
From: dfsweet@aol.com <dfsweet@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 8:25 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Comments for 11/19 hearing#3

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am very concerned about the efforts regarding redistricting in our county. This is a major effort that DOES NOT have to occur. The latest census data does not show the need for changes in our districts. The Draft A map which is basically "no change" preserves existing communities of interest.

Please do not spend important hours focusing on change that does not need to be made at this time.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Nancy Sweet


Nipomo, CA 93444

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 7:08 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 99

RedistrictingID	99
Form inserted	11/14/2021 7:07:06 PM
Form updated	11/14/2021 7:07:06 PM
First Name	Ellen
Last Name	November
Email	Ellen.november@gmail.com
Phone	[REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented	
City	SLO
Zip	93405
Comment	Per consultants and experts on redistricting, no changes need to be made. We are within proper guidelines of districting.
Public Records Notice	True
Security Check	304672

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 6:16 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 98

RedistrictingID 98

Form inserted 11/14/2021 6:15:18 PM

Form updated 11/14/2021 6:15:18 PM

First Name Brian

Last Name Dobbs

Email dobbs.brian@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Los Osos

Zip 93402

Comment I am a resident of Los Osos and strongly oppose the proposed redistricting. There is no logical reason to break up the north coast as there have been no significant changes in our coastal community to justify the changes. The proposed redistricting seems to reflect political interests rather than what is best for the people/community. Please do the right thing.

Public Records
Notice True

Security Check 979830

From: Glenn Eineman <geineman@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 6:05 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]comment on redistricting in SLO county

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Map A is the most reasonable map to approve. With the census approximately what it was 10 years ago and all current districts within the guidelines it makes sense to approve a map that only makes minor adjustments, that is Map A.

Thank you,
Glenn Eineman
Nipomo, Ca

From: jeffreyfranz <jfteuber@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 5:42 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Jeffrey Teuber <jfteuber@gmail.com>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello:

As a voting member living in San Luis Obispo County, I wish to register my objection to the system in which Supervisors choose their own boundaries. This can only lead to cronyism and corruption. We deserve to have an impartial, nonpartisan, Independent Redistricting Commission.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Teuber

--

J. F. Teuber, PhD

From: Linda Reynolds <lreynolds151@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 5:41 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]comments on the redistricting maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Remember the old saying, if it ain't broke dont' break it? Well, that is the situation with redistricting in SLO County 2021.

The only map that makes sense is map A. It maintains and preserves the important communities of interest. It complies with the Federal voting rights act. An important issue in both what we see is going on nationally and could be happening locally is abuse of the Federal voting rights act. We want to maintain compliance with the voting rights act in San Luis Obispo county. Map A will achieve that.

Personally, I was rather surprised at the recent evening meeting on redistricting that only one supervisor was quick enough and aware enough and had done his homework to study the maps and be able to make a comment.

Let's hope the rest of the BOS will take this subject seriously and study the maps presented. Many of us in the communities have spent hours studying these maps. There is some obvious gerrymandering in some of them. NOT with map A

Please vote for the most economical, reasonable map that shows careful good judgement by you as civic leaders. That is Map A.

Regards,
Linda Reynolds
Nipomo, Cal 93444

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 3:43 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 97

RedistrictingID 97

Form inserted 11/14/2021 3:41:20 PM

Form updated 11/14/2021 3:41:20 PM

First Name Kim

Last Name Richards

Email richardskimlft@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Los Osos

Zip 93402

Comment I read about the Board of Supervisors redistricting process. As a Los Osos resident, I do not want the North Coast broken up into different districts. We are a common community from Los Osos to San Simeon and should be kept together. Our community has not changed that much and it does not make sense to make these sweeping changes. I am strongly against politicians making their own special districts for political gain.

Public Records
Notice True

Security Check 101928

From: Helen Pitton <helenpitton@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 3:21 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: bruce.gibson.home@gmail.com
Subject: [EXT]Cambria MUST remain in District 2!

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Under no circumstances should Cambria be moved from District 2 into District 1 with Paso Robles. We have lived here full time for 13 years (and owned a home here for 32 years) and what we know for sure is that Cambria is a COASTAL community and should be in the coastal district - District 2.

Thank you,

Helen and Jim Pitton


Cambria 93428

From: Mom, <lisa.brazil@aol.com>

Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 3:02 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Public Comment for the redistricting meeting schedule for November 19, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The Richard Patten map provides that all county administrative guidelines are followed, it is possible to have Communities of interest together: whole, undivided and evenly distributed through out the county.
I like this map.

The San Luis Obispo county Staff maps A,B,C divide SLO city into 3 districts: having 3 supervisorial districts in a single " Community of interest" is not allowed. It violates the rules.

Cal Poly and San Luis Obispo are communities of interest and should be in the same district. In map A Cal Poly is divided into 3 districts. Dividing a community of interest does not follow the rules.

The best map is the one submitted by Richard Patten November 3, 2021. It adheres to the rules and does the best job of keeping San Luis Obispo city as whole as possible. It keeps San Luis Obispo together like no other map.

Oceano and Grover Beach together is smart as they share the same fire protection, school district and sewer.

Do the right thing and vote in Richard Patten Rev_1 map

Lisa Brazil
Avila Beach

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 3:00 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 96

RedistrictingID 96

Form inserted 11/14/2021 2:59:14 PM

Form updated 11/14/2021 2:59:14 PM

First Name Mat

Last Name Chirman

Email mchirman@neaptide.net

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Los Osos

Zip 93402

Comment I am a Los Osos resident and I read about the Board of Supervisors redistricting process. I am commenting for two reasons. First, I do not want the North Coast broken up into different districts. We are a common community from Los Osos to San Simeon and should stay together. Second, I do not think the current maps should be altered, since our community has not changed that much. Politicians should not be creating special districts for their own benefit.

Public Records
Notice True

Security Check 895409

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 1:46 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 95

RedistrictingID 95

Form inserted 11/14/2021 1:45:17 PM

Form updated 11/14/2021 1:45:17 PM

First Name Sarah

Last Name Barnes

Email sarah@thewinewrangler.com

Phone



Name of
Organization
Represented

City Paso Robles

Zip 93446

Comment I like Citizens, Richard Patton's map, Rev_1 because: 1) it does not include Cal Poly in with District 5 2) Templeton is NOT split 3) Slo is kept whole Overall, this map makes the most sense in our county's redistricting. It is also compliant, unlike our current map. Thank you.

Public Records Notice True

Security Check 451605

FW: [EXT]redistricting

Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Mon 11/15/2021 10:16 AM

To: Mei-Lin Gee <mgee@co.slo.ca.us>

-----Original Message-----

From: sue sacks <sue1sacks@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 12:35 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Any major or significant change to the current boundaries can only be to gain a political advantage for a political party or an incumbent, both of which purposes are expressly prohibited by the Fair Maps Act.

With existing District #4 having a 2020 census deviation of just 3.19% and otherwise meeting all criteria of the California Fair Maps Act, what possible legitimate basis would the incumbent supervisor have for wanting to modify strict boundaries? And specifically for supporting the removal of the unincorporated Census Designated Place of Oceano?

There are significant economic implications when radical boundary changes are made. Why do that?

Respectfully,
Sue Sacks

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 12:06 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 94

RedistrictingID 94

Form inserted 11/14/2021 12:04:56 PM

Form updated 11/14/2021 12:04:56 PM

First Name Robert

Last Name Wilkinson

Email wilk805@charter.net

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Cambria

Zip 93328

Comment I write in favor of keeping Cambria in District 2 with San Simeon, Cayucos, Morro Bay and Los Osos. Cambria has a Community of Interest with these other cities in District 2 as coastal communities and as (with the exception of Morro Bay) unincorporated cities.

Public Records
Notice True

Security Check 223932

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 11:47 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 93

RedistrictingID 93

Form inserted 11/14/2021 11:47:15 AM

Form updated 11/14/2021 11:47:15 AM

First Name Ann

Last Name Travers

Email a2b@charter.net

Phone



Name of
Organization
Represented

City Morro Bay

Zip 93442

Comment Please do minimal changes to Sup districts - based on legal requirements only. Knowledge gained by Supervisors will be lost by changing boundaries. Citizens gain by knowledgeable Sups.who know and share district values. District 2 is an example of shared interests values and concerns. Thank you.

Public Records
Notice True

Security Check 967619

From: Jill Stegman <jastegman@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 11:43 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Comments for November 19 Hearing #3

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

This is to state my support of Draft Map Plan A of the redistricting options proposed under the redistricting of San Luis Obispo County. Plan A is the current map, which has been declared valid and fair by the redistricting committee. It represents communities that share common interests in several ways including industrial, cultural and community. Also, Community leaders have made it clear that they don't wish to change their current supervisorial districts. Changing supervisory districts sres no purpose other than allowing for political gains by the board majority, which is clearly illegal.

Jill Stegman
Grover Beach

From: Lynda Shirar <lyndashirar@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 11:40 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynda Shirar <lyndashirar@gmail.com>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting proposals in SLO County

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the SLO County Board of Supervisors:

I have recently moved from San Luis Obispo to Paso Robles, and am enjoying the process of becoming part of my new community. I don't see any reason to change the district map for SLO County. Given that the County grew by only 10% in 10 years, only minimal changes should be made, **if any**, rather than the wholesale redistricting proposed by some. Also, as a resident of Paso Robles, I don't see this community aligned with the economic and cultural goals, or the problems, of coastal towns. It just makes more sense to leave the districts the same, as the communities in them are more like-minded.

Sincerely,
Lynda Shirar

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 11:09 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 92

RedistrictingID 92

Form inserted 11/14/2021 11:08:14 AM

Form updated 11/14/2021 11:08:14 AM

First Name William

Last Name Phillips

Email whp7878@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Arroyo Grande

Zip 93420

Comment Use the map submitted by Richard Patten Nov 3, 2021. It adheres to the rules and does the best job of keeping San Luis Obispo city as whole as possible. It keeps like aspects of San Luis Obispo City together like no other map ever. Oceano and Grover Beach together is smart as they share fire protection, school district and most important that famous sewer. Do the right thing and vote in Richard Patten Rev_1 map.

Public Records Notice True

Security Check 944094

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 9:24 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 91

RedistrictingID 91

Form inserted 11/14/2021 9:22:42 AM

Form updated 11/14/2021 9:22:42 AM

First Name Cynthia

Last Name Muir

Email czmuir@yahoo.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Morro Bay

Zip 93442

Comment The Patten Map keeps SLO together. Cities should not be divided. I think Grover Beach and Oceano should be together as they share many services, such as trash, water and fire departments, not divided. Putting Oceano with Nipomo is clearly political strategy. Keeping the map the same as before breaks all the state rules/guidelines. The Patten map follows the guidelines the best. I urge you to vote for the Patten map. Cynthia Muir

Public Records
Notice True

Security Check 694526

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Saturday, November 13, 2021 8:57 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 90

RedistrictingID 90

Form inserted 11/13/2021 8:57:13 PM

Form updated 11/13/2021 8:57:13 PM

First Name Terri

Last Name Stricklin

Email tstrickin@aol.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Nipomo

Zip 93444

Comment I urge you all to vote for the citizen map produced by Richard Patten. I believe it follows all of the rules set down by the Redistricting Commission. This map keeps all communities of interest together instead of the current districts that split San Luis Obispo and Templeton. There is absolutely no reason to split the cities. Please follow ALL of the rules of the Commission and vote for the citizen's map of Richard Patten.

Public Records
Notice True

Security Check 721549

FW: [EXT]Hearing Nov. 19 #3

Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Mon 11/15/2021 10:15 AM

To: Mei-Lin Gee <mgee@co.slo.ca.us>

-----Original Message-----

From: Mary Louis Labadie <mleaston@charter.net>

Sent: Saturday, November 13, 2021 7:31 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Hearing Nov. 19 #3

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

We strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to adopt Draft Map A as the most correct, fair and reasonable approach to the redistricting.

Any other approach is designed to tip the scales in the future elections. The public is aware of the slanted approach of other Drafts.

We call for equitable distribution of voters in the future.

James Easton
Mary Louise Labadie
Nipomo, CA

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Saturday, November 13, 2021 5:15 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 89

RedistrictingID 89

Form inserted 11/13/2021 5:14:04 PM

Form updated 11/13/2021 5:14:04 PM

First Name James

Last Name Richardson

Email mwiceo@yahoo.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of

Organization None - Concerned citizen

Represented

City Nipomo

Zip 93444

Comment

- DRAFT MAP A IS THE MOST LITIGATION-PROOF ALTERNATIVE: Draft Map A is consistent with traditional redistricting principles and there has been no successful legal challenge to the existing supervisorial district boundaries over the last ten (10) years. The County's consultant expert has confirmed that Draft Map A is totally compliant with redistricting requirements.

Public Records Notice True

Security Check 280310



From: Diane Mathews <dmathews909@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 13, 2021 4:42 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Re: Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Diane Mathews** <dmathews909@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Nov 13, 2021, 2:15 PM
Subject: Redistricting
To: <redistricting@co.slo.ca.>

Please replace the prior version of this letter with the version below which has been edited for clarity.

Sorry for the extra steps.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Diane and Rich Mathews

Board of Supervisors, County of San Luis Obispo

John Peschong
Debbie Arnold
Lynn Compton
Bruce Gibson
Dawn Ortiz-Legg

San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors Meeting, Friday, November 19, 2021

Public comment regarding Redistricting

As residents of District 1, we have become concerned with the various proposals for redistricting that you have under consideration. Given that the census data did not trigger the need for redistricting, we are concerned that this process was undertaken without the Board authorizing an independent commission and that several of the maps reflect massive changes to current boundaries when minimal adjustments would be sufficient.

Plans for moving District 1 boundaries to merge the interests of rural inland and coastal communities combine vastly varied geographic areas, cultural identities, and economic concerns. These proposals do not meet the requirement for keeping communities of interest together and will serve to dilute the voices of the voters within both interest groups.

The city of San Luis Obispo is the heart and soul of San Luis Obispo County. We benefit from the city's prominent role in our shared transportation hubs, education systems, and resource management. The City of San Luis Obispo serves as the economic hub for our agricultural and tourism industries. The current breakdown into 3 districts acknowledges this role and allows broader representation of shared interest groups. Plans A and B maintain this allocation. As a member of the faculty at Cal Poly University, I do support moving the new dorm areas into the same district as the rest of the University as outlined in Plan B. Either Plan A or B makes minimal changes.

We do not understand the rationale for considering the massive boundary changes required by the other plans under consideration. We believe that any major change to district boundaries would have significantly negative impacts. We are concerned that this level of change will create confusion for voters. We are concerned that voters within swing areas may lose the right to select their supervisor in the next election. Major changes to district boundaries will incur expenses in terms of outreach, process and staff time that are wholly unnecessary. Primarily, we are concerned that these changes reflect the partisan and self-serving goals of the current majority members of the Board of Supervisors. Any adoption of significant changes in boundaries will promote further distrust and division within our community.

Please act to support free and fair elections. This is an opportunity to show your support for our coastal and rural communities, our cities, and our shared institutions. We strongly urge you to maintain our current representation by making minimal to no changes to current district boundaries.

Sincerely,

Diane and Richard Mathews
Voters and Residents of San Luis Obispo County

Contact Information

██████████, Paso Robles
sadegranch1@gmail.com

From: Janet Thompquist <jlthompquist@msn.com>

Sent: Saturday, November 13, 2021 4:50 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisor Peschong, Supervisor Gibson, Supervisor Ortiz-Legg, Supervisor Compton, and Supervisor Arnold,

I have headed up a non-profit in northern San Luis Obispo County for over 30 years. Although our organization is based in Templeton, our clients come from all over San Luis Obispo County. In my experience, I have observed that each area of our county does have their own distinct issues.

I believe the current boundaries of our five Supervisorial Districts meet the State Election Code's rules and keep "communities of interest" intact. Wholesale boundary changes to any one district map would adversely affect this balance and be a violation of the state code. Redrawing district maps at this time is not required; let us keep the status quo and move forward.

Only small incremental changes would be acceptable at this time. For instance, proposed Map B unites the dorm residents at Cal Poly. I can see some reasons to make a minor boundary adjustment to keep that community of interest together in one district.

Again, I believe the current boundaries meet the criteria for fair districts. No redrawing is actually needed. If there are changes, they should be minimal.

Thank you for your consideration,
Janet Thompquist
Paso Robles



From: Diane Mathews <dmathews909@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 13, 2021 2:15 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please include the attached document into the public record for the Board of Supervisors Meeting on November 19, 2021.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Diane and Rich Mathews

Board of Supervisors, County of San Luis Obispo

John Peschong
Debbie Arnold
Lynn Compton
Bruce Gibson
Dawn Ortiz-Legg

San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors Meeting, Friday, November 19, 2021

Public comment regarding Redistricting

As residents of District 1, we have become concerned with the various proposals for redistricting that you have under consideration. Given that the census data did not trigger the need for redistricting, we are concerned that this process was undertaken without the authorization of an independent commission and that several of the maps reflect massive changes to current boundaries when minimal adjustments would be sufficient.

Plans for moving District 1 boundaries to merge the interests of rural inland and coastal communities combine vastly varied geographic areas, cultural identities, and economic concerns. These proposals do not meet the requirement for keeping communities of interest together and will serve to dilute the voices of the voters within both interest groups.

The city of SLO is the heart and soul of SLO County and represents a variety of interests that are shared across the county including transportation, education, and resource management. San Luis Obispo City serves as the economic hub for our agricultural and tourism industries. The current breakdown into 3 districts acknowledges this role and allows broader representation of shared interest groups. Plans A and B maintain this allocation. As a member of the faculty at Cal Poly University I do support moving the new dorm areas into the same district as the rest of the University as outlined in Plan B. Either Plans A or B make minimal changes.

We do not understand the rationale for considering the massive boundary changes required by the other plans under consideration. We believe that any major change to district boundaries would have significantly negative impacts. We are concerned that this level of change will create confusion for voters. We are concerned that voters within swing areas will lose the right to select their supervisor in the next election. Major changes to district boundaries will incur expenses in terms of outreach, process and staff time that are wholly unnecessary. Primarily we are concerned that these changes reflect the partisan and self-serving goals of the current majority members of the Board of Supervisors. Any adoption of significant changes in boundaries will promote further distrust and division within our community.

Please act to support free and fair elections. This is an opportunity to show your support for our coastal and rural communities, our cities, and our shared institutions. We strongly urge you to

maintain our current representation by making minimal to no changes to current district boundaries.

Sincerely,

Diane and Richard Mathews
Voters and Residents of San Luis Obispo County

Contact Information

██████████, Paso Robles
sadegranch1@gmail.com



From: Claudia Worthen <claudiamharmon@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 13, 2021 12:46 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting District 2

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for reading and seriously considering my letter. Claudia

Claudia Harmon Worthen

LEED AP, CAPS, CID #6727



Cambria, CA 93428

[Claudiamharmon@yahoo.com](mailto:claudiamharmon@yahoo.com)



SLO County Board of Supervisors

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Regarding redistricting District 2:

- An Independent and non-partisan agency should have been tasked with redistricting SLO County.
- Cambria must be geographically contiguous, a mountain stands between Paso and Cambria.
- Attaching Cambria, San Simeon and Cayucos to inland cities will violate the State and Federal constitution by dividing communities of interest. Cambria is a coastal village and has very little in common with the large inland cities.
- Cambria is compact and contiguous to Cayucos and San Simeon other small coastal towns.
- Cambria is primarily liberal and not compatible with more conservative Paso Robles and Atascadero.
- The census, less than 10%, does not warrant any change, especially none so dramatic as including Cambria with inland cities.
- Cambria is the largest contributor of TOT and sales taxes in the County. As such, it appears the inland towns desire our funding. This appears to discriminate against Cambria for being too rich, a violation of State and Federal constitutions and Federal Fair Maps Act.
- Connecting Cambria with conservative districts diminishes our right to fair voting practices. We could lose our ability to protect our precious coast.
- Redistricting costs unwarranted tax payer dollars, funds that could be more wisely spent on repairing sidewalks, water and sewer systems.

Please consider option A or B for redistricting.

Yours Truly,

Claudia Harmon Worthen

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Saturday, November 13, 2021 2:11 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 88

RedistrictingID 88

Form inserted 11/13/2021 2:10:18 PM

Form updated 11/13/2021 2:10:18 PM

First Name Diane

Last Name Mathews

Email dmathews909@gmail.com

Phone

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Paso Robles

Zip 93446

Comment Please act to support free and fair elections. This is an opportunity to show your support for our coastal and rural communities, our cities, and our shared institutions. We strongly urge you to maintain our current representation by making minimal to no changes to current district boundaries.

Public Records
Notice True

Security Check 748158

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Saturday, November 13, 2021 12:00 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 87

RedistrictingID 87

Form inserted 11/13/2021 11:59:34 AM

Form updated 11/13/2021 11:59:34 AM

First Name Kelly

Last Name Cannon

Email joesurf@charter.net

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Cambria

Zip 93428

Comment I oppose redistricting which separates Cambria from the rest of the coastal corridor. That is a community of interest. The highway 1 corridor, Ocean frontage and minimal development of this pristine area are all shared concerns of residents here. We have nothing in common with Paso Robles in terms of infrastructure issues or desired results. To combine Cambria with Paso in a new district would be to effectively silence any voice Cambria has over its own affairs.

Public Records Notice True

Security Check 618606

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 12, 2021 3:43 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: COI - ID 34

Your Name*	Diane Mathews
Your Email	dmathews909@gmail.com
Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, use our " Find my Supervisor " tool (opens in new window) to find your district*	District 1
Community Of Interest Name*	Rural San Luis Obispo County residents The residents of inland, northern San Luis Obispo County share an interest in preserving the openness and beauty of our rural area that is home to world-class wineries and the highly rated tourist destination of Paso Robles. We share concerns related to equitable and sustainable access to our very limited water resources: the Paso Basin, Lake Nacimiento, the Salinas Riverbed. We are a transportation hub for the area, intersected by the 101, 46 and 41, and host Amtrak and a regional airport.
What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important*	
Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the physical boundaries?*	This community is currently within the boundaries of District 1. Proposals to significantly alter the boundaries of District 1 are neither necessary based on the census results, nor supportive of the voters within this District.
What is the rationale for your community of interest to be used in the Board of Supervisor redistricting process? Please describe how the issues before the Board of Supervisors has an impact on your community.*	This community of interest should remain as one district. Any significant changes to the current boundaries of District 1 will dilute our right to choose representation that reflects our common interests and concerns as defined above. Communities along the coast have significantly different concerns and interests that reflect the geographical challenges and benefits that accompany life along the Pacific Coast.
What else would you like to tell us about your community?*	The greater Paso Robles area is growing. Adding communities to the current outline of District 1 puts the County in potential jeopardy of becoming unbalanced years before the next redistricting opportunity in ten years.
Security Check*	671953
Form inserted	11/12/2021 3:42:15 PM

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Friday, November 12, 2021 3:24 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 86

RedistrictingID 86

Form inserted 11/12/2021 3:23:05 PM

Form updated 11/12/2021 3:23:05 PM

First Name Dan

Last Name Hathaway

Email dan_eboy@att.net

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of

Organization citizen

Represented

City ATASCADERO

Zip 93422

Comment I thought that redistricting was done primarily to equalize the population in each of the districts in the county and, that the districts should reflect a community of interest. With that in mind, I strongly believe that the board should accept the map that puts Cal Poly and the city of SLO in the same district and that causes each district to be close in population numbers.

Public Records Notice True

Security Check 060225

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 12, 2021 12:44 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 85

RedistrictingID 85
Form inserted 11/12/2021 12:43:12 PM
Form updated 11/12/2021 12:43:12 PM
First Name Kitty
Last Name Connolly
Email k.connolly@mindspring.com
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Cambria
Zip 93428
Comment I favor Plan A which retains districts that have represented our county for 10 years. It reflects communities of interest while other plans dilute them.
Public Records Notice True
Security Check 608115

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Friday, November 12, 2021 2:01 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 84

RedistrictingID	84
Form inserted	11/12/2021 2:00:15 AM
Form updated	11/12/2021 2:00:15 AM
First Name	Hugh Michael
Last Name	Matheny
Email	theimmortalyoga@gmail.com
Phone	[REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented	myself
City	Paso Robles
Zip	93446
Comment	I support the map that keeps all of San Luis Obispo city plus Cal Poly all entirely in one single district.
Public Records Notice	True
Security Check	562051

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 4:16 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 83

RedistrictingID 83

Form inserted 11/11/2021 4:14:52 PM

Form updated 11/11/2021 4:14:52 PM

First Name James

Last Name Hunt

Email Jhunt73@hotmail.com

Phone



Name of

Organization Concerned Citizen

Represented

City Arroyo Grande

Zip 93420

Comment San Luis Obispo city needs to be its own district. The five cities needs to be all together. And no small hemorrhaging into the five districts . The Cuesta range needs to separate the districts in the north from ones on the coast.

Public Records Notice True

Security Check 683286

-----Original Message-----

From: Brent Berry <brentarc@charter.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 2:12 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Revision of district maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To: Board of Supervisors,

I am a 48 year residence of Cambria and a practicing architect. I have been involved in many of the local issues which have defined Cambria over the years including the search for supplemental water, residential planning and community history.

Because this community has its own unique expression in terms of development, land stewardship, business opportunities, and quality of life issues on the southern coast of Big Sur, I strongly oppose any district map that would include the inland community of Paso Robles. I believe the map as drawn which includes Cambria and San Simeon in District 2 would continue to allow the representation and attention to our unique needs that we are currently experiencing.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely ,
Brent Berry

From: Tonya Howze <tonyareversemortgage@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 1:55 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]KEEP A MAP

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Keep Map A just as it is. Changes are unnecessary and will cost unneeded expense. NO CHANGE!

*Tonya Howze
Oceano*

Tonya Howze
American Integrity Finance Inc.
[REDACTED]
Arroyo Grande Calif.
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: MIKE HEYL <mgaread@aol.com>

Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 1:16 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]No changes to the SLO county district lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

There have been minimal demographic, population, or communities of interest (COI) changes in SLO county since the last census was taken. Our district maps should reflect these minimal changes with minimal district line changes. Do not split the coastal communities and lump them into areas to the east.

The existing maps follow the law, and historically our Districts have worked as a body. Any changes proposed are to gain partisan advantage which is specifically excluded by law.

Michael Heyl

SAN Luis Obispo

Sent from my iPad

From: Debbie Wheeler <debbiewheeler100@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 1:11 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Keep Map A

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Keep Map A just as it is. Changes are unnecessary and will cost unneeded expense. NO CHANGE!

--

Debbie Wheeler

Oceano, CA

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 11:29 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 82

RedistrictingID 82

Form inserted 11/11/2021 11:28:52 AM

Form updated 11/11/2021 11:28:52 AM

First Name Travis

Last Name Semmes

Email Travis@morrotoro.com

Phone



Name of
Organization
Represented

City Atascadero


Zip 92422

Comment The urban area of San Luis Obispo and Cal Poly share common social or economic interests that should be included within a single supervisorial district for purposes of their effective and fair representation. One city, one voice.

Public Records
Notice True

Security Check 915379

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 11:27 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 81

RedistrictingID 81
Form inserted 11/11/2021 11:26:16 AM
Form updated 11/11/2021 11:26:16 AM
First Name Kathleen
Last Name Bibby
Email Kmbibby@gmail.com
Phone 
Name of Organization Self
Represented
City Atascadero
Zip 93422
Comment I fully support the redistricting map REV-1. This map keeps cities intact and communities of interest together. I encourage the members of the Board of Supervisors to adopt the new redistricting map.
Public Records Notice True
Security Check 037041

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 11:13 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 80

RedistrictingID 80

Form inserted 11/11/2021 11:12:53 AM

Form updated 11/11/2021 11:12:53 AM

First Name Sara

Last Name Semmes

Email sdegerer@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Atascadero

Zip 93422

Comment Cal Poly is a Community of Interest and it should not be divided. It's students are intertwined with businesses and communities of the city of San Luis Obispo and should be within the same district. To divide the population of Cal Poly from the city of San Luis Obispo would divide communities of interest.

Public Records
Notice True

Security Check 782163

From: Kathy Bornino <kbornino@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 10:11 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Map A

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Keep Map A just as it is. Changes are unnecessary and will cost unneeded expense. NO CHANGE!

*Kathy Bornino
Arroyo Grande CA 93420*

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 7:07 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 79

RedistrictingID 79

Form inserted 11/11/2021 7:06:31 AM

Form updated 11/11/2021 7:06:31 AM

First Name Phil

Last Name Mordaunt

Email phil@mordaunt.org

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of

Organization self

Represented

City san luis obispo

Zip 93401

Comment It is as simple as keep the cities as whole as possible. Richard Patten's Rev_1 Map does the best job of this and also allows for the 3 Agricultural distinct areas to be recognized. The north coast, the north east and the most southern from the coast to the eastern border are all agricultural based. This will have each represented with that in mind as supervisors decided to run for office. Tourism and agriculture define our county.

Public Records Notice True

Security Check 450104

From: laura mordaunt.org <laura@mordaunt.org>

Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 5:21 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Public Comment for the Redistricting meeting scheduled for Nov 19

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The best map is the one submitted by Richard Patten Nov 3, 2021. It adheres to the rules and does the best job of keeping San Luis Obispo city as whole as possible. It keeps like aspects of San Luis Obispo City together like no other map ever.

Oceano and Grover Beach together is smart as they share fire protection, school district and most important that famous sewer.

Do the right thing and vote in Richard Patten Rev_1 map.

Laura Mordaunt
San Luis Obispo

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2021 7:20 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 78

RedistrictingID 78

Form inserted 11/10/2021 7:19:48 PM

Form updated 11/10/2021 7:19:48 PM

First Name Marilyn

Last Name Hamilton

Email mjhamilton66@charter.net

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Paso Robles

Zip 93446

Comment Adoption of either Plan A or Plan B seem the only rational and equitable plan for redistricting. Plan C makes no sense at all. I favor a non partisan body for redistricting, not the Board of Supervisors, who have vested interests in drawing the boundaries to favor themselves.

Public Records Notice True

Security Check 089595

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2021 5:14 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 77

RedistrictingID 77

Form inserted 11/10/2021 5:13:33 PM

Form updated 11/10/2021 5:13:33 PM

First Name Frank

Last Name Triggs

Email ftriggs@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of

Organization Citizen
Represented

City PASO ROBLES

Zip 93446

Comment I have reviewed the proposed redistricting plans posted on the County website. I notice that all the plans suggested by the consulting organization violate the state of California law for redistricting. The only plan that is consistent with the state's direction is the one submitted by a citizen, Richard Patten. Therefore Plans A, B, C and D should be summarily rejected as inconsistent with state guidelines.

Public Records Notice True

Security Check 245310

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2021 5:11 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 76

RedistrictingID 76

Form inserted 11/10/2021 5:10:12 PM

Form updated 11/10/2021 5:10:12 PM

First Name Vic

Last Name Brown

Email vmbrown4@yahoo.com

Phone

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Los Osos

Zip 93402

Comment Occam's Razor states "entities should not be multiplied beyond necessity" The best simplest solution is that districts remain as they with minimal adjustments for small population change. District 2 currently share geographically-based economics, coastal concerns, water , salt water intrusion and rising sea levels very different from inland communities. Dividing dilutes our concerns and diminishes our communities of interest.

Public Records Notice True

Security Check 969191

From: AD-Board-Clerk <ad_board_clerk@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2021 2:48 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Fw: [EXT]Redistricting

Sincerely,

Clerk of the Board Team

Administrative Office, County of San Luis Obispo
1055 Monterey St., Ste. D430 | San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
Tel: (805) 781-1045 | Fax: (805) 781-5023

From: John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 05:49 PM
To: AD-Board-Clerk <ad_board_clerk@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

VICKI JANSSEN, Legislative Assistant
First District Supervisor John Peschong
1055 Monterey St., D430
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
(805)781-4491/Fax (805) 781-1350
vjanssen@co.slo.ca.us



**COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

From: John Teixeira <johnteixeira88@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 2:50 PM

To: John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Supervisor Peschong,

I have carefully reviewed the State of California rules regarding the establishment of Supervisorial Districts. Section 21500 of the Elections Code and have noted that during the redistricting process 10 years ago Cal Poly was gerrymandered into District 5 which involved moving Cal Poly to the north of Cuesta grade. This move was in violation of rule 3 which states to the extent practicable the geographic integrity of a city shall be respected in a manner that minimizes division. Taking Cal Poly out of the city of San Luis Obispo at that time and placing it in the North County was a clear case of political gerrymandering. Now is the time to correct that atrocity.

Rule 1 indicates Population equality shall be based on the total population of the residents of the county as determined by the most recent Federal census information available.

In reviewing the District maps submitted it is apparent to me the District Map submitted by Mr. Richard Patten 11/3/21 is in compliance with California State rules governing establishment of Supervisorial Districts, the population numbers on this map are about as equally divided as is possible. I recommend the Supervisors adopt Mr. Patten's map for the redistricting of San Luis Obispo County in 2021.

**Sincerely,
John Texeira
Paso Robles**

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2021 11:40 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 75

RedistrictingID 75

Form inserted 11/10/2021 11:39:20 AM

Form updated 11/10/2021 11:39:20 AM

First Name Laurie

Last Name Scott

Email slolotis@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City San Luis Obispo

Zip 93405

Comment Dear Board, I'd like it known that I support Redistricting Map B which combines Cal Poly as one COI as well as supporting minimal changes to any other district boundary lines. We don't need to spend money or staff time on these unnecessary changes, especially if those changes affect any folks not able to vote for a supervisor in 2022. Thank you for your time and for giving my comment your consideration. Laurie Scott

Public Records Notice True

Security Check 821464

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2021 7:03 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 74

RedistrictingID 74

Form inserted 11/10/2021 7:02:50 AM

Form updated 11/10/2021 7:02:50 AM

First Name Michael

Last Name OSullivan

Email mcmc1@charter.net

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of

Organization My wife and myself

Represented

City Cambria

Zip 93428

Comment Please keep Cambria in the same supervisor district along with the other Coastal communities. Lumping us with Paso Robles is a very bad idea. The needs of Cambria are vastly different than those of Paso Robles. Thank you.

Public Records Notice True


Security Check 143732

From: Gail Stevens <stevensg@charter.net>
Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 9:32 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Gail Stevens <stevensg@charter.net>
Subject: [EXT]SLO District Boundaries

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

1.

1. As a 14 year resident of Cambria, I am writing today to urge you to keep the Plan A district map, which is the status quo. There is no good reason to place Cambria in the same district with either Paso Robles or Atascadero. *The county must maintain "communities of interest" or those that share common interests and characteristics within districts.* Each of these towns are vastly different than the makeup of Cambria.

Respectfully,
Gail Stevens

Cambria, CA 93428

2.

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 5:52 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 73

RedistrictingID 73

Form inserted 11/9/2021 5:51:46 PM

Form updated 11/9/2021 5:51:46 PM

First Name Sande

Last Name Adkins

Email Drumleewest@msn.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of

Organization NA

Represented

City Paso Robles

Zip 93446

Comment According to California state rules we have not been in compliance for a long time. We are a prime example of gerrymandering. Only one map comes close to state law. Richard Patten's map keeps all the population deviations close, keeps cities nearly whole, and communities of interest . Complaints from those on the coast that don't feel it's right to put them with Paso Robles, but those areas share tourism, ranching and wine tasting. I vote for Mr. Patten's map. Thanks, Sande Adkins

Public Records Notice True

Security Check 764291

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 4:29 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]11/19 Reditstricking Hearing

For your review. Thank you.


Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: jan lewis <jlobispo@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 2:31 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]11/19 Reditstricking Hearing

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors:

I am voicing my concerns about the upcoming decisions you will be making in regards to redistricting. As a resident of the Coastal area, I am totally opposed to the Patton Map and of causing Los Osos to become a political island. The historical make-up of our communities in SLO county has been reflective of the inhabitants of these areas and needs to stay intact. Slicing up these jurisdictions for political party advantages is happening all over the country and the gerrymandering that's being proposed in our voting district is ludicrous. Please refrain from changing our voting district on the coast.

Thank you,
Jan Lewis

Cayucos, CA 93430

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 2:22 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 72

RedistrictingID 72

Form inserted 11/9/2021 2:21:11 PM

Form updated 11/9/2021 2:21:11 PM

First Name Stephanie

Last Name Allen

Email ruby92052@aol.com

Phone

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Cayucos

Zip 93430

Comment I urge you: maintain current districting in SLO County. 2020 US Census and guidelines to set county supervisor districts show there's NO reason to alter current districts. Materials proposing district changes offer no sound argument for redistricting. Current districts meet legal requirements. Suggested maps seem to be politically motivated. Don't expend time and resources to create unnecessary complexity. Vote unanimously to leave SLO Supervisor Districts 1-5 the way they currently stand.

Public Records Notice True

Security Check 472520

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 1:26 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 71

RedistrictingID	71
Form inserted	11/9/2021 1:26:10 PM
Form updated	11/9/2021 1:26:10 PM
First Name	Janet
Last Name	Walbaum
Email	Sonerila7@Yahoo.com
Phone	[REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented	
City	Cambria
Zip	93428
Comment	DO NOT COMBINE OUR VOTES WITH THOSE OF A LARGER, MORE URBAN COMMUNITY.
Public Records Notice	True
Security Check	172174

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 12:45 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: COI - ID 33

Your Name*	Raymond Brennan
Your Email	brennan408@gmail.com
Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, use our " Find my Supervisor " tool (opens in new window) to find your district*	District 2
Community Of Interest Name*	North County Coastal Community The people living in the North County Coastal community share an affinity for the many forms of nature surrounding them. The coastline running from the Monterey County line to San Luis Obispo offers beaches and overlooks to the Pacific all of which are ideal places from which to watch the sun fall into the Pacific. Inland, there are the forested lands, the scrub lands, and the pastures. These varied nature-scapes are used in various ways by innumerable residents in this community.
What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important*	The North County Coastal community would be defined at its western edge by the Pacific Coast running from the Monterey County line to the southern boundary of the community of San Luis Obispo which would anchor its northern and southern boundaries. The line to the east should be drawn north and south from the summit of highway 46. The communities within these lines all share a coastal connection; all about the Pacific.
Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the physical boundaries?*	The towns and city that comprise this community of interest share not only a natural geographic setting, but along with the shared fog, they also have an integrated economy of sorts. Seeing these communities as a unified entity makes perfect sense; to couple any with Paso Robles or other communities east of the summit of Highway 46 dissolves the coastal thread binding them together.
What is the rationale for your community of interest to be used in the Board of Supervisor redistricting process? Please describe how the issues before the Board of Supervisors has an impact on your community.*	The coastal community described here has long settled, one might say iconic towns along Highway 1 which draws tourists from around the globe, tourists who support some of these towns, and as these tourists drive California's most scenic highway, they surely see the coastal community just described.
What else would you like to tell us about your community?*	
Security Check*	637105
Form inserted	11/9/2021 12:44:49 PM

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 11:35 AM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and the redistricting department. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 11:29 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Susan Atencio

Your Email: sbatencio@sbcglobal.net

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: This message is in opposition to redistricting of Cambria from District 2 to District 1. Please do not let this happen! District 1 in a large incorporated city with taxing power, a mayor and police force. District 1 has completely different issues than District 2. My husband and I have owned 2 homes in Cambria for over 20 years. We live in one and rent the other long term. We are very happy in District 2. We feel we have a voice. PLEASE keep Cambria in District 2!! Thank you, Susan Atencio

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 941771

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2715

Form inserted: 11/9/2021 11:29:05 AM

Form updated: 11/9/2021 11:29:05 AM


From: Melissa Boggs <mboggs3@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 11:13 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Keep Map A

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello; I live in the county and I would like you to please **keep Map A just as it is!** Changes are unnecessary and will cost unneeded expense. NO CHANGE Please.

Thanks.

Melissa Boggs


Arroyo Grande, CA 93420

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 9:46 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 70

RedistrictingID 70
Form inserted 11/9/2021 9:45:23 AM
Form updated 11/9/2021 9:45:23 AM
First Name Kathryn
Last Name Reid
Email kathy@reidcm.com
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization
Represented
City ATASCADERO
Zip 93422
Comment I object to the proposals for major changes in redistricting. I am very worried that they are not fair and are driven by political party ambitions.
Public Records Notice True
Security Check 437613

From: AD-Board-Clerk <ad_board_clerk@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 9:30 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Fw: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Sincerely,

Clerk of the Board Team

Administrative Office, County of San Luis Obispo
1055 Monterey St., Ste. D430 | San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
Tel: (805) 781-1045 | Fax: (805) 781-5023

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 08:12 AM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; AD-Board-Clerk <ad_board_clerk@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review, this is a District 1 constituent. This email has been sent to all Supervisors and the Board-Clerk. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential

Board of Supervisors

www.slocounty.ca.gov

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 5:35 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Signe Zoller

Your Email: signe@zollerwinestyling.com

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: I tried 3 times to get this public comment accepted via the website channel, but got a system error each time. This is in regard to the district maps. I am a Paso Robles resident and don't represent any organization. Here

is my comment: This board of supervisors cannot be trusted to draw new district maps. Germany, Canada, and the UK are many ranks ahead of the US on the 'Corruption Perception Index'. They rank 9, 11 and 11 respectively while the US ranks 25. Canada for one, limits campaign contributions to less than \$2000. This board increased their limit to \$25,000! If redistricting is really needed, that question should be answered by an independent group or committee.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 516998

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2713

Form inserted: 11/8/2021 5:34:20 PM

Form updated: 11/8/2021 5:34:20 PM

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 8:57 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 69

RedistrictingID 69

Form inserted 11/9/2021 8:56:07 AM

Form updated 11/9/2021 8:56:07 AM

First Name Laura

Last Name Mordaunt

Email laura@mordaunt.org

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of

Organization self

Represented

City San Luis Obispo

Zip 93401

Comment The best map is the one submitted by Richard Patten Nov 3, 2021. I adheres to the rules and does the best job of keeping San Luis Obispo city as whole as possible. It keeps like aspects of San Luis Obispo City together like no other map ever. Oceano and Grover Beach together is smart as they share fire protection, school district and most important that famous sewer. Do the right thing and vote in Richard's Rev 1 map.

Public Records Notice True

Security Check 717336

-----Original Message-----

From: Lauren Younger <zolot@charter.net>
Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 8:43 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

According to the Cambria Design Plan (each community was pressed to make a plan to define themselves for protection against improper development), Cambria is a rural community so to combine us with a larger city for voting purposes would erase our ability to protect our basic, legal definition and erase our ability to protect that original definition of ourselves — DO NOT COMBINE OUR VOTES WITH THOSE OF A LARGER, MORE URBAN COMMUNITY. The Design Plan is a legal document required by the Coastal Commission to allow the Board of Supervisors to approve Coastal Development Permits without having to go before the Coastal Commission in order to streamline the process.

Lauren Younger
Cambria resident

From: Erica Reinheimer <erica_r@charter.net>
Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 3:26 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Keep Map A just as it is. Changes are unnecessary and will cost unneeded expense. NO CHANGE!

Erica Reinheimer
[REDACTED]
Arroyo Grande

From: Peter Byrne <peterpaso1@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 7:51 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Richard Patter map revised 11/3/21 Rev 1

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

When you look at all the maps originally submitted and revised by the consultants, there is ONLY one map that meets all of the guideline criteria and that is the above mentioned Patten map.

None of the revised maps meet all of the redistricting criteria except for the Patten map.

The illegal gerrymandering of the current map where parts of City of SLO and Cal Poly were placed in the current District 5 have been removed.

The current operational map does not keep geographic areas intact as Cuesta Grade is a natural dividing line between District 5 and other districts, yet the district 5 map was gerrymandered to include areas physically below the grade, this in violation of the guidelines.

The current map violates the guideline to keep geographic areas with common social and economic interests intact. District 5 is, for the most part, made up of ranching and agricultural interests that have *nothing* in common with Cal Poly, the City of San Luis Obispo or coastal areas.

The Patten Map addresses these concerns and additionally does not divide up the City of San Luis Obispo which is a common Community of Interest and in which the contractor maps violate this principal.

In addition, the Patten map maintains nearly EQUAL residential populations which, it can be argued, is the most important guideline of all. NONE of the other maps have this equality of population.

For those that want to maintain the current district boundary lines, only want to maintain the gerrymandering of the previous redistricting for purely partisan reasons and this should be rejected.

Thank you,
Peter Byrne

From: Takana Gottschalk <takanagottschalk@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 7:03 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]No need for redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I urge you to retain current supervisorial district boundaries, which will ensure continuity, keep existing Communities of Interest intact, and meet all statutory requirements. No drastic changes to district boundaries are warranted.

- The new census data do not justify major changes to current supervisor district boundaries. Current districts meet all state requirements for population balance, fairness of representation, and compactness.

Sincerely,

Ariela Gottschalk

Oceano

Sent from my iPhone

From: MARCIA ALTER <MAlter1011@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 6:51 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]To all current Board of Supervisors

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Keep Map A just as it is. Changes are unnecessary and will cost unneeded expense. NO CHANGE!

Marcia Alter
Arroyo Grande, CA



-----Original Message-----

From: Amy Littlejohn <amy93428@yahoo.com>

Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 3:36 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Changing the boundaries

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

PLEASE do not change the redistributing boundaries. We will not vote for any future supervisor who chooses to do so!!!!

Janice and mike littlejohn

From: JOSEPH ANTENUCCI <josephantenucci@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 2:44 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Keep our districts

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I would like the San Luis Obispo county district borders to remain the as they currently exist. The time to redistrict can be dictated when there is a great change in the population of our county.

Thanks for your consideration of my opinion.

Diana Antenucci

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 9:48 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 68

RedistrictingID 68

Form inserted 11/8/2021 9:47:29 AM

Form updated 11/8/2021 9:47:29 AM

First Name Melane

Last Name Lange

Email lady Mojo@aol.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of

Organization self

Represented

City Los Osos

Zip 93402

Comment North Coast communities should remain in the same district (D2), including Los Osos, Morro Bay, and Cambria. Major physical barriers between Los Osos and Avila Beach do not meet the contiguous criteria. Most egregious is the creation of a "political island" out of Los Osos, ripping it from the Morro Bay watershed, economic and social COI. I strongly oppose the proposed changes

Public Records Notice True

Security Check

-----Original Message-----

From: Bobbi Dover <bythebay66@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 6:50 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]About redistributing

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please do not make major changes to the current map.

There is no reason to make major changes to districts. Stay with Map A.

Thank you.

B Dover


Avila Beach CA 93424

Sent from my iPhone

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Sunday, November 7, 2021 2:15 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 67

RedistrictingID 67

Form inserted 11/7/2021 2:14:37 PM

Form updated 11/7/2021 2:14:37 PM

First Name Joseph

Last Name Bettencourt

Email ranellenjoe@yahoo.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Templeton

Zip 93465

Comment I am in favor or redistricting especially as it relates to keeping cities and communities of interest whole. Those opposed to this are denying the laws and priorities of California in this regard and are obviously politically motivated. Citizens of San Luis Obispo County need to embrace and support what California requires when redistricting is necessary.

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 862968

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Sunday, November 7, 2021 2:14 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 66

RedistrictingID 66

Form inserted 11/7/2021 2:12:57 PM

Form updated 11/7/2021 2:12:57 PM

First Name Ranelle

Last Name Bettencourt

Email ranellerealtor@gmail.com

Phone 

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Templeton

Zip 93465

Comment I am in favor or redistricting especially as it relates to keeping cities and communities of interest whole. Those opposed to this are denying the laws and priorities of California in this regard and are obviously politically motivated. Citizens of San Luis Obispo County need to embrace and support what California requires when redistricting is necessary.

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 562631

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Sunday, November 7, 2021 1:23 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 65

RedistrictingID 65
Form inserted 11/7/2021 1:21:54 PM
Form updated 11/7/2021 1:21:54 PM
First Name Suzanne
Last Name Cummings
Email slosue@pacbell.net
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City San Luis Obispo
Zip 93405
Comment Please do not make substantial changes to the current districts in San Luis Obispo County. I believe they are fair and balanced as they are.
Public Records Notice True
Security code 314063

-----Original Message-----

From: Virginia Jensen <virjensen@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, November 7, 2021 11:14 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

No redistricting is necessary.

The census data does not warrant any changes to present boundaries.

Do not make any changes.

Thank you,

Virginia Jensen

From: Judy West <charliejude@live.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 7, 2021 11:06 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

NO on redistricting. There has not been a large change in population. Please keep the boundaries the same.
Thank you,
Judy West

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Sunday, November 7, 2021 10:24 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 64

RedistrictingID 64

Form inserted 11/7/2021 10:23:27 AM

Form updated 11/7/2021 10:23:27 AM

First Name Barry

Last Name Price

Email pricebarrya@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City SAN LUIS OBISPO

Zip 93401

Comment I live in the City of SLO and support its division into three supervisorial districts. The city has ties to all parts of the county, providing jobs, shopping, and education for folks who live in other areas and are connected through our central location and major transportation systems. Those who assert otherwise generally don't live in the City. Don't marginalize us into a single district.

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 199177

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Donahue <donahuelinda50@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, November 7, 2021 8:30 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Public Comment

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I previously sent an email and forgot to mention that I would like to see Richard Patten's redistricting map adopted for the reasons I mentioned in previous email. Linda Donahue San Luis Obispo City Resident

Sent from my iPhone

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Sunday, November 7, 2021 8:25 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 63

RedistrictingID 63

Form inserted 11/7/2021 8:24:06 AM

Form updated 11/7/2021 8:24:06 AM

First Name Linda

Last Name Donahue

Email donahuelinda50@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City San Luis Obispo

Zip 93401

Comment I would like to see Richard Patten's redistricting map adopted. It allows for the least amount of dividing San Luis Obispo and Templeton, is not gerrymandering, and has a very good even distribution of population numbers.

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 129091

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Donahue <donahuelinda50@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, November 7, 2021 8:24 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Public Comment

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I would like to see Richard Patten's redistricting map adopted. It has the least amount of dividing up cities, is not gerrymandering, and has a very equitable population number divisions. Linda Donahue, San Luis Obispo City resident.

Sent from my iPhone

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Saturday, November 6, 2021 10:37 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: COI - ID 32

Your Name*	Bridgette Vanherweg
Your Email	bvanherweg@yahoo.com
Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, use our " Find my Supervisor " tool (opens in new window) to find your district*	District 3
Community Of Interest Name*	Grover Beach and Oceano
What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important*	My community would be the best served with the new district lines shown in Richard Patten Rev_1 (District-R Map ID 74786). Grover Beach shares the unique aspect of coastline which is accessible to vehicles with the community of Oceano. We also share schools, neighborhoods, childrens sports, and a similar set of family values, jobs, and income types.
Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the physical boundaries?*	Geographically, Grover Beach is best linked with Oceano, since we share the coastline and beach where driving is allowed. Grover and Oceano are bordered by HWY 1 and are adjacent to each other in borders. We share the Lucia Mar School district and overlap in elementary and junior high schools.
What is the rationale for your community of interest to be used in the Board of Supervisor redistricting process? Please describe how the issues before the Board of Supervisors has an impact on your community.*	Grover Beach shares the unique aspect of coastline which is accessible to vehicles with the community of Oceano. We also share schools, neighborhoods, childrens sports, and a similar set of family values, jobs, and income types.
What else would you like to tell us about your community?*	My community would be the best served with the new district lines shown in Richard Patten Rev_1 (District-R Map ID 74786).
Security code	033414
Form inserted	11/6/2021 10:36:37 AM

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Saturday, November 6, 2021 9:57 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 62

RedistrictingID 62

Form inserted 11/6/2021 9:56:16 AM

Form updated 11/6/2021 9:56:16 AM

First Name Hollye

Last Name Parsley

Email psuchtyppe@charter.net

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Atascadero

Zip 93422

Comment Siting from your website, "Besides the above criteria, districts shall not be drawn for purposes of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party." And yet, there is a publicly submitted map named "BlantantRepulicanPowerGrab" ID 73650 11/01/21. Why is this allowed?

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 648033

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Saturday, November 6, 2021 1:07 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 61

RedistrictingID 61

Form inserted 11/6/2021 1:06:53 AM

Form updated 11/6/2021 1:06:53 AM

First Name William

Last Name Faye

Email wlfaye30@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Paso Robles

Zip 93446

Comment I have reviewed the available maps for redistricting and the one that stands out in order to be the fairest for all residents is the one submitted by Richard Patten Rev 1 and I fully support it. I believe this is the one that should be adopted by the Board of Supervisors, Thank you all for the work that you do.

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 356460

From: David R. Durringer <info@lawnews.tv>
Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 9:28 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Public Comment

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am writing to support the redistricting map proposed by Richard Patten (latest version, 74786, submitted 11/3/2021).

My wife and I reside in Morro Bay along with our daughter, who attends CalPoly.

We are keenly interested in furthering political, commercial and social connection between Morro Bay and the City of San Luis Obispo, including of course all of the students residing at CalPoly. My daughter may at some point reside on campus and it is horrible the way the campus is split under the current gerrymandered districts. Splitting communities in this way interferes with political education and communication, including voting itself. Splitting a community also weakens the voice of the community. CalPoly students deserve to be heard! Although Mr Patten's map does split the City of San Luis Obispo to a degree, some splitting is necessary because the City is so large and unwieldy and the area split off can be viewed as distinct from the heart of San Luis Obispo.

There is some great hiking to the south of Morro Bay, and we love visiting the elephant seals up north, but what really matters to us is maintaining and improving and making good use of the entire transportation corridor of Cabrillo Hwy connecting Morro Bay with San Luis Obispo. Not to beat the point ragged, but a lot of actual humans live in Morro Bay without nearby services that are normally important to humans, like hospitals and major venues for shopping and entertainment. The City of SLO can provide these amenities to Morro Bay residents much more dependably than Lord Hearst and his dazzle of zebras.

Morro Bay, likewise, is important to the City of SLO, including CalPoly, offering recreational options that only Morro Bay can provide. It is critically important for both cities to develop the Cabrillo Highway corridor in a smart and careful way to augment its already awesome recreational resources and also provide needed services. We need to share one district supervisor to take ownership of this future!

David R. Durringer
[REDACTED]
Morro Bay, CA 93442

Thank you,
Dave Durringer
O| | | | | | | O

Atty. David R. Durringer, JD, LL.M (Tax)

[REDACTED]
info@LawNews.TV
LawNews.TV® powered by
Protective Law Corporation
[REDACTED]
Morro Bay, CA 93442
(offices statewide)

DISCLAIMER: Any accounting, business or tax advice contained in this communication, including any accompanying attachments, is not intended as a thorough, in-depth analysis of specific issues, nor a substitute for a formal opinion, nor is it sufficient to avoid tax-related penalties. If desired, Protective Law Corporation would be pleased to perform the requisite research and provide you with a detailed written analysis. Such engagement must be the subject of a separate engagement letter that would define the scope and limits of the desired services.

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL: This communication and any accompanying attachments are confidential and privileged. They are intended for the sole use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, you are advised that any use of this communication and any accompanying attachments is strictly prohibited, including but not limited to the reading, disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance upon this communication and any accompanying attachments. Moreover, any such disclosure shall not compromise or waive the attorney-client or other privileges as to this communication and any accompanying attachments. If you have received this message in error, please contact sender immediately at the above email address and then delete this message, any accompanying attachments and all copies and backups thereof.

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 7:21 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 60

RedistrictingID 60
Form inserted 11/5/2021 7:20:16 PM
Form updated 11/5/2021 7:20:16 PM
First Name Maryjean
Last Name Carroll
Email mjcnvl@hotmail.com
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Cambria
Zip 93428
Comment Do not significantly change the current districts. There is no new census data that requires a change. The SLO North Coast should remain a district with specific areas of interest.
Public Records Notice True
Security code 853060