

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:13 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Joseph Girata [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 6:27 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district. Oceano residents shop in Grover Beach for groceries.

Joseph Girata
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:13 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 227

From: Web Notifications [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 6:40 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 227

RedistrictingID	227
Form inserted	11/24/2021 6:39:32 AM
Form updated	11/24/2021 6:39:32 AM
First Name	Gregory
Last Name	Grewal
Email	[REDACTED]
Phone	[REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented	
City	Creston
Zip	93432
Comment	Please choose the Richard Patten map 74786 ,thank you for your hard work
Public Records Notice	True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:13 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Map choice

From: Susan Madrid [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 6:42 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Map choice

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Supervisors,

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786.

It uses common sense which is greatly needed in these times.

Sincerely,

Susan Madrid

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:13 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Maps

From: Lois Trompeter [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 6:58 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786.

This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly, keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole.

The other map is the similar to Map A that was already rejected by the November 19 vote.

Thank you for your consideration for the Richard Patton Map.

Lois Trompeter
Paso Robles

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:13 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

-----Original Message-----

From: ardy@ardyspilates.com [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 6:58 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district.

Ardis Conway
Arroyo Grande

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:13 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

-----Original Message-----

From: ardy@ardyspilates.com [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 6:59 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps have more voices with the Coastal Commission. No one supervisors should represent only the coast as together the supervisors can be more effective.

Ardis Conway
Arroyo Grande

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

-----Original Message-----

From: ardy@ardyspilates.com [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 7:00 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Ardis Conway
Arroyo Grande

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

-----Original Message-----

From: ardy@ardyspilates.com [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 7:00 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps have more voices with the Coastal Commission. No one supervisors should represent only the coast as together the supervisors can be more effective.

Ardis Conway
Arroyo Grande

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

-----Original Message-----

From: ardy@ardyspilates.com [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 7:01 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Ardis Conway
Arroyo Grande

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

-----Original Message-----

From: ardy@ardyspilates.com [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 7:01 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map recognizes that this county is united in tourism and aligns communities to highlight their assets.

Ardis Conway
Arroyo Grande

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Jerry Jones [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 7:02 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; +darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Your Name
City

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

-----Original Message-----

From: Heather Raithel [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 7:23 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly together.

Heather Raithel
Grover Beach
Sent from my iPhone

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:15 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

-----Original Message-----

From: sandyg3939@gmail.com [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 7:51 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Sent from my iPhone

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:15 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

-----Original Message-----

From: ROSEMARY SILVA [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 7:53 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps have more voices with the Coastal Commission. No one supervisors should represent only the coast as together the supervisors can be more effective.

Your Name Rosemary Silva
City Santa Maria

Sent from my iPhone

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:15 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Map

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 8:00 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Jan Goldman [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 4:55 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am writing to you to urge you to vote for the SLO County 2030 Map at your meeting on Tuesday, November 30th. I have lived in Morro Bay for 15 years and have learned how our interests as a city have much more in common with the North Coast cities of Los Osos, Cayucos and Cambria than we have with San Luis Obispo or Cal Poly. A change in the redistricting maps...for no insistent reason...will dilute our voice in coastal concerns. Our voice needs to be heard now more than ever on major topics like economic development, housing and homelessness, impacts from the closure of Diablo Canyon, and renewable energy development and infrastructure. Please vote for the SLO County 2030 map or leave the districts as they are now!

Jan Goldman
Resident of Morro Bay

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:15 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistributing

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 8:02 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistributing

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C8ea5dcac21b84284e25708d9af7eb258%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C637733780947502197%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoimC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1aWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=ub7ZO3p6UqhFGCaCvo%2B7sDepMVjHQiEaXWopy13wcAg%3D&reserved=0>

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----

From: Dawn Borst [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 5:53 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistributing

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please please keep our north coast communities together under the same district. It is very important that non of the coastal communities be in the same district as an inland community. The inland communities do not have the same common issues about coastal decisions about fisheries, off shore decisions etc.

Please DO NOT DIVIDE OUR COASTAL COMMUNITIES FROM EACH OTHER ALONG OUR PRECIOUS COAST!

Dawn Borst

Sent from my iPhone

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:15 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 8:04 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Janice Minyard [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 5:59 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

SLO County Board of Supervisors:

I am writing in **support of the County 2030 map for redistricting**. I am uncomfortable attending a public meeting in which there is no way to ensure all attendees have been vaccinated or tested negative in a reasonably recent test. As much as I would like to attend the BOS meeting in person, I must consider my health risks.

In the Patten map the cities of Los Osos, Morro Bay, and Cayucos would be in three different districts, and yet our community interests are very similar. We would be better served if we were all in the same district.

If the Patten map is selected, Los Osos residents would inherit the District 5 Supervisor until 2024. That's a long time without a vote! (I vote in every election.)

The 2030 map aligns communities by interests, location, and unique qualities. Please keep the coastal cities from Los Osos to San Simeon together in District 2.

Sincerely,

Janice Lynn Minyard
Proud to be a registered voter
[REDACTED]



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:15 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 8:05 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Jeanie Greensfelder [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 7:12 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I support Plan B, keeping districts close to how they are now. It's worked well for all communities to have a voice.

I don't support separating coastal communities or Oceano from Nipomo.

I appreciate your giving my viewpoint your consideration.

Jean L. Greensfelder
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:15 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Map redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Mariel Bond [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 8:12 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Map redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello,

Thank you for your time and consideration regarding the SLO county redistricting.

I am writing to express my support for Richard Patton's map keeping our county's larger cities each united. I live in the North County and I believe it unnecessary and unhelpful to have cities like Atascadero and Templeton divided. Please approve Richard Patton's map.

Sincerely,

Mariel Bond
Atascadero

Sent from my iPhone

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:15 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please approve 2030 redistricting map

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 8:27 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please approve 2030 redistricting map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Malak [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 7:33 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Please approve 2030 redistricting map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I prefer the 2030 map because it has the fewest changes. SLO County met all the criteria from the last map without any changes, and this is the closest adjustments to the current map.

Please DO NOT APPROVE the Patten map, which breaks up the coastal communities with similar interests.

Thank you

Ann Malak
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:16 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 8:28 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: BH [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 7:39 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Radical changes to the current supervisorial boundaries are not necessary or desirable. I support the SLO County 2030 map because it best meets the highest priority guideline of keeping communities of interest together. Dividing the north coast into 3 districts clearly violates this guideline.

Bonnie Heikes

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:16 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 8:54 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C05d80bcd7aff489d962308d9af7ecd13%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637733781394880599%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Iik1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=V7kaqBJFAy%2Bmay8f6H8enkYgcdZW0y5ttur5fP3xM2M%3D&reserved=0>

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----

From: kmacm@mindspring.com [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 8:38 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I urge the Board to follow the SLO Chamber recommendation on redistricting. We do not need to add more twists to an already truly dynamic environment. This is the time to provide a level of stability to our citizens.

Regards

Ken MacMillan

Business Owner

Morro Bay

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:16 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 8:56 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cc437c59e34c941be0d7b08d9af7ed399%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637733781504579599%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWlloiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1aWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=QaoK9%2BAbsA4De1DWzC0OePGXDXs4F9Nxclai12fy3Hs%3D&reserved=0>

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----

From: Jackie Hogan [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 10:09 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Jacqueline Hogan - Cambria

COI please vote coastal cities belong together-coastal lands and environment are our grand unification. I don't care if you're Rep or Dem, we need a supervisor common to all north coast lands. Thank You

Sent from a galaxy far, far away.

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:16 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting -- adopt SLO County 2030 map

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 8:56 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting -- adopt SLO County 2030 map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Cathy [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 5:38 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting -- adopt SLO County 2030 map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I urge you to adopt the SLO County 2030 redistricting map as the best of the two remaining options.

- It respects the North Coast and Oceano / Nipomo communities of interest,
- continues good representation of residents of unincorporated areas in the county, who need your help and support the most,
- does not create significant disruption through acceleration and deceleration of voters in impacted areas, and
- demonstrates that you as stewards of good government represent the interests of all county residents which helps bring us together as a civil community.

Respectfully,
Cathy Gildea
Registered voter in District #3
Not a robot

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:16 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 8:57 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Jerry Smith [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 7:07 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I support the 2030 Redistricting map at your next meeting on the 30th and not the Patten proposal!
Jerry Douglas Smith

Colorado Smith, Author of the \$4.99 E-chapbook series,

Exploring Our Relationship with the Natural Universe

Control plus a left click on any of the links (urls) accesses

E-books at Amazon.com

They are downloadable to your PC, phone, Android or Mac device:

ISLANDTIME: <http://tinyurl.com/mfvydwa>

SOUL EAGLES: <http://tinyurl.com/n48hk3v>

MOUNTAINTIME: <http://tinyurl.com/mmbmva6>

PEAK EXPERIENCES: <http://tinyurl.com/z374u6v>

SHADOWLANDS: Aboriginal Americans <http://tinyurl.com/kz355wf>

COCKLEBURR: Dad's Nickname for Me <http://tinyurl.com/Cockleburr-Sue>

AROUND THE WORLD: <http://tinyurl.com/roundworldrattlesnake>

BACKBONES & BUTTERBEANS: <http://tinyurl.com/hbkqmkf>

HOME-FRIED HUMOR: <http://tinyurl.com/mfs75hs>

DESERT VARNISH: <http://tinyurl.com/desert-varnish>

WATERS: <https://tinyurl.com/waters-exploring>

ROPEBURNS: <http://tinyurl.com/lcnysh4>

HARDCASES: <http://tinyurl.com/jgqjgef>

WARBIRDS: <http://tinyurl.com/qcer3wo>

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:17 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 8:57 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Susan Stenovec [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 7:11 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

I support Map 2030. Please do this, too.
This map is the fairer of the two and disenfranchises the least number of people.
It keeps communities of interest together better than the Patten map.

Thank you,
Susan and John Thomas Stenovec
SLO County

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:18 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 8:58 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Sandra Lee [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 8:55 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

County Board of Supervisors:

1. I support the proposed 2030 Map because it more fairly represents the similar concerns of coastal communities, because it more fairly addresses deferral and acceleration issues.
2. I oppose the Patten Map because it appears to be illegal and violates Elections Code Sec. 21500(d): "The Board shall not adopt supervisorial district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party."

Sandra Lee
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:18 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]In support of the SLO County 2030 Map

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 9:23 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]In support of the SLO County 2030 Map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Elizabeth Alflen [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 9:17 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]In support of the SLO County 2030 Map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Madame Chair and members of the Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your time and attention regarding our redistricting issues.

I respectfully request that you consider:

1. This map prioritizes jurisdictional boundaries over communities of interest, which is exactly the reverse priority established in Election Code 21500.
2. It separates Morro Bay from Los Osos, two communities that have many similarities and common interests. For example, they share the shoreline boundaries of the Morro Bay National Estuary. While severing Los Osos from Morro Bay, the plan links Los Osos to Avila Beach in a convoluted, newly configured district.
3. To make the Los Osos to Pismo Beach and Avila Beach linkage contiguous, this district encompasses a significant portion of the City of San Luis Obispo – but along new district lines that are radically different from existing ones. These changes to existing San Luis Obispo district boundaries are confusing and unnecessary. The proposed lines force

disparate communities of interest into a new district, a result precisely opposite the intentions of state redistricting law.

4. The Patten map divides the historic north coast district among three radically re-shaped districts such that Los Osos ends up in one district, Morro Bay in another, and Cayucos, Cambria and San Simeon in yet a third. These communities have a long history of common interests, inextricably linked by their coastal environmental and economic features (e.g., tourism) and, for Morro Bay, Cayucos, Cambria and San Simeon especially, their sharing of the Highway 1 corridor. The connections and interests among these communities are much stronger than interests with inland areas.

5. This map appears to remove Oceano from the district with Nipomo, ignoring that these two unincorporated communities have several shared interests and issues related to county governance. These areas comprise a much stronger and obvious community of interest than Nipomo does with areas adjacent to San Luis Obispo.

6. Furthermore, Oceano and Nipomo have significant Latino populations; putting Oceano and Nipomo into separate districts will dilute Latino representation and influence.

7. In combining the City of San Luis Obispo and the City of Morro Bay to create a new District 3, the map overlays County governance on two communities with active incorporated city governance while diverting supervisorial attention from larger unincorporated areas needing active County attention.

8. The major changes in district boundaries will disrupt citizens' opportunities to vote. For example, giving residents of Atascadero (currently in District 5, Supervisor Arnold) who were scheduled to vote in 2024 a vote in District 2 (Supervisor Gibson) in 2022. Meanwhile, residents of Los Osos (currently in District 2, Supervisor Gibson) , who were scheduled to vote in 2022 would not have a vote until 2024 in a separate, newly configured district.

9. All of these proposed modifications raise serious concerns about the map and its adequacy to satisfy the requirements of the Election Code.

Benefits of SLO County 2030 Map 74760 that address problems with Patten Map and concerns about the current districts:

1. This map preserves the major communities of interest, especially those encompassing large portions of unincorporated areas (North Coast, Nipomo and Oceano) that are heavily dependent upon County government for services and thus aligns with the priorities of Election Code 21500.

2. No CSDs will have split representation. This ensures that their residents have consistent County representation.

3. Only two incorporated cities will have district divisions and these have rationales based upon underlying communities of interest with their associated districts.

4. Each district will have an agricultural component in recognition of this important element that's active across the County.

5. The map will have dramatically fewer disruptions to voting opportunities for citizens. More citizens will have an opportunity to vote when and in the district where they expected to vote.
6. The map has lower maximum population deviation than the Patten Map (4.11% vs. 4.85%).
7. The map raises fewer concerns about partisan intent, and, thus, is less likely to run afoul of legal challenges that would unnecessarily divert time and resources from important issues and services the County needs to address.
8. The map offers a path to bring our county back to working together on our most important priorities rather than dividing us, amplifying the difficulties we already face.

I appreciate your attention to these issues and anticipate resolution benefitting the county in the most reasonable manner.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Alflen

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:18 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Carrie Johnson [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 9:45 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Carrie Johnson
Atascadero

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:19 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 10:20 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Barry VanderKelen [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 10:04 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I strongly encourage you to adopt the SLO County 2030 map to complete the required redistricting initiative.

This map allows the County to approach such issues as economic development, housing, and the closure of Diablo Canyon most strategically for the benefit of everyone in the County.

Regards,

Barry VanderKelen
President, Central Coast Trust
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:19 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment on Redistricting.

From: District 3 <district3@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 10:31 AM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment on Redistricting.

Public Comment on Redistricting.

Thank you,



Sarah Sartain

Legislative Assistant, District 3
Office of Supervisor Dawn Ortiz-Legg
County of San Luis Obispo
1055 Monterey Street, San Luis Obispo, Ca 93408

Phone: 805-781-4336

Email: sartain@co.slo.ca.us

www.slocounty.ca.gov



From: John Hannon [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 7:59 AM
To: Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting--Keep SLO City tripartite

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Ms Ortiz-Legg

You are my supervisor. I agree with you that the city of SLO should be divided so as to allow the city's diversity to be reflected in three districts. In my opinion, this supports the important goal of representing the citizens without "fracturing communities of interest".

Thank you for your time, I appreciate your good work,

John

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:19 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 10:56 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Susanne Mumby [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 10:38 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

It is my understanding that there are now two redistricting maps, which are under consideration. I urge you to adopt the SLO County 2030 map, which offers fewer changes to districting, which are neither legally nor operationally required. The alternative Patten map makes more radical changes, especially by the greater number of deferrals and accelerations in voting. This disenfranchises a substantial number of voters while privileging another group with an early vote.

I am especially concerned about deferrals, which would create "orphaned" districts. In these communities where voters, who would be eligible to vote in 2022 under current districting, would be unable to vote until 2024, according to the Patten map. An estimated 26,000 voters would be without effective representation on the Board of Supervisors, if unable to vote in 2022.

Because of the voting record of communities who would be affected by Patten map redistricting, it is apparent that the rationale for changes to boundaries are partisan and point to a potential violation of the Elections Code. To avoid such violation and to promote the will of the people to be known, I strongly encourage you to adopt the SLO County 2030 map.

Sincerely,

Susanne Mumby

Registered Voter

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:19 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO County 2030 map support

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 10:56 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO County 2030 map support

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Mike Quamma [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 10:43 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]SLO County 2030 map support

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Board of Supervisors-
I strongly support the bi-partisan, SLO County 2030 redistricting map.
Thank you-
Mike Quamma
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:19 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO County Redistricting Proposed Two Maps

From: Kathleen Goble <kgoble@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:08 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO County Redistricting Proposed Two Maps

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 7:05 PM
To: Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: ahseastrand_aol.com <ahseastrand@aol.com>
Subject: [EXT]SLO County Redistricting Proposed Two Maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Good evening Supervisor Arnold,

Thank you for voting to support two redistricting maps for consideration by the SLO County Board of Supervisors at the recent November 19th meeting. Of the two maps, I respectfully request your support for the adoption of Richard Patten's Rev. 1 Citizens' Map.

https://www.slocounty.ca.gov/Departments/Administrative-Office/Forms-Documents/Redistricting/Richard-Patten-Rev_1_ID-74786_Detail.pdf

After reviewing the two maps, the only one that fully conforms to rules set by the 2020 California Citizens Redistricting Commission, is the Patten map. It recognizes communities of interest that are geographically compact, undivided and populations evenly distributed throughout the County

The City of San Luis Obispo is a single "community of interest," as well as Cal Poly which should logically be included within the City of San Luis Obispo. These two communities should not be divided, and should both remain within one supervisorial district. Might add, Cal Poly's socio-economic interests are tied strongly to the City of San Luis Obispo.

Again, I whole-heartedly support Richard Patten's Rev. 1 Citizens' Map. It adheres to the criteria set forth for redistricting and is fair and non-political.

Please vote to adopt Richard Patten's Rev. 1 Citizens' Map!

Thank you.

Respectfully,

Andrea Seastrand

Andrea Seastrand
Resident of San Luis Obispo County



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:19 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: District 4 <district4@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:10 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

Public Comment on Redistricting.

- Caleb Mott
Legislative Assistant District 4
San Luis Obispo County Supervisor Lynn Compton
(805) 781-4337
(800) 834-4636 ext 4337
District4@co.slo.ca.us
1055 Monterey St D430
San Luis Obispo CA 93408
[Visit our Website](#)

From: Barry VanderKelen [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 10:00 AM
To: District 4 <district4@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Supervisor Compton,

I strongly encourage you to adopt the SLO County 2030 map in the redistricting initiative.

SLO County 2030 is the fairest approach to addressing economic development, housing and homelessness, the closure of Diablo Canyon and the transition of the Oceano Dunes. These issues are core to the County.

Regards,

Barry VanderKelen
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:20 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]RICHARDS MAP

From: Kathleen Goble <kgoble@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]RICHARDS MAP

From: Ginger Lordus [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 10:58 AM
To: Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]RICHARDS MAP

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I VOTE FOR Richard Patten Map Rev_1 because it keeps Templeton and Atascadero united and brings Cal Poly and SLO City together. ITS Obvious: SLO/POLY/CUESTA (Just like Santa Barbara); South County; Coast; and two North County districts. Its time to do the right thing and follow the guidelines, the chamber plan does not!

Ginger Lordus
30 year SLO County resident and property owner

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:20 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]November 30 redistricting Board of Supervisors meeting

-----Original Message-----

From: I caulfield [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:16 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]November 30 redistricting Board of Supervisors meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the board of supervisors:

I implore you to maintain district 2 intact. Any break up of our North County coastal communities of interest would be clearly illegal and clearly a Product of a gerrymandering.

I support adoption of the map called by the San Luis Obispo Tribune the Chamber of Commerce map which makes the least changes to our current District maps.

Thank you,

Lee Andrea Caulfield

Los Osos

Sent from my iPho

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:58 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Kathleen Goble <kgoble@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:22 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Cpdegroot [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 5:21 AM
To: Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786.

This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly, keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole.

The other map is the similar to Map A that was already rejected by the November 19 vote.

Thank you
Pieter degroot

Sent from my iPhone

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:58 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Kathleen Goble <kgoble@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:23 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Dennis Krueger [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 5:55 AM
To: Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

My choice is the [Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786](#).

Take care.

Dennis Krueger
Morro Bay
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:58 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment on Redistricting.

From: District 3 <district3@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:25 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment on Redistricting.

Public Comment on Redistricting.

Thank you,



Sarah Sartain

Legislative Assistant, District 3
Office of Supervisor Dawn Ortiz-Legg
County of San Luis Obispo
1055 Monterey Street, San Luis Obispo, Ca 93408

Phone: 805-781-4336

Email: ssartain@co.slo.ca.us

www.slocounty.ca.gov



From: Rick Jacobsen [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 9:49 AM
To: Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please use Richard Patten's map. It follows the state guidelines.

Thank you

Richard Jacobsen

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:58 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment on Redistricting.

-----Original Message-----

From: District 3 <district3@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:26 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment on Redistricting.

Public Comment on Redistricting.

Thank you,

Sarah Sartain
Legislative Assistant, District 3
Office of Supervisor Dawn Ortiz-Legg
County of San Luis Obispo
1055 Monterey Street, San Luis Obispo, Ca 93408

Phone: 805-781-4336

Email: ssartain@co.slo.ca.us

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C56e3644562a64bc59bba08d9af84be20%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637733806916281142%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1aWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=Z0HdkRDf7HtJk5ZyXkVN8dWb8wbhph8IN3y6XuDw9q08%3D&reserved=0>

-----Original Message-----

From: David Lopez [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 10:35 AM
To: Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting of North Coast District 2

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisor Ortiz-Legg,

It is clear that the best redistricting option for north coast residents is Map A. I'm requesting that you choose Map A and keep coastal San Luis Obispo county, coastal. We appreciate your support.

Thank you for your consideration.

David Lopez

Cambria

Sent from my iPhone

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:58 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment on Redistricting.

From: District 3 <district3@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:28 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment on Redistricting.

Public Comment on Redistricting.

Thank you,



Sarah Sartain

Legislative Assistant, District 3
Office of Supervisor Dawn Ortiz-Legg
County of San Luis Obispo
1055 Monterey Street, San Luis Obispo, Ca
93408

Phone: 805-781-4336

Email: ssartain@co.slo.ca.us

www.slocounty.ca.gov



From: Joette [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:58 PM
To: Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Sarah Sartain <ssartain@co.slo.ca.us>; Ed Waage <ewaage@pismobeach.org>
Subject: [EXT]Recommended Map for Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisor Ortiz-Legg:

Upon carefully reviewing the criteria established for drawing district lines, and reviewing the currently proposed SLO County Staff Maps A, B, & C to be considered for the County's redistricting, I have several concerns over what is being proposed. Specifically, none of these maps fully conform to the rules set forth for redistricting; further, in the case of some of the cities, their respective outlined divisions do not make sense.

Richard Patten's Rev. 1 Citizens' Map (https://www.slocounty.ca.gov/Departments/Administrative-Office/Forms-Documents/Redistricting/Richard-Patten-Rev_1_ID-74786_Detail.pdf), however, does make sense; it adheres to the criteria set forth for redistricting and is fair and nonpolitical, clearly representing a united County. For these reasons, I strongly recommend that this map be adopted for the County's redistricting. In this map:

- Communities of interest would be geographically compact—whole, undivided, and populations would be evenly distributed throughout the County (the map represents a census number with a deviation that is closest to being perfect—far below 10%--at 4.86%).

The **City of San Luis Obispo**, a single “community of interest,” would not be divided into three districts as represented in SLO County Staff Maps A, B, & C – having more than one supervisorial district in a single “community of interest” violates the criteria set forth for redistricting.

Cal Poly would logically be included with the City of SLO, both being “communities of interest” that should not be divided; they should both remain together within one supervisorial district. In SLO County Map A, Cal Poly would be divided into three districts, which is against the rules and makes no sense. Additionally, Cal Poly would be included in coastal districts, which is very questionable; why would the college student population be in a coastal district where the No. 1 revenue source is tourism? There doesn’t seem to be much of a common shared interest economically or socially. Having been an integral part of the Cal Poly community (32 years’ employment there), I am keenly aware of Cal Poly’s socio-economic interests which, I believe, strongly tie to the City of San Luis Obispo.

Los Osos would be included with other beach towns in District 5; the population and proximity of Los Osos work well with Avila Beach, Pismo Beach, Grover Beach and Oceano.

Templeton would be kept whole, conforming to the rules, rather than be split as in the current SLO County Staff Map B adopted in 2011.

Thank you for your consideration. Please vote for **Richard Patten’s Rev. 1 Citizens’ Map**.

Best,
A. Joette Eisengart
Shell Beach (District 3)
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:59 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]

From: Kathleen Goble <kgoble@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:43 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]

From: David Madsen [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 7:13 AM
To: Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please keep Richard Patton map rev one 74 78 6. Keep Atascadero and Templeton together United. The other point keep San Luis Obispo and Cal poly together. Thank you Diane Madsen Atascadero

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:59 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:56 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Janice Peters [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:51 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello, Supervisors,
This message is to urge that you **DO NOT** break up the coastal district that includes Cambria, Cayucos, Morro Bay and Los Osos. These areas share common issues regarding the coast that have serious economic impacts that do not affect inland areas. As those who are affected, we need to have representation for our common interests, including commercial fishing, offshore wind development, Marine Sanctuary designation and tourism.

Please choose the SLO County 2030 Map to retain our fair coastal representation.

Sincerely,
Janice Peters
Former Mayor of Morro Bay
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:59 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO County 2030 Alternative

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:56 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO County 2030 Alternative

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: David J Rogers [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:54 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]SLO County 2030 Alternative

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the Board of Supervisors:

Please note that as a business owner and resident of Morro Bay, we want to keep the North Coast area of SLO County together in one supervisorial district. We OPPOSE being lumped in with San Luis Obispo City and Cal Poly. Morro Bay, Cayucos and Los Osos are all very much a community, separately and together, of their own. Being on the water front, it does not make sense to be lumped into the inland area of San Luis Obispo City and Cal Poly. We respectfully oppose this change. Thank you.

Peggy and David Rogers
DAVID J ROGERS FINE ART GALLERY

Maria G. Brown

From: Susan Carter [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 10:46 PM
To: John Peschong
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello Supervisor Peschong,

Thank you for listening to your constituents, bringing back for review and then the final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786.

This map makes sense to keep San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly and keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole. This makes sense to us.

The other map is similar to Map A.

Sincerely,
Phil and Susan Carter

[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: David Gray [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 4:49 PM
To: John Peschong
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786.

This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly and keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole.

The other map is similar to Map A that was already rejected by the November 19 vote.

Thanks,

David Gray

[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Dan Hathaway [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 4:12 PM
To: John Peschong
Subject: [EXT]redistricting of slo county

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thanks for bringing back for review and final vote these two maps. Richard Patten's Map Rev_1 ID 74786 would be my choice as it keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly, and it keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole. I have lived in Atascadero for over 10 yrs., following having lived in SLO for more than 50 yrs. I am also a Cal Poly graduate. My belief is that SLO should be kept whole, with all of Cal Poly. I know that both CP and SLO are a single community of interest, and neither fits in with Atascadero. I know this because I have been a part of all three and the needs and wants of Atascadero do not mesh with SLO or CP. Thanks for listening and I hope you do the right thing.

Dan Hathaway
Atascadero

Maria G. Brown

From: Sharon Robinson [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 4:02 PM
To: John Peschong
Subject: [EXT]Map Preference

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hi,

Thank you for all of your work on this redistricting project! My choice is the Map REV_1 ID 74786 by Richard Patten which keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly and keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole.

Thank you!

Sharon Robinson
Paso Robles

[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 1:24 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting meeting

-----Original Message-----

From: John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:59 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting meeting

VICKI JANSSEN, Legislative Assistant
First District Supervisor John Peschong
1055 Monterey St., D430
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
(805)781-4491/Fax (805) 781-1350
vjanssen@co.slo.ca.us

COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

-----Original Message-----

From: sdegerer [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, November 21, 2021 8:21 PM
To: John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisor Peschong,

I attended that last 2 BOS meetings on redistricting and though I am not in your district I wanted to contact you to say I appreciated what you had to say at the end of Fridays meeting.

The name calling, character questioning and threats are completely inappropriate.

Your response to the argument of the status quo districting being, "not broken so not needing to be fixed," that the CA Fair Maps Act came into law after the the existing maps were drawn was spot on and not rebutted.

Thank you for utilizing fair analysis of the purposed maps and giving sincere attention to each and every public comment. I'm sorry to hear you say that you wont be running again, a loss for the whole county. Thank you for your service.

Sincerely,
Sara Semmes

Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 1:24 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Kathleen Goble <kgoble@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 12:16 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 9:05 PM
To: John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Bobbie Baxter
Paso Robles

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 1:24 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

-----Original Message-----

From: Annette Hatzman [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 12:32 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

People keep arguing for or against the proposals by saying how they FEEL....But I say, facts are more important: The FACTS here are that redistricting guidelines advise to keep communities whole and to not separate communities of interest. The Richard Patten map accomplishes this and also rectifies bad - and easily argued, corrupt - decisions made during the last redistricting.

Annette Hatzman
Atascadero

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 1:24 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Frank & Annette Hatzman [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 1:00 PM
To: Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Keep communities whole. Don't separate communities of interest. Atascadero is better kept whole and assigned to the coastal district rather than split in two and combined with Cal Poly which is physically, financially, and socially part of the town of San Luis Obispo. WHAT A REACH to want to split Atascadero in order to do that!

Opponents of this map, such as the Tribune Editorial Board in their article last updated November 23, 2021, use derisive language to make ad hominem arguments against its supporters. Using obviously fallacious arguments combined with inflammatory name-calling speech such as "kdfn ore #ri#p ds #shggdng# | #d#frqvs ludf | #ri# vqdnhr l#vdhvp hq%# appeals to people who can be easily influenced by the very thin and, in at least one instance, incorrect arguments set forth later in that article. I include all this here just to provide an example of the real snake oil selling that is going on in arguments against the Patten map; because the arguments against it just aren't strong enough to stand up by themselves without such low-brow tactics.

Frank Hatzman

Atascadero

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:20 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Assembly District Map Decision

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:18 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Assembly District Map Decision

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Geri Lachance [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 12:04 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Assembly District Map Decision

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the Board of Supervisors,

When making the final decision, please **vote in favor of the SLO 2030 Map** for our Assembly districts, submitted by the SLO Chamber. It was established through a committee where bi-partisan and diverse interests were all represented, with months of thoughtful discussion. It is the map that truly best represents leadership oversight for our wonderful community, our businesses and our strategic future.

Thank you.

Geri LaChance
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:21 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]County Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:19 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]County Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 12:32 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]County Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I live in Los Osos and own a vacation rental property management business based in Morro Bay that serves the communities of Morro Bay, Los Osos and Cayucos. I am also a member of the Central Coast Management Association, an organization made up of VR property managers as well as individual VR owners. These 3 communities share so many commonalities including concern about the health of the estuary, the serving of the robust tourist industry, compliance with Coastal Commission regulations and more. It does not make any sense to split these communities up into different supervisor districts since on many issues, our communities share common goals. And it certainly doesn't make sense to group any of these communities with parts of San Luis Obispo or north county towns like Atascadero. I strongly urge you to either keep the districts unchanged from what they currently are or to adopt the 2030 Alternative Map put forward by the SLO Chamber of Commerce. The plan submitted by Mr Patton is clearly self-serving for some of the current supervisors and the Republican voters even though SLO county as a whole clearly leans toward a more Democrat-based population. It is vitally important that we have a balanced BOS that is focused on controlled growth and protection of our natural resources. We have a beautiful, pristine county that needs your concern and protection of our natural resources and the lifestyle that we all enjoy by living here.

Margaret Juren
Los Osos resident and
Owner of Beach-N-Bay Getaways Vacation Rental Management

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:21 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:20 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Ruth Angus [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 12:34 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the Board of Supervisors

Please leave the district that Morro Bay is in now as is. Placing us away from our local town neighbors (Los Osos and Cayucos) is unrealistic. Placing in with San Luis Obispo and/or Cal Poly is equally unrealistic. Morro Bay is a small city not a metropolitan area that San Luis Obispo is becoming. We have no real industry other than tourism and nothing in common with SLO or Cal Poly. Located on the coast as we are, we are vitally concerned with the environment of the ocean, the bay, the estuary and watershed that drains into our estuary. Environment is what we have more in common with Los Osos and Cayucos than we ever would have with SLO or Cal Poly. Residents in Morro Bay rely on how districting affects our businesses and our welfare and we do not measure up to the business community in San Luis Obispo. Please keep us in the district we are in now.

Ruth Ann Angus
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:21 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:20 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Jan Thompquist [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 12:39 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am unable to attend the Redistricting Meeting on November 30.

I urge you to vote to adopt SLO County 2030 map for the following reasons:

- 1.) I believe it meets the criteria of the California Election Code.
- 2.) It respects the natural boundary of our coastal mountains.
- 3.) It keeps the south coast areas of interest in tact.
- 4.) It keeps the north coast areas of interest in tact.
- 5.) It is less disruptive to the voters of SLO County than the alternative, the Patten map.
- 6.) The Patten map does not unite the City of San Luis Obispo into one supervisor's district, as many speakers at the October 19, public hearing hoped and claimed.

Thank you for your consideration,

Janet L. Thompquist
Paso Robles

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:21 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:20 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Judy West [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 1:26 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the Board of Supervisors

I am a registered voter in Los Osos. I strongly oppose the Patten Map. The BOS have not yet explained their position on why they would even consider this radical change. The Patten map would disrupt communities of shared interest and it benefits one political party.

Thank you,
Judith West

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:24 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:22 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 8:38 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: John Sutter

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: The denial of what Professor Latner stated in the New Times article about the upcoming redistricting by the board's majority is a lie. Those three want to ensure the conservative policy that they believe in and want to foster upon this county are in place for at least another 10 to 11 years. You can't tell me that Arnold, Compton and Peschong don't want to ensure this continuity by making sure that the boundaries are redrawn to favor their voters over the voters that selected Bruce Gibson for example. So it is about politics Arnold, no matter how much you deny that it is.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 195784

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2825

Form inserted: 11/24/2021 8:37:40 AM

Form updated: 11/24/2021 8:37:40 AM

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:24 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:22 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Virginia Jensen [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 1:52 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the Board of Supervisors,

I am a registered voter in Los Osos, CA 93402.
The BOS have not yet explained why they are considering the Patten map.
This map would radically disrupt communities and isolate areas from their neighboring communities of interest.
Los Osos, as an unincorporated area, relies greatly upon our supervisor, Bruce Gibson, to assist with special issues that face us and all of the northern costal communities.

Please DO NOT break apart our costal communities and isolate our concerns.

I will be at the November 30 meeting waiting for you to make the right decision leading us into a shared bright future.

Thank You,
Virginia Jensen

Maria G. Brown

From: Dan Phillips [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 7:57 AM
To: Debbie Arnold
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

Maria G. Brown

From: Cpdegroot [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 5:21 AM
To: Debbie Arnold
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786.

This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly, keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole.

The other map is the similar to Map A that was already rejected by the November 19 vote.

Thank you
Pieter degroot

Sent from my iPhone

Maria G. Brown

From: G Egger [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 5:43 AM
To: Debbie Arnold
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786.

This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly, keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole.

The other map is the similar to Map A that was already rejected by the November 19 vote.

Gregory Egger
Avila Beach, CA

Seni Metu - Go forward without fear!



Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

Maria G. Brown

From: Dennis Krueger [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 5:55 AM
To: Debbie Arnold
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

My choice is the [Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786](#).

Take care.

Dennis Krueger
Morro Bay
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Ginger Lordus [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 10:58 AM
To: Debbie Arnold
Subject: [EXT]RICHARDS MAP

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I VOTE FOR Richard Patten Map Rev_1 because it keeps Templeton and Atascadero united and brings Cal Poly and SLO City together. ITS Obvious: SLO/POLY/CUESTA (Just like Santa Barbara); South County; Coast; and two North County districts. Its time to do the right thing and follow the guidelines, the chamber plan does not!

Ginger Lordus
30 year SLO County resident and property owner

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Maria G. Brown

From: Sharon Robinson [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 4:03 PM
To: Debbie Arnold
Subject: [EXT]Map Preference

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hi,

Thank you for all of your work on this redistricting project! My choice if the Map REV_1 ID 74786 by Richard Patten which keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly and keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole.

Thank you!

Sharon Robinson
Paso Robles

[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: David Gray <dgray@Midstate-cal.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 4:51 PM
To: Debbie Arnold
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hi Supervisor Arnold,

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786.

This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly and keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole.

The other map is similar to Map A that was already rejected by the November 19 vote.

Thanks,

David Gray



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 3:06 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: thomas dawson [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:47 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back only these two maps for review and final vote.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly.

This map demonstrates how the existing supervisorial map need not divide cities unnecessarily.

Your Name Thomas M. Dawson
City San Luis Obispo, CA

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 3:34 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 3:26 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C620a271d2ce44e37d72308d9afa2e590%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637733936441133885%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1aWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=mxSFwXHzO3XisNf8cOuxql8M57jKhTjpAJytMNOJDFI%3D&reserved=0>

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----

From: Donna [REDACTED] >
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 3:21 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I want to urge your to reject the Patten plan for redistricting of SLO county

Donna Jones 

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 3:06 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistributing

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:55 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistributing

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Cherise Hansson [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:36 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistributing

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello my name is Cherise Hansson. I am a resident of Morro Bay. I am the owner of U def The Sea Gallery with two shops in morro Bay and one in Avila Beach. I am the Harbor Advisory Chairperson in Morro Bay and a board member on the Morro Bay Chamber of Commerce. I would very much appreciate your consideration for the **SLO County 2030 alternative map when you decide on the redistricting. Thank you for your time.**

Cherise Hansson

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:03 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 228

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 4:49 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 228

RedistrictingID 228
Form inserted 11/24/2021 4:47:33 PM
Form updated 11/24/2021 4:47:33 PM
First Name Janice
Last Name Rohn
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Los Osos
Zip 93402

Comment I am vehemently opposed to the Patten Map, which separates Los Osos, Morro Bay, and other like communities who have shared shorelines and interest. The Patten Map is not in alignment with Election Code 21500. The SLO County 2030 Map make much more sense, and the Board of Supervisors should vote for this one. Anyone voting for the SLO County 2030 Map will have the continued support of a large contingency of voters.

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:03 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 4:53 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 4:35 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Kimberly de Clercq

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: The "Patten map" is a farce and will not lead to a Board of Supervisors that is able to reasonably represent the people in their districts. As a resident of Paso Robles, I am shocked and disappointed that it is even on the table. It defies logic and should not be accepted. Vote for the Chamber of Commerce map which is at least reasonable and logical.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 251693

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2837

Form inserted: 11/24/2021 4:34:57 PM

Form updated: 11/24/2021 4:34:57 PM

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:04 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: donn clarius [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 8:36 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north.

I urge that the Board take the selfless, and brave step of keeping the cities each in its own district!

Thank you for your consideration!

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:05 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Written Public Comment - Please add to the Record

From: Rita C [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 9:53 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Written Public Comment - Please add to the Record

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Name: Rita Casaverde
Email: [REDACTED]
Resident of Garden Farms Community Water District.

I'm submitting this comment supporting Map 75760 (SLO Chamber Map).

It has become obvious that the Patten map is a blatant partisan effort, see attachment showing the [Republican Party Newsletter](#) training on how to support the Patten map: "what to say and what to write".

 [GOP redistricting training on Patten Map](#)

Currently, the Republican Party is sharing the following language:

- For north residents: *"Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole and keeps Cal Poly out of the north."*
- For Central SLO County: *"Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly together."*
- For South residents: *"Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district. Oceano residents shop in Grover Beach for groceries."*

Comments sharing the language below should be considered as partisan and therefore against the non-partisan nature of our redistricting process.

The consultants facilitating this process should be able to see the partisan nature of this map, who is behind it and how the map itself goes against redistricting guidelines.

I'm adding this comment to make sure it's on the record that this concern was clearly raised.

Rita Casaverde



★ **TUESDAY NOV 16** ★

Redistricting Training

Atascadero Republican HeadQuarters
7357 El Camino Real, Atascadero

Tuesday, November 16 • 2:00PM - 4:00PM

**Learn What to Write
What to Say**

**EXAMPLE OF WHAT YOU WILL BE ABLE TO SAY IN EMAIL AND IN PERSON
AFTER THE TRAINING:**

I like the Citizen, Richard Patten's Map Rev_1 for these reasons:

1. Templeton is NOT split it is kept whole.
2. District 5 NO LONGER reaches into SLO City and grabs Cal Poly
3. SLO city is NOT divided among 3 different supervisors instead it is kept whole.

Keep the CITIES whole!

At the training we will have more discussion as you understand the map choices and you on your own will be able to tell the county board of supervisors what you want them to choose.!

WATCH VIDEO

Tom O'Malley interviewing Richard Patten on Redistricting in our county and preparing for the Friday Nov 19 Board Meeting.

