very minimal boundary line changes, avoiding the disruption to many citizens of the North Coast, South County, and SLO, as well as saving thousands of dollars that would be unnecessarily spent on this redistricting process. Not to mention the money saved on possible future litigation.

The new map chosen must be deemed fair, as well as PERCEIVED FAIR by your constituents.

As a member of the League of Women Voters SLO, I read and listened to many viewpoints to come to my decision from a hopefully educated way.

We were at the Nov 19 hearing and wished to speak, but we were down the line, and by 2:00 we had to leave.

We spoke with Ms Compton before leaving, and she found our request slips (down near the bottom of the pile) and she said for us to send this email which would be equal to speaking up at the hearing.

Please consider this as my 3 minutes of speaking time.

Thank you very much for reading this,

Laurie Scott



Sent from my iPad

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:45 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Becky Mansfield <beckyandjeff2@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 3:43 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org;

+darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps bring together the north coast and provides a voice to the numerous types of Ag, Ranching and Vineyards together to help protect water rights.

Becky Mansfield Atascadero, CA

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 4:55 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Kmbibby@gmail.com <kmbibby@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 4:05 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps bring together the north coast and provides a voice to the numerous types of Ag, Ranching and Vineyards together to help protect water rights. Agriculture is an essential industry, not only to San Luis Obispo County, but all of California. The rights of agriculture producers and the use of water to produce food and fiber must always be considered as essential. Agriculture brings numerous employment opportunities to our communities, enhancing the diversity of our county.

Kathy Bibby Atascadero

Sent from my iPad

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 4:56 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Kmbibby@gmail.com <kmbibby@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 4:09 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north. I have lived, ranched and farmed in north county my entire life. Keeping communities of interest within one district allows the supervisors to fully represent the constituents and allows for an understanding of their needs.

Merle Miller Atascadero

Sent from my iPad

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 4:56 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Kay Gard <kgardali@aol.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 4:23 PM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >; John Peschong < jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie < jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie < jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us @rpslo.org; Debbie < jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us & jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us & jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us >; co.slo.ca.us & jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us & jpeschong@co

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district.

Your Name, Kay Gardali City ,Nipomo

From: Redistricting

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 4:56 PM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Kay Gard <kgardali@aol.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 4:26 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <arnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district.

Your Name Sam Gardali City Nipomo

From: Redistricting

Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 8:49 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Belinda Benda <belindaskids@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 6:14 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <ippeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <arnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map fits the communities and is the best fit. Please listen to the people.

Belinda S Benda Arroyo Grande

From: Redistricting

Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 8:49 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Janet Minard <minard.jan@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:04 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you very much for allowing further review before a final vote on only two maps.

I believe Richard Patten s Map Rev_ 1 ID 74786 best represents our part of the county.

Patten s map allows more voices with the Coastal Commission. No one supervisor should represent only the coast; as a team the supervisors can be more effective.

Janet Minard Grover Beach

From: Redistricting

Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 8:49 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Peggy Bojduj <dancingpeg@hotmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:12 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.
My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district.

Your Name
City

Sent from my iPhone

From: Redistricting

Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 8:49 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Peggy Bojduj <dancingpeg@hotmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:41 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map recognizes that this county is united in tourism and aligns communities to highlight their assets.

Respectfully, Margaret Bojduj Pismo Beach

Sent from my iPhone

From: Redistricting

Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 8:49 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Yvon Gresser < yvongresser@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:07 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <arnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district.

Yvon Gresser Pismo Beach, CA

From: Redistricting

Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 8:51 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO County Redistricting Proposed Two Maps

From: ahseastrand@aol.com <ahseastrand@aol.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:16 PM **To:** Redistricting Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us **Cc:** ahseastrand aol.com ahseastrand@aol.com

Subject: [EXT]SLO County Redistricting Proposed Two Maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a result of the recent November 19th SLO County Board of Supervisors redistricting meeting, there are now two mapto consider for adoption on November 30th. I respectfully request support for the adoption of Richard Patten's Rev. 1 Citizens' Map.

https://www.slocounty.ca.gov/Departments/Administrative-Office/Forms-Documents/Redistricting/Richard-Patten-Rev 1 ID-74786 Detail.pdf

After reviewing the two maps, the only one that fully conforms to rules set by the 2020 California Citizens Redistricting Commission, is the Patten map. It recognizes communities of interest that are geographically compact, undivided and populations evenly distributed throughout the County

The City of San Luis Obispo is a single "community of interest," as well as Cal Poly which should logically be included within the City of San Luis Obispo. These two communities should not be divided, and should both remain within one supervisorial district. Might add, Cal Poly's socioeconomic interests are tied strongly to the City of San Luis Obispo.

Again, I whole-heartedly support Richard Patten's Rev. 1 Citizens' Map. It adheres to the criteria set forth for redistricting and is fair and non-political.

Thank you.

Respectfully,

Andrea Seastrand

Andrea Seastrand Resident of San Luis Obispo County

From: Redistricting

Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 8:51 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Stacy Burk <stacyburk1964@icloud.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:22 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Stacy Burk Atascadero

Sent from my iPhone

From: Redistricting

Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 8:51 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Jim <jdouglas58@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:36 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district. Additionally, this map does the best job meeting all of the required criteria that guides the redistricting effort. I urge you to approve the Patten Map.

James Douglas Nipomo

From: Redistricting

Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 8:51 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Ranelle Baldwin <ranelle@dignifiedhopecare.com>

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:19 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Supervisor Arnold-(my district supervisor currently) and rest of Board of Supervisors-

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote for between two maps of Richard Patton and Slo Chamber of Commerce.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786.

This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly. I'm for compliance of keeping city's together and like community's together.

I'm from the- City of San Luis Obispo

and Thank you for reviewing all constituents input for this vital decision at hand for our county-

Ranelle Baldwin (formerly Franklin)

President & Founder

Dignified Hope Care Inc.

"There is nothing noble in being superior to your fellow man: true nobility is being superior to your former self."

Ernest Hemingway

LEGAL NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the named person or entity to which it is addressed and contains valuable business information that is privileged, confidential and/or otherwise protected from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail or the information herein by anyone other than the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, is strictly prohibited. All contents are the copyright property of **Dignified Hope Care Inc.**, its agencies or a client of such agencies. If you are not the intended recipient, you are nevertheless bound to respect the worldwide legal rights of **Dignified Hope Care Inc.**, its agencies and its clients. We require that unintended recipients delete the e-mail and destroy all electronic copies in their

system, retaining no copies in any media. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify us by e-mail to ranelle@dignifiedhopecare.com ************************************
Ranelle Baldwin (formerly Franklin) President & Founder Dignified Hone Core Inc.
Dignified Hope Care Inc.
"There is nothing noble in being superior to your fellow man: true nobility is being superior to your former self." Ernest Hemingway

LEGAL NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the named person or entity to which it is addressed and contains valuable business information that is privileged, confidential and/or otherwise protected from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail or the information herein by anyone other than the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, is strictly prohibited. All contents

to ranelle@dignifiedhopecare.com

From: Redistricting

Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 8:51 AM

To: Maria G. Brown

Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

----Original Message-----

From: Dena Snedden <desnotes@me.com> Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:01 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie

Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Dena E. Snedden Templeton, CA