From: Rob Henry

Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 6:35 PM To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Don't do it!

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

I urge you to fight the urge of redistricting the communities of north coast for your own political gain. You may note that it's not only unethical but illegal under California election code 21500(d).

Sent from my iPhone. Rob Henry

From: John Curtis > Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 6:40 PM To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us > Subject: [EXT]Gerrymandering is illegal!

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

From John Curtis, Los Osos, CA

For years liberal democrats have gerrymandered their districts, against the law, to gain power and control over a wider district for nothing other than to push their dangerous, liberal radical anti Constitution, anti American, anti freedom, anti liberty, and anti rights policies! If this very dangerous threat to our districts is passed we will see you all in court and it won't be an inexpensive venture for you, I promise. As of right now we are gathering legal help and all the necessary planning of action, to the fullest extent of the law and concerning criminal activity on the part of those pushing for the gerrymandering to protect ourselves from this outrageous, vitriolic power grab! Rest assured we will not knuckle under. Nor will we be bullied by these deceptive tactics used by these parties of deceit. Consider this a warning to cease this attempt of a power grab or we will see you in court and maybe criminal court. Leave SLO and surrounding cities, and SLO county alone!

Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 6:04 PM To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 222

RedistrictingID 222

Form inserted 11/21/2021 6:02:52 PM Form updated 11/21/2021 6:02:52 PM

First Name Nancy Last Name Welts

Email

Phone

Name of Organization Represented

City San Luis Obispo

93401 Zip

> My house is located within the Santa Lucia Hills Master Homeowners Association. This HOA contains 560 total homes and condominiums that are governed by CC&R's and by-laws. My HOA

Comment is a local community of interest and shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division.

The current Patten Map has my HOA split between two supervisory districts. I would urge the

Board to adopt the SLO Chamber map. Thank you.

Public Records True

Notice

Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 5:46 PM To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: COI - ID 37

Your Name*

Your Email

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, use our "Find my Supervisor" tool (opens in new window) to find your district*

Community Of Interest Name*

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important*

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the physical boundaries?*

What is the rationale for your community of interest to be used in the Board of Supervisor redistricting process? Please describe how the issues before the Board of Supervisors has an impact on your community.*

about your community?*

Form inserted

Nancy Welts

District 3

Santa Lucia Hills Master Homewoners Association

Santa Lucia Hills is a Master Planned Unit Development located in the City of San Luis Obispo. There are 560 homes and condos in this homeowners association. These homeowners are governed by these CC&R's and the bylaws.

Located in the City of San Luis Obispo. Located within the Santa Lucia HOA boundaries are the following streets: Azalea Court, Alyssum Court, Bluebell Way, Bougainvillea Street, Columbine Court, Cyclamen Court, Dahlia Lane, Felicia Way, a portion of Fuller Road, Hollyhock Way, Larkspur Street, Lily Lane, Lobelia Lane, Marigold Court, Morning Glory Way, Poinsettia Street, Poppy Lane, Rosemary Court, Snapdragon Way, Sunrose Lane, Tulip Court, Wisteria Lane, and Yarrow Court.

Homeowners in Santa Lucia Hills HOA have expressed their concerns and a representative from the HOA board of directors has spoken at public hearings at the City of San Luis Obispo, and at the County Board of Supervisor's meetings. It is important to note that the HOA also employs a local property management company to help assist with the collection of dues and management of the association.

What else would you like to tell us This HOA should be considered a community of interest and must be kept whole in the same Supervisory district.

11/21/2021 5:44:57 PM

Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 3:38 PM **To:** Redistricting Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: Public Comment - ID 221

RedistrictingID 221

Form inserted 11/21/2021 3:37:51 PM Form updated 11/21/2021 3:37:51 PM

True

First Name Lani Last Name Steele

Email

Phone

Name of Organization Represented

City Los Osos Zip 93402

Comment

There is no pressing population need to redistrict. The proposed plans, particularly the one to

carve up District 2, are a power grab and are recognized as such by we District 2 citizens.

Public Records

Notice

Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 1:46 PM **To:** Redistricting Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: Public Comment - ID 220

RedistrictingID 220

Form inserted 11/21/2021 1:45:37 PM Form updated 11/21/2021 1:45:37 PM

First Name Chloe Last Name Stroman

Email

Phone
Name of
Organization

Represented

City San Luis Obispo

Zip 93401

I echo many other SLO County residents urging you to keep the existing district boundaries the comment same. There have not been enough demographic or population changes in SLO County to warrant

redistricting and the proposed changes don't make sense geographically or economically.

Public Records True

Notice

Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 12:47 PM **To:** Redistricting Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: Public Comment - ID 219

RedistrictingID 219

Form inserted 11/21/2021 12:46:26 PM Form updated 11/21/2021 12:46:26 PM

First Name Rebecca Last Name Kincaid

Email Phone

Name of Organization

Represented

Self

City Cambria Zip 93428

Comment Wanted to be counted as being opposed to the redistricting map lumping Cambria with

Paso Robles. Like apple and oranges!

Public Records Notice True

From: Karen Reed < > Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 12:05 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton

<lr><lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT] Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly with its community of interest.

Karen Reed Paso Robles, CA From: Linda Becker <

Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 10:54 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; boardofsupes@co.slo.ca.us; John Peschong

<jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton

<lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT] Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Regarding the final vote for one of two maps:

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. It is fair, balanced and meets all the criteria.

The Patten map keeps communities of interest together:

- it aligns the water needs of agricultural, ranching and vineyards of the northern part of our county
- keeps Cal Poly and SLO City together as contiguous community

The Patten map gives SLO City two supervisorial votes, separating the industrial area from the college and city.

The SLO Chamber map still gives SLO City three supervisors. Giving the county seat more supervisorial votes is NOT in compliance with the criteria. There is no valid reason to grant one city the majority of votes on the Board of Supervisors. Allowing this to continue means perpetuating the gerrymandering of the present district lines. This is NOT acceptable.

Additionally, Cal Poly and SLO City are a community of interest and must be keep whole as a contiguous district.

Simply put, follow the rules. Keep the geographic integrity of a community contiguous. Fair and balanced districts do not divide communities of interest.

Please, STOP THE POWER GRAB OF SLO CITY! It is time to create new district lines based on current redistricting criteria. The Patten map is the BEST CHOICE.

Linda Becker Paso Robles From: Richard Patten > Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 10:48 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; boardofsupes@co.slo.ca.us < boardofsupes@co.slo.ca.us>; John

Peschong co.slo.ca.us; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org <co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org>; Debbie Arnold

<darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district. Its also the only map that is designed with Redistricting rules enforced.

Richard Patten Arroyo Grande Sent from <u>Mail</u> for Windows

From: ronn harsh Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 8:27 AM

To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Stop Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I urge you to retain current supervisorial district boundaries, which will ensure continuity, keep existing Communities of Interest intact, and meet all statutory requirements. No drastic changes to district boundaries are warranted.

From: SUSAN ATENCIO <

Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 8:04 AM
To: Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us >
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting NOT Patton Map!

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

PLEASE DO NOT support the Patton Map!!!

PLEASE DO NOT move Cambria out of District 2!

My husband and I own 2 homes in Cambria. We live in one and rent the other long term. We have owned a home here for 28 years! We are and have always been very happy in District 2!

I cannot imagine how terrible it would be to be moved to Paso Robles!!!!!! We have completely different issues! We are a small coastal community. Paso is incorporated and large!

We feel the Patton Map is most definitely a Republican power grab and completely against the law! Patton even presented his maps to Republicans in early November.

PLEASE STOP THIS ILLEGAL POWER GRAB! Leave Cambria alone!

Thank you!

Susan Atencio

Sent from my iPhone

From: Lisa Antrim <

Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 7:31 AM **To:** Redistricting < Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us> **Subject:** [EXT]Proposed maps for redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I strongly oppose the Patten map that lumps Cambria and Cayucos in with Atascadero and parts of Paso Robles. These are very different communities with very different issues. The North Coast communities should be kept together.

Sincerely, Lisa Antrim

Cambria, CA 93428

From: Don Maruska < Sent: Saturday, November 20, 2021 7:07 PM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting: Please address key issues to consider in evaluating the two maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Madame Chair and members of the Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for the time and attention you gave to the redistricting issues at the November 19 hearing. I appreciated listening and learning from each of you and other speakers.

The two maps you found of value to advance for further analysis have major differences and pose important issues for your discussion, deliberation, and decision. Unfortunately, we did not hear significant analysis and discussion from Board members prior to the vote. Thus, the public is left seeking further understanding about your rationale.

Here are relevant points that I respectfully request that you, the County staff, and redistricting consultant specifically address in the analyses and presentations you prepare in advance of the November 30 hearing and in the discussions you have prior to taking action on a final map.

Concerns about the Patten Map 74786 that need to be substantively addressed.

- 1. This map prioritizes jurisdictional boundaries over communities of interest, which is exactly the reverse priority established in Election Code 21500.
- 2. It separates Morro Bay from Los Osos, two communities that have many similarities and common interests. For example, they share the shoreline boundaries of the Morro Bay National Estuary. While severing Los Osos from Morro Bay, the plan links Los Osos to Avila Beach in a convoluted, newly configured district.
- 3. To make the Los Osos to Pismo Beach and Avila Beach linkage contiguous, this district encompasses a significant portion of the City of San Luis Obispo but along new district lines that are radically different from existing ones. These changes to existing San Luis Obispo district boundaries are confusing and not necessary. The proposed lines force disparate communities of interest into a new district, a result precisely opposite the intentions of state redistricting law.
- 4. The Patten map divides the historic north coast district among three radically re-shaped districts such that Los Osos ends up in one district, Morro Bay in another, and Cayucos, Cambria and San Simeon in yet a third. These communities have a long history of common interests, inextricably linked by their coastal environmental and economic features (e.g., tourism) and, for Morro Bay, Cayucos, Cambria and San Simeon especially, their sharing of the Highway 1 corridor. The connections and interests among these communities are much stronger than interests with inland areas.
- 5. This map appears to remove Oceano from the district with Nipomo, ignoring that these two unincorporated communities have several shared interests and issues related to county governance. These areas comprise a much stronger and obvious community of interest than Nipomo does with areas adjacent to San Luis Obispo.
- 6. Furthermore, Oceano and Nipomo have significant Latino populations; putting Oceano and Nipomo into separate districts will dilute Latino representation and influence.

- 7. In combining the City of San Luis Obispo and the City of Morro Bay to create a new District 3, the map overlays County governance on two communities with active incorporated city governance while diverting supervisorial attention from larger unincorporated areas needing active County attention.
- 8. The major changes in district boundaries will disrupt citizens' opportunities to vote. For example, giving residents of Atascadero (currently in District 5, Supervisor Arnold) who were scheduled to vote in 2024 a vote in District 2 (Supervisor Gibson) in 2022. Meanwhile, residents of Los Osos (currently in District 2, Supervisor Gibson), who were scheduled to vote in 2022 would not have a vote until 2024 in a separate, newly configured district.
- 9. All of these proposed modifications raise serious concerns about the map and its adequacy to satisfy the requirements of the Election Code.
- 10. How would you see this map helping citizens of the County overcome the current divisions and lack of trust that undermine our capacity to work together for mutual benefit?

Benefits of SLO County 2030 Map 74760 that address problems with Patten Map and concerns about the current districts

- 1. This map preserves the major communities of interest, especially those encompassing large portions of unincorporated areas (North Coast, Nipomo and Oceano) that are heavily dependent upon County government for services and thus aligns with the priorities of Election Code 21500.
- 2. No CSDs will have split representation. This ensures that their residents have consistent County representation.
- 3. Only two incorporated cities will have district divisions and these have rationales based upon underlying communities of interest with their associated districts.
- 4. Each district will have an agricultural component in recognition of this important element that's active across the County.
- 5. The map will have dramatically fewer disruptions to voting opportunities for citizens. More citizens will have an opportunity to vote when and in the district where they expected to vote.
- 6. The map has lower maximum population deviation than the Patten Map (4.11% vs. 4.85%).
- 7. The map raises fewer concerns about partisan intent, and, thus, is less likely to run afoul of legal challenges that would unnecessarily divert time and resources from important issues and services the County needs to address.
- 8. The map offers a path to bring our county back to working together rather than dividing us into isolated enclaves that would heighten the difficulties we already face.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration and efforts to be of service to the County. I'm hopeful we can work together for the benefit of all.

Sincerely yours,

Don Maruska Los Osos, CA 93402

From: Tarika Ryburn

Sent: Saturday, November 20, 2021 2:55 PM To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistributing

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident and taxpayer living in Cambria for 42 years. I voice my hope that our district will be kept as it is. Thank you Linda Ryburn 1041 Warren Road, Cambria, Ca.

Sent from my iPad

From: Tracy Priestley

Sent: Saturday, November 20, 2021 1:48 PM

To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>;

email@ncacslo.org

Subject: [EXT]Please vote for Plan A

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The sense of community in Cambria is strong, with a like-minded approach to environmental conservation. The Plan A map aligns with the specific needs of our coastal community.

Paso Robles is in a growth spurt that does not align with our limited expansion, and a supervisor not living in a coastal town will not be in a position to address our concerns with the same regard.

It is my sincere hope that we can continue to be a thriving part of the current North Coast representation.

Thank you.

Tracy Priestley Cambria, CA

Sent: Saturday, November 20, 2021 12:04 PM **To:** Redistricting Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: Public Comment - ID 218

RedistrictingID 218

Form inserted 11/20/2021 12:03:44 PM Form updated 11/20/2021 12:03:44 PM

First Name Linda Last Name Robertson

Email

Phone

Name of

Organization none

Represented

City San Luis Obispo

Zip 93401

I oppose the Richard Patten map. It is apparently supported by an orchestrated Republican campaign, but rejected by the majority of the public who have posted comments. it would create a majority-Democratic county run by Republican supervisors, by blatant gerrymandering, packing San Luis Obispo. Cal Poly, and Morro Bay into a district represented by only one supervisor, and

San Luis Obispo, Cal Poly, and Morro Bay into a district represented by only one supervisor, and diluting the votes of the north coast cities by combining them with Paso Robles and the north

county.

Public Records True

Notice

Comment

Sent: Saturday, November 20, 2021 10:42 AM **To:** Redistricting Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: Public Comment - ID 217

RedistrictingID 217

Form inserted 11/20/2021 10:41:13 AM Form updated 11/20/2021 10:41:13 AM

First Name Alexsandra
Last Name Lopardo

Email Phone

Name of Organization NA

Represented

City Cambria Zip 93428

There would be no benefits to Cambrians by lumping it with inland cities. Please leave

Comment districting as is. It will feel like a punishment, not an advantage. Thank you Alexsandra

Lopardo

Public Records

Notice

True