
From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 4:13 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 59

RedistrictingID 59

Form inserted 11/5/2021 4:12:54 PM

Form updated 11/5/2021 4:12:54 PM

First Name Nancy

Last Name Shaw

Email nkshaw@aol.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Templeton

Zip 93465

Comment Regarding SLO County Redistricting, (Richard-Patten-Rev_1_ID-74786_11-03-21.doc) I am in favor of Richard Patten's revised map of 11-03-2021 as it seems to more fairly distribute population and area community types/needs. It also appears to follow the rules of redistricting. Ten years ago, the needs and wants of the north county people were ignored. I appreciate the board's time and consideration in this matter. Thank you, Nancy Shaw

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 243859

From: AD-Board-Clerk <ad_board_clerk@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 4:05 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Fw: [EXT]Redistricting

Sincerely,

Clerk of the Board Team

Administrative Office, County of San Luis Obispo
1055 Monterey St., Ste. D430 | San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
Tel: (805) 781-1045 | Fax: (805) 781-5023

From: District 4 <district4@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 04:00 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; AD-Board-Clerk <ad_board_clerk@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

Public comment on redistricting.

- Caleb Mott

Legislative Assistant District 4
San Luis Obispo County Supervisor Lynn Compton
(805) 781-4337
(800) 834-4636 ext 4337
District4@co.slo.ca.us
1055 Monterey St D430
San Luis Obispo CA 93408
[Visit our Website](#)

From: gary ball <gball25@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 5:10 PM
To: District 4 <district4@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am opposed to any redistricting as the census does not indicate population growth to justify this. Redrawing the district lines is therefore a blatant political gerrymandering move. Please just leave the districts as they are.

-----Original Message-----

From: Steve Ball <mr.ball@verizon.net>

Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 3:19 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]No changes to the SLO county district lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

There have been minimal demographic, population, or communities of interest (COI) changes in SLO county since the last census was taken. Our district maps should reflect these minimal changes with minimal district line changes.

Do not split the coastal communities and lump them into the areas to the east. Better yet, leave things as is. Stop the gerrymandering.

Steve Ball

Sent from my iPad

From: Claire McClure <clairebear1948@outlook.com>

Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 2:57 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Richard Patten Map 11/3/21

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I URGE the Board of Supervisors to adopt the Richard

Patten Map of 11/3/21.

Thank you for your consideration!

Claire Ida McClure

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 2:52 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 58

RedistrictingID 58

Form inserted 11/5/2021 2:51:13 PM

Form updated 11/5/2021 2:51:13 PM

First Name Ann

Last Name Price

Email annpricerh@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Oceano

Zip 93445

Comment Current/proposed districting maps inequitable & unfair: Cal Poly + CP students should be in 1 district (not 2 or 3), & combined w/ SLO as a whole. Outlying SLO areas can be included in 1 other district. SLO should not be in 3 districts w/ 3 reps. Richard Patten's map is most accurate representation of all (re-)districting state rules: each district closest to 56,000 ideal; groups grouped most accurately together via characteristics & interests.

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 796448

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 2:51 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 57

RedistrictingID 57

Form inserted 11/5/2021 2:50:40 PM

Form updated 11/5/2021 2:50:40 PM

First Name Anthony

Last Name Bustamante

Email mr.busta1@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of

Organization Anthony Bustamante

Represented

City Oceano

Zip 93445

Comment I reviewed the current maps and proposed maps. There is one map that demonstrates a compliance on map criteria and that is from Richard Patten's proposal. Here are two benefits: Town interest keep integrity (i.e. CalPoly, rural, Coastal, agriculture and San Luis Obispo. Grover Beach and Oceano stay together for Works purposes

Public Records Notice True

Security code 690727

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 2:22 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 56

RedistrictingID 56

Form inserted 11/5/2021 2:22:17 PM

Form updated 11/5/2021 2:22:17 PM

First Name Hollye

Last Name Parsley

Email psychtyppe@charter.net

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of

Organization I am requesting that the
Represented

City Atascadero

Zip 93422

Comment I am requesting that San Luis Obispo County and Cal Poly be made whole. As a senior citizen living in Atascadero ,have no connection to San Luis Obispo City or Cal Poly. My community is above the grade.

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 636537

-----Original Message-----

From: Dill, Danielle <danielle@probodata.com>

Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 11:51 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]No changes to the SLO county district lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

There have been minimal demographic, population, or communities of interest (COI) changes in SLO county since the last census was taken. Our district maps should reflect these minimal changes with minimal district line changes.

Do not split the coastal communities and lump them into the areas to the east.

Danielle
Arroyo Grande, CA

Sent from my iPhone

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 10:48 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 55

RedistrictingID 55

Form inserted 11/5/2021 10:47:47 AM

Form updated 11/5/2021 10:47:47 AM

First Name Sue

Last Name Barnum

Email sbarnum01@aol.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City NIPOMO, CA

Zip 93444

Comment Grover Beach and Oceano should be in one district. Oceano does not have the demographics of rural Nipomo and should not be lumped in with Nipomo/BlackLake/Cypress Ridge/Trilogy. This is just common sense.

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 840797

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 4:17 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 54

RedistrictingID 54

Form inserted 11/4/2021 4:16:42 PM

Form updated 11/4/2021 4:16:42 PM

First Name Eve

Last Name Dobler-Drew

Email Evedrew1@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City San Luis Obispo

Zip 93401

Comment I would like you to vote for Richard Patten's map dated 11/3/2021 as it keeps the city of San Luis together as a community of interest with Cal Poly. It also has the best population distribution of any submitted map.

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 564441

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 4:03 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 53

RedistrictingID	53
Form inserted	11/4/2021 4:02:57 PM
Form updated	11/4/2021 4:02:57 PM
First Name	Viki
Last Name	Miller
Email	Lvmiller828@gmail.com
Phone	[REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented	
City	Atascadero
Zip	93422
Comment	Please, no gerrymandering!! We must keep our cities as whole as possible. I think Richard Patten's map, rev 11-3-21 the best, hands down!! Thanks.
Public Records Notice	True
Security code	273867

From: Lois Feleay <lbfeleay@att.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 3:40 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Leave coastal districts intact

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am a 14 year resident of Cambria. Cambria shares issues and concerns with other North Coast towns in the county. District 2 should not become a minor part of a district dominated by the interests of Paso Robles and the North County wine industry.

Lois Feleay
Cambria
[REDACTED]

From: Jennifer Lane <jenlane74@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 10:18 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]No changes to the SLO county district lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

There have been minimal demographic, population, or communities of interest (COI) changes in SLO county since the last census was taken. Our district maps should reflect these minimal changes with minimal district line changes. Do not split the coastal communities and lump them into the areas to the east.

Respectfully,
Jennifer Lane

From: blue12@aol.com <blue12@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 9:35 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]No changes to the SLO county district lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors, There have been minimal demographic, population, or communities of interest (COI) changes in SLO county since the last census was taken. Our district maps should reflect these minimal changes with minimal district line changes. Do not split the coastal communities and lump them into the areas to the east.
Leslie Kaplan

-----Original Message-----

From: Joe St.Clair <joej1@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 8:30 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please make no changes to the county redistricting.

Sincerely,

Joseph P [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Grover Beach, 3rd Super District

Sent from my iPad

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 7:41 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 52

RedistrictingID 52

Form inserted 11/3/2021 7:40:23 PM

Form updated 11/3/2021 7:40:23 PM

First Name Patricia

Last Name Bromberger

Email pbro1148@yahoo.com

Phone

Name of

Organization private citizen

Represented

City Los Osos

Zip 93402

Comment I feel that the Coastal communities share common interests and goals and should not be split up under redistricting. I strongly support Plan A which will keep the current district lines. SLO county's population has not changed much in the last 10 years and there is no reason to change the current district map.

Public Records Notice True

Security code 468045

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 6:05 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 51

RedistrictingID 51

Form inserted 11/3/2021 6:03:52 PM

Form updated 11/3/2021 6:03:52 PM

First Name Virginia

Last Name Jensen

Email virjensen@gmail.com

Phone



Name of

Organization None

Represented

City Los osos

Zip 93402

Comment The 2020 census did not show enough population growth to warrant redistricting. Any rearrangement of our present day districts is Pure and simple gerrymandering and a political move to reshape our voter distribution .

Public Records Notice True


Security code 251977

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 6:02 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 50

RedistrictingID	50
Form inserted	11/3/2021 6:00:43 PM
Form updated	11/3/2021 6:00:43 PM
First Name	Judy
Last Name	West
Email	charliejude@live.com
Phone	
Name of Organization Represented	
City	Los Osos
Zip	93402
Comment	Redistricting is not necessary- it is gerrymandering and a power grab. Leave it as it is .
Public Records Notice	True
Security code	682081

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 4:05 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 49

RedistrictingID 49

Form inserted 11/3/2021 4:04:04 PM

Form updated 11/3/2021 4:04:04 PM

First Name Christine and Mark

Last Name Landgreen

Email clandgreen@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of

Organization none

Represented

City Cambria

Zip 93428

Comment We oppose drastic changes to SLO CO districts. SLO CO has only added about 10,000 people to its population since 2010, with District 4 seeing the largest population increase of 9.2 percent, and District 2 saw a population decrease of 8.6 percent. Our county's residents deserve redistricting that respects and serve their interests, not redistricting our representatives see that as an opportunity for political gain. Keep Cambria with coastal communities that share our interests.

Public Records Notice True

Security code 184818

-----Original Message-----

From: joe and barb crowley <jcrowley_1@charter.net>

Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 3:36 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]public comment

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to keep District 2 together as it presently exists. In your deliberations, the community of interest criteria is stated as a heavily weighted determination for the redistricting process. By splitting Cambria from district 2, the very opposite is achieved, e.g. Plan C.

Cambria is primarily a small coastal community of retirees with tourist attractions and second homes for future retirees. Everything about Cambria from geological features, water and weather issues, traffic and land use differs from District 1. It is a unique coastal area, requiring a supervisor to devote enormous time to the special issues of coastal and forest concerns before the Coastal Commission and other organizations that support coastal and ocean issues. Even our representation before the federal government requires coastal knowledge and experience. We cannot allow this effort to wane by combining Cambria and San Simeon with another district with no coastal interest.

Adding Cambria to another district completely different only dilutes the message and reduces its significance before legislative bodies. It has a quaint old town character with no large hotels, chain restaurants or 4 lane roads, and no industry except tourism. People often remark how quiet and peaceful it is and they come to get away from the hectic city life with its pollution and traffic.

Presently, Cambria has serious challenges: providing water for the present population and whatever future growth is determined; forest rehab and preservation to protect the community from fire destruction; sea encroachment due to global warming; land use for preservation of the forest and coastal environment; upholding the county vacation rental ordinance regulations to maintain the residential character of its neighborhoods.

The redistricting commission speaker stated at the last hearing on 10/26 that the population had not changed considerably. So, why take such a radical step to dissect districts that have been together for decades and served the county needs? Please take our community needs and concerns into consideration when deliberating our future and keep Cambria in District 2 with other coastal towns in the county.

Barbara Crowley, Cambria

Dist. 2 resident jcrowley_1@charter.net

From: michele luff <sweetmaker@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 11:40 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]CAMBRIA-redrawing of supervisor district lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello, Board of Supervisors,

It has been brought to my attention redistricting concerns, especially for Cambria. I urge you to please leave things the way they currently are, or as close to it as possible. Cambria has different interests than Paso Robles. We are a coastal community, our concerns are not the same as agricultural districts. Grouping us in with agricultural districts will overwhelm our ability to protect this beautiful resource.

Thank you for your time!

Sincerely,
Michele Luff

From: Molly Montgomery <mollymonty1@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 9:19 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Please do not change the district lines. The districts works and do not need to be redrawn.

Sincerely,

Margaret Montgomery
Monte Road
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

From: David Feleay <defeleay@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 9:33 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Leave Cambria included with other coastal districts

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am a fourteen year resident of Cambria. The issues we face here are similar to those faced by residents of other coastal communities like Cayucos, Morro Bay and Los Osos/Baywood Park. We have much less in common with the citizens to the east of the Santa Lucia Mountains with their wine growing and ranching economies. Please leave Cambria in its district with other coastal communities.

David Feleay
Cambria



From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 6:35 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 48

RedistrictingID 48

Form inserted 11/2/2021 6:34:35 PM

Form updated 11/2/2021 6:34:35 PM

First Name Ed

Last Name Harris

Email movieIn2@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Nipomo

Zip 93444

Comment i want to see the districts stay the same as possible so voters are not confused since the Board of Supervisors just hired a new Clerk Recorder. I also do not want to witness the Board of Supervisors use their power to push the district boundaries for their own political benefit which encourages distrust and community division. For the above reasons I hope the supervisors implement Map A.

Public Records Notice True

Security code 157668

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 2:51 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 47

RedistrictingID	47
Form inserted	11/2/2021 2:50:44 PM
Form updated	11/2/2021 2:50:44 PM
First Name	Darryl
Last Name	Stolz
Email	darrylstolz@gmail.com
Phone	[REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented	North County Association of Realtors and Pacific Trust Mortgage
City	Paso Robles
Zip	93446
Comment	We do not want to change our district. North Co. San Luis Obispo does not belong with Monterrey County.
Public Records Notice	True
Security code	233381

From: Mary Strobridge <maryterri9235@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 2:44 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Public Comment

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

November 2, 2021

Dear San Luis Obispo County Supervisors,

As redistricting has been proposed for the County of San Luis Obispo, your constituents are watching closely as to what any tentative changes may be to the Districts that they currently reside in.

The Democrats of San Luis Obispo Club is made up of members who live, work, and have retired in San Luis Obispo County. The consensus of the Club is that the status quo should be maintained for San Luis Obispo County Supervisorial Districts. **DO NOTHING** is the recommendation and request of the Democrats of SLO Club – your constituents. There is no reason to change something that is not broken.

Again, the request that has been made to the San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors regarding Supervisorial District lines is to please **DO NOTHING** to change those lines.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Strobridge
President - Democrats of SLO Club

From: Mel McColloch <mmccolloch@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 8:48 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Proposals

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Honorable Supervisors

As a followup to my previous letter on the subject of redistricting I have the following to add from the chambers prospective.

The Cambria Chamber of Commerce believes strongly that the entire North Coast (from Ragged Point to Los Osos) constitutes a community of interest that should be kept whole in the redistricting effort underway. The North Coast community of interest is defined by our shared interest in:

- our visitor-serving economy
- coastal recreation
- coastal environmental and regulatory issues
- our dependence on Highway 1

The North Coast has been included in a single supervisorial district for over 50 years and should be kept in a single district.

Proposed maps that divide the North Coast into multiple districts (Map C and the Patten map from the 10/26/21 Board of Supervisors meeting) are unacceptable and do not meet state requirements for fairness. These maps dilute and diminish the voice of coastal interests by combining coastal towns with areas that are far inland.

Since population growth in SLO County has been slow in the last ten years, the current supervisors districts do not have to be redrawn, as they meet all state requirements for population distribution of the chambers.

Thank you for your support of not changing our North Coast boundaries.

Mel McColloch
President
Cambria Chamber of Commerce

From: Sunny Balsells <sbalsells.slo@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 8:40 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Coastal redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please keep the coastal district as is, Cambria does not want / need to be a part of the inland districts.

Sunny Balsells

Cambria

From: Jon Bartel <barteljon27@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 1, 2021 6:33 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Districts. Cambria belongs in a coastal district with allied communities like Cayucos and Morro Bay.

From: Walt Andrus <wandrus51@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 1, 2021 5:19 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Cambria Election Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I strongly object to the proposed redistricting maps that contemplate moving Cambria and the North Coast from District 2 into districts #1 or #5. One of the criteria in determining to redistrict is to create "Communities of Interest". I do not believe community interests are aligned between Cambria and Atascadero, or Paso Robles, and Cambria and the other North Coast communities. Such a realignment appears to be a purely political ploy to lessen the voice of the coastal communities within SLO County government.

Walt Andrus
Cambria

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 1, 2021 1:20 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 46

RedistrictingID 46

Form inserted 11/1/2021 1:19:09 PM

Form updated 11/1/2021 1:19:09 PM

First Name Ellen

Last Name Beraud

Email ellenberaud@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City atascadero

Zip 93422

Comment Can Consultant provide an additional data layer about the proposed and submitted maps to determine the party preference numbers of each shaded district. Even though the decisions being made are considered non-partisan, to ignore or not provide these numbers would be a glaring omission of data being considered. These party preference numbers are of equal significance as the racial breakdown already provided as a data layer.

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 380197

From: Lorna Mumper <mumpies5@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 1, 2021 11:13 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors:

We are adamantly opposed to moving Cambria from District 2 to another District.

Any redistricting that would move us from our Coastal Zone 2 makes no sense. The Coastal Zone has many significant differences from the Inland Zone of our County. Grouping Cambria with Atascadero or Paso Robles would tell us you've made no attempt to consider and group "communities of interest". Grouping Cambria with Paso Robles or Atascadero would dilute our voices and our interests and, therefore, would seem blatantly politically motivated.

The county has only added about 10,000 people to its population since 2010, with District 4 seeing the largest population increase of 9.2 percent, and District 2 saw a population decrease of 8.6 percent. Our county's residents deserve redistricting that respects and serve their interests, not redistricting that's seen by our representatives as an opportunity for political gain.

We strongly urge you to honor the interests of our community and keep Cambria with its Communities of Interest.

Sincerely,
Bruce and Lorna Mumper

From: Nancy Taber <makemoremusic@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, November 1, 2021 11:01 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Cambrians belong in the Coastal Zone!

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors:

We are adamantly opposed to moving Cambria from District 2 to another District.

Any redistricting that would move us from our Coastal Zone 2 makes no sense. The Coastal Zone has many significant differences from the Inland Zone of our County. Grouping Cambria with Atascadero or Paso Robles would tell us you've made no attempt to consider and group "communities of interest". Grouping Cambria with Paso Robles or Atascadero would dilute our voices and our interests and, therefore, would seem blatantly politically motivated.

The county has only added about 10,000 people to its population since 2010, with District 4 seeing the largest population increase of 9.2 percent, and District 2 seeing a population decrease of 8.6 percent. Our county's residents deserve redistricting that respects and serves their interests, not redistricting that's seen by our representatives as an opportunity for political gain.

We strongly urge you to honor the interests of our community and keep Cambria with its Communities of Interest.

Nancy and Doug Taber

-----Original Message-----

From: J. L. <jlamour@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 1, 2021 11:01 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting of SLO County

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom it May Concern,

I am a resident in Cambria and I would like it to be known that I oppose Cambria being lumped into district 1. Cambria should remain in district 2, the coastal communities and our communities of interest.

Please consider this voice of concern when evaluating the redistricting of SLO County.

Kind Regards,

Josh Lamour

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 1, 2021 9:32 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 45

RedistrictingID 45

Form inserted 11/1/2021 9:31:48 AM

Form updated 11/1/2021 9:31:48 AM

First Name Deborah

Last Name Cleere

Email deborahcleere@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City San Luis Obispo

Zip 93401

Comment "...districts shall not be drawn for purposes of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party." This redistricting is a blatant attempt to protect the interests of the republican party. The proposed changes to the districts leave SLO City District as a district without assets or influence in the county's jurisdiction. Leave the current districts as they are so that BoS decisions can be based on more equitable representation.

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 096383

From: Carol Glusovich <cglusovich@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 1, 2021 9:01 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Cambria

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please listen to the residents of Cambria when we say that we wish
to stay in a district with other Coastal towns.

We have little in common with Paso and Atascadero. Rather, our needs are similar to Cayucos and Morro Bay.

Please leave our district the way it is (with minor changes that I read were being discussed).

Resident for over 30 years,

Thank you,

Carol Glusovich



From: Brian Lazarus <brilaz@charter.net>
Sent: Monday, November 1, 2021 8:51 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Removing Cambria from District 2

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I live in Cambria and would like to express concern over putting Cambria in with Atascadero. Please leave Cambria alone, we don't have that much in common with the inland area. While Cambria is mostly a vacation/tourist area, Atascadero is mainly agriculture. We are much more concerned with the environment and adding more green energy than they are. Thanks for your time, Sincerely Brian Lazarus, [REDACTED]
redistricting@co.slo.ca.us

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, November 1, 2021 8:15 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 44

RedistrictingID 44

Form inserted 11/1/2021 8:14:27 AM

Form updated 11/1/2021 8:14:27 AM

First Name Kathy

Last Name Smith

Email kdsmith2@charter.net

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City CAMBRIA

Zip 93428

Comment Please, I implore you to keep Cambria in the North Coast District and NOT throw into the same District as Atascadero/Paso Robles. We have many different concerns, environment and population structure and would not be supported in these issues. I request that you keep us in District 2 that serves our population and environment concerns.

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 281708

-----Original Message-----

From: Nina Pakula <Cambrianina@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 9:34 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Cambria

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I live in Cambria and object to lumping us with Paso Robles or Atascadero. We are in the Coastal Zone and should remain in it.

Our concerns are different from those of the inland areas. We should stay in District 2.

Thank you.

Nina Pakula

From: SteppingStones <marcelle@bags4you.com>

Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 9:00 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting for Cambria

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please leave Cambria in the coastal zone community.

Please do not put in with Paso Robles.

Marcelle Bakula




From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 6:48 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 43

RedistrictingID	43
Form inserted	10/31/2021 6:47:05 PM
Form updated	10/31/2021 6:47:05 PM
First Name	Roderick
Last Name	Smith
Email	rsmith2@charter.net
Phone	
Name of Organization Represented	
City	Cambria
Zip	93428
Comment	Please do not lump the SLO Coastal Communities with inland areas that do not have the same environment, issues or concerns.
Public Records Notice	True
Security code	341542

From: Roderick Smith <rcsmith2@charter.net>

Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 6:39 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Public Comment

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Do not lump the north coast areas (Cambria) of

SLO County with areas that don't have the same environment (Paso Robles) or concerns.

From: Erika Fredericks <NissaJessie@hotmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 11:18 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Public Comment

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I oppose any redistricting that alters or breaks up the North Coast communities that have traditionally been kept together. These communities share common interests which inland communities do not share. Also, as we are mostly unincorporated towns, we need combined representation.

Thank you for your consideration.

Erika M Fredericks

Sent from [Mail](#) for nissajessie@hotmail.com

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 10:01 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 42

RedistrictingID 42

Form inserted 10/30/2021 10:00:43 AM

Form updated 10/30/2021 10:00:43 AM

First Name Tom

Last Name Loganbill

Email tom@loganbill.net

Phone



Name of
Organization
Represented

City Cambria

Zip 93428

Comment I strongly object to the proposed redistricting for SLO county. None of the proposals makes any sense, especially the North Coast joining North County.

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 402638

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:20 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 41

RedistrictingID 41

Form inserted 10/29/2021 4:19:38 PM

Form updated 10/29/2021 4:19:38 PM

First Name Amy

Last Name Taron

Email adetaron@gmail.com

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Cambria

Zip 93428

Comment I believe the county should leave the redistricting maps as they are currently. I don't believe that incorporating district 2 into the Paso Robles area would be beneficial to the people of Cambria. I feel that the coastal towns all have many things in common, and we feel our supervisor will stand for the coastal communities, whereas Cambria as a coastal community has very little in common with the Paso Robles area. I don't think the coastal towns should be divided up in any way.

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 891697

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 8:30 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: COI - ID 31

Your Name*	Alice Wysocki
Your Email	Aam.jfm@gmail.com
Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, use our " Find my Supervisor " tool (opens in new window) to find your district*	District 5
Community Of Interest Name*	Coastal
What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important*	Coastal environment, coastal commission, water water water, economy based on tourism, regulation of vacation rentals
Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the physical boundaries?*	Cambria
What is the rationale for your community of interest to be used in the Board of Supervisor redistricting process? Please describe how the issues before the Board of Supervisors has an impact on your community.*	Carving out Cambria and tossing us "over the hill" will only result in our small village being subsumed by the divergent needs/political views/ pro growth agenda that is (much larger) Paso Robles. The term "dis-similar" aptly applies to the two communities.
What else would you like to tell us about your community?*	On the other hand, Los Osos, Baywood Park, Morro Bay, Cayucos, Cambria and San Simeon are all physically linked by Estero Bay and Hwy 1. I cannot envision a supervisor developing the competency to represent the unique needs of the coastal towns while also simultaneously adequately representing the voters in Paso. The mountain range physically, socially and politically divides the two regions. Cramping them into one district will result on the citizens of Cambria losing their voice.
Security code	565023
Form inserted	10/29/2021 8:30:17 AM

From: Cort Willmott <willmott@udel.edu>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 9:46 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Proposed Plan C Draft

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Cambria, I find the proposed Plan C both curious and inappropriate. Cambria (like San Simeon, Cayucos, Morrow Bay, Avila, Pismo and other north-coast SLO communities) differs markedly from our county's inland communities. Cambria is an ocean-side community whose residents and economy depend fundamentally on the preservation of its small-town, ocean-side character. With this in mind, I believe the proposed "District 1" (in the Plan C draft) inappropriately lumps coastal and inland interests and, therefore, is inherently misguided.

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Robertson <smallspender99@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 1:27 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Public Comment

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I attended the October 26 board meeting, to learn more about the redistricting options for our districts. The staff, and particularly the presenter from Redistricting Partners, were great, and I feel I learned quite a bit. But during the public comment period, it became obvious to me that many of the commenters were part of an organized campaign to promote a particular map, the so-called Richard Patten map.

Looking at that map, it appears designed to gerrymander the county politically, isolating San Luis Obispo and Morro Bay into one supervisorial district, and diluting the votes of the northern coastal communities by combining them with right-leaning north county areas.

Some of the comments, by obliquely referencing the Coastal Commission, suggest that this map may be related to, and possibly underwritten by, Central Valley interests who oppose the Coastal Commission closing of Ocean Dunes to trucks and off-road vehicles.

I live in San Luis Obispo. I strongly oppose the adoption of the Richard Patten map or anything resembling it, and I'd like to help disabuse the board of any idea that the campaign we saw reflects any kind of popular groundswell favoring that map.

Although I don't feel I know enough at this point to have a clear recommendation on which map to follow, I'm inclined at the moment to favor Redistricting Partners' map B. I understand the need for and benefits of splitting San Luis Obispo into more than one district, and it also seems to me that unifying Cal Poly, rather than splitting it, and keeping the north coast communities together, seem logical and fair. On the downside, placing part of SLO into District 5 seems to combine that part of the city with non-coastal and north county areas whose interests may be considerably different from ours.

I look forward to following further discussions of the maps.

Linda Robertson

San Luis Obispo, 93401



--

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?>

-----Original Message-----

From: David Pearson <dpearson1600@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 6:11 PM

To: Admin <admin@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to vote no on proposition C, placing Cambria in District 1. First of all it doesn't make sense to me to put Cambria, being in a housing moratorium, in a district with Paso Robles where housing development continues. Second, Cambria has more in common with the District 2 towns such as size, economies and eco systems. Third, the fire danger in Cambria is such an issue that insurance companies have been canceling the policies of homeowners and making it difficult for them to purchase new policies. If camping is allowed on private property in District 1 Cambrians would surely loose their insurance and possible their homes.

Thank you for your consideration, David

Pearson

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 10:06 AM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 40

RedistrictingID 40

Form inserted 10/27/2021 10:05:13 AM

Form updated 10/27/2021 10:05:13 AM

First Name Fay

Last Name Johnson

Email fay_johnson@charter.net

Phone [REDACTED]

Name of
Organization
Represented

City Atascadero

Zip 93422

Comment I have lived in Atas for 44 yrs on acreage. We are a unique community over the grade. It doesn't make sense to include any of part of San Luis Obispo or Cal Poly in our district. Our concerns are very different than those of SLO. I recommend Richard Patton's map after revisions are made to bring it to compliance with the regulations. Please put your political beliefs aside and make the right decision for the residents of the north county. Thank You very much, Fay Johnson

Public Records
Notice True

Security code 267334

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 6:08 PM

To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment - ID 39

RedistrictingID	39
Form inserted	10/26/2021 6:07:26 PM
Form updated	10/26/2021 6:07:26 PM
First Name	William
Last Name	Gerlach
Email	william.gerlach@gmail.com
Phone	[REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented	Resident
City	Cambria
Zip	93428
Comment	Recommend no change in districting.
Public Records Notice	True
Security code	443861