HOMELESS SERVICES OVERSIGHT COUNCIL HSOC FINANCE AND DATA COMMITTEE MEETING February 26 2020, 10am-12pm Department of Social Services Room 356

MEMBERS PRESENT	MEMBERS ABSENT	STAFF & GUESTS	
Janna Nichols Jeff Al-Mashat Sstoz Tes	Bill Crewe Jessica Thomas Shay Stewart	George Solis Jessica Lorance Laurel Weir Russ Francis	
AGENDA ITEM		CONCLUSIONS/ACTIONS	FOLLOW UP
1. Call to Order and Introductions	Janna welcomed the group and introductions were made.		
2. Public Comment	None		
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSIO	Ń		
3.1. Discussion Item: Committee Meeting Frequency	The Committee agreed to meet monthly rather than quarterly, given the increased workload. From this point forward, the Committee will meet on the fourth Wednesday of each month (note the September meeting is already booked for the 30 th , which is the last Wednesday rather than the fourth).	Russ to send out new schedule to full HSOC list and add meetings to Committee calendars	
3.2. Discussion Item: Annual Workplan for the Committee	One of the primary responsibilities of the Finance & Data Committee is oversight of the HMIS (Homeless Management Information System). This is required by HUD (US Department of Housing and Urban Development)'s CoC (Continuum of Care) grant, though HMIS is also used for other programs. The Committee should		

be reviewing HMIS policies and procedures at		
least once a year.		
HUD carried out a guidance review last year and		
suggested some changes to current policies and		
procedures, including updating the policy notice		
that clients receive so that it is more succinct and		
clearer on how clients' data will be used. More		
data/PII (Personally Identifiable Information) will		
be captured going forward, as more PII is being		
requested as a requirement of several grants, so		
the intake form also needs updating for this		
reason. HUD TA (Technical Assistance) is		
currently drafting a new form to meet the new	Laurel to come up with	
standards. This will be sent to the County for	dates/deadlines for these	
approval. The timeline given is this month or	next steps and inform HSOC	
possibly next.	members	
The client survey also needs to be compliant with		
HUD, but can also include additional questions to		
collect information about local issues. The County		
will be sending out an RFP (Request for		
Proposals) soon then will select a vendor to		
design and carry out a survey and do the data		
analysis. There is a role for providers here in		
determining who the vendor should be reaching		
out to.		
HUD is requiring that the different HMIS systems		
become aligned. Providers will not be able to		
continue using separate systems - this is also		
inefficient as some providers are entering data		
into two systems at present. The Committee		
needs to decide whether to do an RFP for a		
different system, or to upgrade and consolidate		
the current system. If the Committee decides to		
put out an RFP, the vendors would bid on		

providing a new system. There would be a benefit		
to choosing one of the three currently in use, as		
HUD TA may help with importing/migrating data,		
and this HMIS would already have some of the		
data so there would be less to migrate.		
There is a need to move relatively quickly on this,		
as if this change/RFP does not require all funds		
set aside for it, this money can be repurposed for		
providers already receiving grants; or		
alternatively, there may be another use for the		
leftover money, such as training in the new		
system or the cost of additional staff hours to		
cover data entry. This question will be taken to	Question to be forwarded to	
the full HSOC meeting.	full HSOC meeting	
	_	
HUD will be measuring partners more on		
outcomes going forward. The only data that will		
be taken into consideration will be that entered		
into HMIS, so there is a need to ensure all		
partners are recording clients who are put into		
housing. HUD can penalize if e.g. bed coverage		
rate is too low - some available beds are not		
currently in HMIS, so this would be missed in		
reports to HUD.		
Currently HUD wants data to be input within 3		
days, which will come down to 1 day in future.		
They have not made clear whether the 3 days		
refers to calendar days or business days.		
HUD will be carrying out an audit of HSOC's HMIS		
in May. HSOC/the County as Administrative Entity		
will need to be able to answer questions about		
data protection and privacy policy. HUD have		
sent the County documents which are currently		
being reviewed and will be brought to the next		
committee meeting if relevant. Formal updates to		

	policies and procedures would go to HSOC's full	
	meeting, but the next full meeting is in March	
	which is too soon, and the following one is in May	
	which would be too late. As such, HSOC will be	
	asked to delegate authority to a sub committee	
	to approve updated policies and procedures, to	
	ensure this is done in time for the May audit.	
	Questions for providers:	
	 do you want an open or closed system, or 	
	a partially open system? (At present, only	
	CAPSLO (Community Action Partnership of	
	SLO) and the County can share data with	
	other agencies.)	
	 what works well with the current system? what also would you want from a new 	
	 what else would you want from a new 	
	system?	
	 what do you need in terms of usability? 	
	• what will the impact be on partners?	
	what are reasonable time scales around	
	additional data now being requested?	
3.3. Discussion Item: Homeless	Laurel demonstrated Stella, a strategy and	
Housing, Assistance and Prevention	analysis tool for CoCs. Stella is able to provide	
_	useful information for monitoring including client	
Program Grant Planning and	exit data, e.g. exits to permanent destinations.	
Outcome Tracking	Stella is able to analyze cross-sections of the	
	homeless population and compare across years	
	to identify long term trends. Stella is not yet live	
	for individuals and agencies to use, outside of	
	8	
	CoC staff. Later this year, a modelling tool will be	
	rolled out which will enable Stella to predict	
	outcomes based on outputs. George and Jessica	
	are attending a conference in April and will have	
	more information to report on this.	

	 HUD will also be using Stella for its own evaluations. Laurel noted that the quality and accuracy of data is important as this seriously affects Stella's results. Janna requested that metrics from Stella be provided to the committee, in PDF format, on a quarterly basis. 	Laurel to provide metrics from Stella quarterly
3.4. Discussion Item: SystemPerformance Measures3.4.1. Discussion Item: SystemPerformance Measures	Providers will be held accountable to performance measures for the HUD grant. These performance measures will be based on outcomes, not outputs. Laurel will bring preliminary outcomes to look at in the next committee meeting (25th March). Sstoz noted that it may be difficult for some providers to record outcomes, as clients are free to come and go which can make monitoring difficult. Laurel confirmed that HUD are more interested in outcomes for the community as a whole rather than for specific clients.	
3.4.2. Discussion Item: Quarterly Data Quality Reports	Laurel shared the system performance measures that are currently being reporting to HUD, for federal fiscal year 2019. HUD uses this to evaluate HSOC's performance against other CoCs, and is gradually becoming more weighted so that it will eventually be worth 50% of CoC application points. The quality of the data is therefore highly important. Cleaning up of data has taken place this year. Laurel thanked 5CHC (5Cities Homeless Coalition) staff for their role in this.	Laurel to provide a one sheet summary of the data, to help providers understand the general data
3.4.3. Discussion Item: HMIS Exit Data	Discussed above in item 3.3.	

3.4.4. Discussion Item: Planned amendment to the policies and procedures regarding time from client intake to HMIS data entry	 HUD is requiring that providers input client data into HMIS within 3 days of capturing the data – providers need to meet this target by the time of the audit in May. HUD have indicated they will bring this down further to 1 day at some point in the future. Steps are being taken now to implement changes to make this possible. Sstoz requested that error reporting emails be limited to just the last month's errors. Recent emails have included errors going back to 2012. 	Laurel to let agencies know this change is coming, so that providers can talk to the Committee about the impact of this and a realistic timeline for achieving it Jessica to look into this	
4. Future Discussion/Report Items:	Janna requested that approval of previous minutes be added to the agenda for future meetings.	Russ to add this item to future agendas	
5. Next Meeting Date:	March 25, 2020		
6. Adjournment	Janna adjourned the meeting at 11:54am.		