

Party Preference and Political Party Endorsement

On the ballot, the political party preference, or no party preference, as indicated on the candidate's voter registration will be listed next to the candidate's name. The party designation is shown on the ballot for information to the voters only and it does not constitute or imply an endorsement by the party designated. Parties may provide a list of candidates for voter-nominated offices that they have endorsed. Those candidates who have received the official endorsement of the party for printing in this booklet are listed below.

PARTY ENDORSEMENTS FOR THE NOVEMBER 6, 2018, ELECTION

Party	Contests	Candidate
Democratic	Governor Secretary of State State Controller State Treasurer Attorney General Insurance Commissioner Board of Equalization, 2 nd Dist U. S. Senator U.S. Representative, 24 th Dist State Assembly, 35 th Dist Superintendent of Public Instruction	Gavin Newsom Alex Padilla Betty T. Yee Fiona Ma Xavier Becerra Ricardo Lara Malia Cohen Kevin de Leon Salud Carbajal Bill Ostrander Tony K. Thurmond
Republican	Governor Secretary of State State Controller State Treasurer Attorney General Board of Equalization, 2 nd Dist U.S. Representative, 24 th Dist State Assembly, 35 th Dist	John H. Cox Mark P. Meuser Konstantinos Roditis Greg Conlon Steven C. Bailey Mark Burns Justin Fareed Jordan Cunningham
American Independent	Governor Secretary of State State Controller State Treasurer Attorney General Insurance Commissioner Board of Equalization, 2 nd Dist U.S. Representative, 24 th Dist State Assembly, 35 th Dist Superintendent of Public Instruction	John H. Cox Mark P. Meuser Konstantinos Roditis Greg Conlon Steven C. Bailey Steve Poizner Mark Burns Justin Fareed Jordan Cunningham Marshall Tuck

*The order of parties follows the Randomized Alphabet Drawing conducted by the County.

Sample Ballot

OFFICIAL BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED GENERAL ELECTION - NOVEMBER 6, 2018

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS: To vote for or against candidates for Associate Justice of the Supreme Court; Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal; or Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, fill in the OVAL to the right of the word "YES" or "NO," respectively. To vote for any other candidate whose name appears on the ballot, fill in the OVAL to the RIGHT of the candidate's name. Do not vote for more than the number of candidates allowed. To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write in the candidate's name on the Write-In line and fill in the OVAL to the RIGHT. To vote for a measure, fill in the OVAL to the RIGHT of the word "YES" or the word "NO". Marking the ballot outside of the designated space to vote for a candidate or measure may compromise the secrecy of the ballot. If you tear, deface, or wrongly mark this ballot, return it and get another. TO VOTE, USE BALLPOINT WITH DARK INK TO FILL IN THE OVAL COMPLETELY LIKE THIS:



VOTER-NOMINATED AND NONPARTISAN OFFICES

All voters, regardless of the party preference they disclosed upon registration, or refusal to disclose a party preference, may vote for any candidate for a voter-nominated or nonpartisan office. The party preference, if any, designated by a candidate for a voter-nominated office is selected by the candidate and is shown for the information of the voters only. It does not imply that the candidate is nominated or endorsed by the party or that the party approves of the candidate. The party preference, if any, of a candidate for a nonpartisan office does not appear on the ballot.

Sample Ballot

STATE	
GOVERNOR	Vote for One
JOHN H. COX <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Republican Businessman/Taxpayer Advocate	
GAVIN NEWSOM <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Democratic Lieutenant Governor/Businessman	
LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR	Vote for One
ED HERNANDEZ <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Democratic State Senator/Businessman	
ELENI KOUNALAKIS <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Democratic Businesswoman/Economic Advisor	
SECRETARY OF STATE	Vote for One
ALEX PADILLA <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Democratic Secretary of State	
MARK P. MEUSER <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Republican Election Law Attorney	
CONTROLLER	Vote for One
KONSTANTINOS RODITIS <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Republican Businessman/CFO	
BETTY T. YEE <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Democratic California State Controller	
TREASURER	Vote for One
GREG CONLON <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Republican Businessman/CPA	
FIONA MA <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Democratic CPA/Taxpayer Representative	
ATTORNEY GENERAL	Vote for One
STEVEN C. BAILEY <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Republican Retired California Judge	
XAVIER BECERRA <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Democratic Appointed Attorney General of the State of California	

Sample Ballot

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER	
	Vote for One
RICARDO LARA	<input type="radio"/>
Party Preference: Democratic California Senator	
STEVE POIZNER	<input type="radio"/>
Party Preference: None Businessman/Non-Profit Director	
MEMBER, STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION 2nd District	
	Vote for One
MALIA COHEN	<input type="radio"/>
Party Preference: Democratic President, San Francisco County Supervisors	
MARK BURNS	<input type="radio"/>
Party Preference: Republican Realtor/Businessman	
UNITED STATES SENATOR	
	Vote for One
DIANNE FEINSTEIN	<input type="radio"/>
Party Preference: Democratic United States Senator	
KEVIN DE LEON	<input type="radio"/>
Party Preference: Democratic California Senator	
UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE 24th District	
	Vote for One
SALUD CARBAJAL	<input type="radio"/>
Party Preference: Democratic Member of Congress	
JUSTIN FAREED	<input type="radio"/>
Party Preference: Republican Small Businessman/Rancher	
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY 35th District	
	Vote for One
BILL OSTRANDER	<input type="radio"/>
Party Preference: Democratic Farmer/Nonprofit Director	
JORDAN CUNNINGHAM	<input type="radio"/>
Party Preference: Republican Assemblymember/Small Businessman	

Sample Ballot

JUDICIAL					
VOTE YES OR NO FOR EACH OFFICE					
FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT Shall Associate Justice CAROL A. CORRIGAN be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	<table border="1"><tr><td>YES</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td>NO</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	YES	<input type="radio"/>	NO	<input type="radio"/>
YES	<input type="radio"/>				
NO	<input type="radio"/>				
FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT Shall Associate Justice LEONDRA R. KRUGER be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	<table border="1"><tr><td>YES</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td>NO</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	YES	<input type="radio"/>	NO	<input type="radio"/>
YES	<input type="radio"/>				
NO	<input type="radio"/>				
FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 1 Shall Associate Justice VICTORIA G. CHANEY be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	<table border="1"><tr><td>YES</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td>NO</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	YES	<input type="radio"/>	NO	<input type="radio"/>
YES	<input type="radio"/>				
NO	<input type="radio"/>				
FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 1 Shall Associate Justice HELEN BENDIX be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	<table border="1"><tr><td>YES</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td>NO</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	YES	<input type="radio"/>	NO	<input type="radio"/>
YES	<input type="radio"/>				
NO	<input type="radio"/>				
FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 2 Shall Presiding Justice ELWOOD LUI be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	<table border="1"><tr><td>YES</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td>NO</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	YES	<input type="radio"/>	NO	<input type="radio"/>
YES	<input type="radio"/>				
NO	<input type="radio"/>				
FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 2 Shall Associate Justice VICTORIA M. CHAVEZ be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	<table border="1"><tr><td>YES</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td>NO</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	YES	<input type="radio"/>	NO	<input type="radio"/>
YES	<input type="radio"/>				
NO	<input type="radio"/>				
FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 3 Shall Associate Justice LUIS A. LAVIN be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	<table border="1"><tr><td>YES</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td>NO</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	YES	<input type="radio"/>	NO	<input type="radio"/>
YES	<input type="radio"/>				
NO	<input type="radio"/>				

Sample Ballot

FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 3 Shall Associate Justice HALIM DHANIDINA be elected to the office for the term provided by law?		YES <input type="radio"/>
		NO <input type="radio"/>
FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 3 Shall Associate Justice ANNE H. EGERTON be elected to the office for the term provided by law?		YES <input type="radio"/>
		NO <input type="radio"/>
FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 4 Shall Presiding Justice NORA M. MANELLA be elected to the office for the term provided by law?		YES <input type="radio"/>
		NO <input type="radio"/>
FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 4 Shall Associate Justice THOMAS WILLHITE be elected to the office for the term provided by law?		YES <input type="radio"/>
		NO <input type="radio"/>
FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 5 Shall Associate Justice DOROTHY C. KIM be elected to the office for the term provided by law?		YES <input type="radio"/>
		NO <input type="radio"/>
FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 5 Shall Associate Justice CARL H. MOOR be elected to the office for the term provided by law?		YES <input type="radio"/>
		NO <input type="radio"/>
FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 5 Shall Associate Justice LAMAR W. BAKER be elected to the office for the term provided by law?		YES <input type="radio"/>
		NO <input type="radio"/>
FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 6 Shall Presiding Justice ARTHUR GILBERT be elected to the office for the term provided by law?		YES <input type="radio"/>
		NO <input type="radio"/>

Sample Ballot

FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 6 Shall Associate Justice MARTIN J. TANGEMAN be elected to the office for the term provided by law?		YES <input type="radio"/>
		NO <input type="radio"/>
FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 7 Shall Associate Justice GAIL R. FEUER be elected to the office for the term provided by law?		YES <input type="radio"/>
		NO <input type="radio"/>
FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 7 Shall Associate Justice JOHN L. SEGAL be elected to the office for the term provided by law?		YES <input type="radio"/>
		NO <input type="radio"/>
FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 8 Shall Presiding Justice TRICIA A. BIGELOW be elected to the office for the term provided by law?		YES <input type="radio"/>
		NO <input type="radio"/>
SCHOOL		
SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION		
Vote for One		
MARSHALL TUCK Schools Improvement Director		<input type="radio"/>
TONY K. THURMOND Educator/State Legislator		<input type="radio"/>
Write-In		<input type="radio"/>

Sample Ballot

TEMPLETON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER	
MATT VIERRA Fire Marshall	<input type="radio"/>
JAN NIMICK Appointed Incumbent	<input type="radio"/>
BARBY LICHTI WUNSCH Nonprofit Development Director	<input type="radio"/>
KATRINA V. MERSON Incumbent	<input type="radio"/>
Write-In	<input type="radio"/>
Write-In	<input type="radio"/>

Vote for no more than Two

Sample Ballot

CITY	
CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES MAYOR Vote for One	
JIM REED Council Member	<input type="radio"/>
STEVEN W. MARTIN Mayor, City of Paso Robles	<input type="radio"/>
Write-In	<input type="radio"/>
CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES COUNCILMEMBER Vote for no more than Two	
MICHAEL RIVERA Businessman	<input type="radio"/>
JOHN HAMON City Councilman/Businessman	<input type="radio"/>
ANDY PEKEMA Father/Engineer/Businessman	<input type="radio"/>
MARIA ELENA GARCIA Pharmacy Technician/Mother	<input type="radio"/>
Write-In	<input type="radio"/>
Write-In	<input type="radio"/>

Sample Ballot

MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE VOTERS					
STATE					
<p>1 AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND SPECIFIED HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS. LEGISLATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$4 billion in general obligation bonds for existing affordable housing programs for low-income residents, veterans, farmworkers, manufactured and mobile homes, infill, and transit-oriented housing. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging about \$170 million annually over the next 35 years.</p>	<table border="1"><tr><td>YES</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td>NO</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	YES	<input type="radio"/>	NO	<input type="radio"/>
YES	<input type="radio"/>				
NO	<input type="radio"/>				
<p>2 AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND EXISTING HOUSING PROGRAM FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH MENTAL ILLNESS. LEGISLATIVE STATUTE. Amends Mental Health Services Act to fund No Place Like Home Program, which finances housing for individuals with mental illness. Ratifies existing law establishing the No Place Like Home Program. Fiscal Impact: Allows the state to use up to \$140 million per year of county mental health funds to repay up to \$2 billion in bonds. These bonds would fund housing for those with mental illness who are homeless.</p>	<table border="1"><tr><td>YES</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td>NO</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	YES	<input type="radio"/>	NO	<input type="radio"/>
YES	<input type="radio"/>				
NO	<input type="radio"/>				
<p>3 AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND PROJECTS FOR WATER SUPPLY AND QUALITY, WATERSHED, FISH, WILDLIFE, WATER CONVEYANCE, AND GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY AND STORAGE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$8.877 billion in state general obligation bonds for various infrastructure projects. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging \$430 million per year over 40 years. Local government savings for water-related projects, likely averaging a couple hundred million dollars annually over the next few decades.</p>	<table border="1"><tr><td>YES</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td>NO</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	YES	<input type="radio"/>	NO	<input type="radio"/>
YES	<input type="radio"/>				
NO	<input type="radio"/>				
<p>4 AUTHORIZES BONDS FUNDING CONSTRUCTION AT HOSPITALS PROVIDING CHILDREN'S HEALTH CARE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$1.5 billion in bonds, to be repaid from state's General Fund, to fund grants for construction, expansion, renovation, and equipping of qualifying children's hospitals. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging about \$80 million annually over the next 35 years.</p>	<table border="1"><tr><td>YES</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td>NO</td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	YES	<input type="radio"/>	NO	<input type="radio"/>
YES	<input type="radio"/>				
NO	<input type="radio"/>				

Sample Ballot

5 CHANGES REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTAIN PROPERTY OWNERS TO TRANSFER THEIR PROPERTY TAX BASE TO REPLACEMENT PROPERTY. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Removes certain transfer requirements for homeowners over 55, severely disabled homeowners, and contaminated or disaster-destroyed property. Fiscal Impact: Schools and local governments each would lose over \$100 million in annual property taxes early on, growing to about \$1 billion per year. Similar increase in state costs to backfill school property tax losses.

YES	<input type="radio"/>
NO	<input type="radio"/>

6 ELIMINATES CERTAIN ROAD REPAIR AND TRANSPORTATION FUNDING. REQUIRES CERTAIN FUEL TAXES AND VEHICLE FEES BE APPROVED BY THE ELECTORATE. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Repeals a 2017 transportation law's taxes and fees designated for road repairs and public transportation. Fiscal Impact: Reduced ongoing revenues of \$5.1 billion from state fuel and vehicle taxes that mainly would have paid for highway and road maintenance and repairs, as well as transit programs.

YES	<input type="radio"/>
NO	<input type="radio"/>

7 CONFORMS CALIFORNIA DAYLIGHT SAVING TIME TO FEDERAL LAW. ALLOWS LEGISLATURE TO CHANGE DAYLIGHT SAVING TIME PERIOD. LEGISLATIVE STATUTE. Gives Legislature ability to change daylight saving time period by two-thirds vote, if changes are consistent with federal law. Fiscal Impact: This measure has no direct fiscal effect because changes to daylight saving time would depend on future actions by the Legislature and potentially the federal government.

YES	<input type="radio"/>
NO	<input type="radio"/>

8 REGULATES AMOUNTS OUTPATIENT KIDNEY DIALYSIS CLINICS CHARGE FOR DIALYSIS TREATMENT. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires rebates and penalties if charges exceed limit. Requires annual reporting to the state. Prohibits clinics from refusing to treat patients based on payment source. Fiscal Impact: Overall annual effect on state and local governments ranging from net positive impact in the low tens of millions of dollars to net negative impact in the tens of millions of dollars.

YES	<input type="radio"/>
NO	<input type="radio"/>

Sample Ballot

9 Proposition 9 was removed from the ballot by order of the California Supreme Court.

10 EXPANDS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS' AUTHORITY TO ENACT RENT CONTROL ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Repeals state law that currently restricts the scope of rent-control policies that cities and other local jurisdictions may impose on residential property. Fiscal Impact: Potential net reduction in state and local revenues of tens of millions of dollars per year in the long term. Depending on actions by local communities, revenue losses could be less or considerably more.

YES	<input type="radio"/>
NO	<input type="radio"/>

11 REQUIRES PRIVATE-SECTOR EMERGENCY AMBULANCE EMPLOYEES TO REMAIN ON-CALL DURING WORK BREAKS. ELIMINATES CERTAIN EMPLOYER LIABILITY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Law entitling hourly employees to breaks without being on-call would not apply to private-sector ambulance employees. Fiscal Impact: Likely fiscal benefit to local governments (in the form of lower costs and higher revenues), potentially in the tens of millions of dollars each year.

YES	<input type="radio"/>
NO	<input type="radio"/>

12 ESTABLISHES NEW STANDARDS FOR CONFINEMENT OF SPECIFIED FARM ANIMALS; BANS SALE OF NONCOMPLYING PRODUCTS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Establishes minimum requirements for confining certain farm animals. Prohibits sales of meat and egg products from animals confined in noncomplying manner. Fiscal Impact: Potential decrease in state income tax revenues from farm businesses, likely not more than several million dollars annually. State costs up to \$10 million annually to enforce the measure.

YES	<input type="radio"/>
NO	<input type="radio"/>

COUNTY

G-18 Shall an ordinance be adopted amending the San Luis Obispo County General Plan and County Code to prohibit any new petroleum extraction and all well stimulation treatments, as defined in the full-text of the measure, including hydraulic fracturing and acid well stimulation, on all lands within the unincorporated area of the county?

YES	<input type="radio"/>
NO	<input type="radio"/>

Sample Ballot

CITY	
CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES	
H-18 Shall the Office of City Clerk be Appointive?	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>
I-18 To improve basic city services, such as police and emergency response, parks and youth/senior services, and street repair, shall the City of Paso Robles adopt an ordinance enacting a tax on cannabis-related activities in the amount of up to \$20 per square foot for cultivation/processing; up to 10% of gross receipts for transportation; up to 15% of gross receipts for manufacturing, testing, and distribution; and up to 10% of gross receipts for dispensaries, generating approximately \$15,000 annually initially for unrestricted general purposes, until ended by voters?	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>
K-18 To upgrade deteriorating streets and sidewalks; and address other general revenue purposes; shall a measure be adopted approving an ordinance establishing a one-half of one percent sales tax providing approximately \$4,750,000 annually for 6 years, requiring annual audits, quarterly reports to the public by a citizens oversight committee, and using all funds within Paso Robles only?	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>
N-18 If the voters approve a one-half of one-percent (.5%) limited-term general sales tax increase, shall the additional revenues be used primarily for the purpose of repairing and maintaining the City's streets and sidewalks?	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>

VOTER'S PAMPHLET INFORMATION SECTION

The following pages contain voter information applicable to your ballot which may include any/all of the following items:

- Candidates' Statements
- Ballot Measure(s)
- Impartial Analysis
- Fiscal Impact/Tax Rate Statement(s)
- Arguments and Rebuttals In Support of or In Opposition To Ballot Measures

This pamphlet section may not contain a statement for each candidate. A complete list of candidates appears on your Ballot/Sample Ballot. Each candidate's statement in this pamphlet is volunteered by the candidate and is printed at his or her expense. Each candidate's statement is printed in uniform format as submitted by the candidate.

Please note that all the statements printed in Spanish, at the candidates' request, follow the English statements for that same contest.

Arguments in support of or in opposition to the proposed laws are the opinions of the authors.

Campaign Finance Reform

Among all state legislative candidates appearing on the ballot in San Luis Obispo County, only the candidate listed below has pledged to abide by campaign spending limits as specified in the California Government Code. Candidates agreeing to the campaign spending limits also have the opportunity to have a Statement of Qualifications printed in the local sample ballot pamphlet, at their own expense.

35th Assembly District Candidate
Bill Ostrander

**STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
U.S. REPRESENTATIVE, 24TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT**

SALUD CARBAJAL

Occupation: Member of Congress

Education and Qualifications: I'm Salud Carbajal. I'm a proud husband, father, and Marine Corps veteran. I was the first in my family to graduate from a University, working two jobs to pay for my education at UCSB. I know what it's like to struggle and work hard to provide for my family, and I'm committed to making sure other families on the Central Coast have the same opportunities I did to get ahead.

I've strived to represent our Central Coast values in my service. I'm proud to have earned endorsements from the Sierra Club and Planned Parenthood for standing up for women's reproductive rights, preserving our environment, and protecting the integrity of our elections.

As a Member of Congress, I've worked with my Democratic and Republican colleagues to find common ground on issues that unite us. I've reached across the aisle to move forward bipartisan legislation that helps create new jobs, addresses the disastrous impacts of climate change, supports our nation's veterans and gold star families, fixes our broken immigration system, and invests in sustainable water infrastructure as our state faces an extended drought.

However, there is more work to be done. I'm willing to tackle the big issues to bring down the cost of health care, not repeal it. I'm fighting to make college more affordable and relieve students of crushing loan debt.

For more information about my campaign, please visit SaludCarbajal.com. I hope to earn your support on November 6th. Thank you.

**DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO PARA
REPRESENTANTE DE EE. UU., DISTRITO 24 DEL CONGRESO**

SALUD CARBAJAL

Ocupación: Miembro del Congreso

Educación y Competencia: Yo soy Salud Carbajal. Soy un orgulloso esposo, padre y veterano del Cuerpo de Marines de Estados Unidos. Fui el primero de mi familia en graduarse de una Universidad, con dos trabajos para pagar mis estudios en UCSB. Sé lo que es esforzarse y trabajar duro para mantener a mi familia, y me comprometo a asegurarme de que otras familias de la Costa Central tengan las mismas oportunidades que yo tuve para salir adelante.

He luchado para representar los valores de la Costa Central durante mi mandato. Me enorgullece haber obtenido el apoyo de Sierra Club y Planned Parenthood para defender los derechos reproductivos de la mujer, preservar el medioambiente y proteger la integridad de las elecciones.

Como Miembro del Congreso, he colaborado con mis colegas Demócratas y Republicanos para ponernos de acuerdo en problemas que nos unen. Me he unido a mis colegas para proceder con una legislación bipartita para crear nuevos trabajos, abordar el grave impacto del cambio climático, apoyar a los veteranos y a las familias de la "estrella de oro" de nuestro país, arreglar el sistema migratorio arruinado, e invertir en infraestructura sostenible de agua debido a la gran sequía que enfrenta nuestro estado.

Sin embargo, hay mucho trabajo por hacer. Estoy dispuesto a resolver los problemas grandes para reducir el costo de la atención médica, no para revocarlo. Estoy luchando para hacer que la universidad sea más asequible y para liberar a los estudiantes de las devastadoras deudas de préstamos.

Para obtener más información sobre mi campaña, visite SaludCarbajal.com. Espero tener su apoyo el 6 de noviembre. Gracias.

**STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY, 35th DISTRICT**

BILL OSTRANDER

Occupation: Farmer/Nonprofit Advocate

Education and Qualifications: Health care is our right, not a privilege. Taking care of one another is right ethically, and using the bargaining power of California's 40 million people to negotiate medical costs is the smart thing to do fiscally. Unless you are an insurance company benefiting from a profit-driven healthcare system, this is a win/win.

A fair election process is our right, not something to be bought. We must remove the corruptive influence of using private funds to elect public officials. The insatiable need for campaign funding ruins conversations about governance, focuses politicians on just the wealthiest among us, and prevents us from achieving the legislative outcomes we need.

Access to higher education is our right, and not the privilege of the wealthy. In fact, we declared this in California's Master Plan for Education and we need to return to that commitment. It is not only right ethically: spending money on universal pre-school, more vocational and art programs for high school and college, internship programs, and affordable education are proven smart investments in our economy.

Access to clean air, water, and food is our right, not the privilege of previous generations. We must use our farming practices to combat global warming by drawing down atmospheric carbon and placing it back in our soil where it can improve fertility and water absorption, reducing our dependence on synthetic fertilizers and chemicals. That makes us all healthier.

This is about all of us. Let's put our best selves forward.

**DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO PARA
MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 35**

BILL OSTRANDER

Ocupación: Granjero/Defensor sin Fines de Lucro

Educación y Competencia: La atención médica es un derecho, no un privilegio. Cuidarnos los unos a los otros es éticamente correcto, y utilizar el poder de negociación de los 40 millones de habitantes de California para negociar los costos médicos es astuto a nivel fiscal. Todos ganan a menos que usted sea una empresa aseguradora que se beneficia del sistema de salud con fines de lucro.

Un proceso electoral justo es nuestro derecho, no algo que se compra. Tenemos que eliminar la costumbre corrupta de utilizar fondos privados para elegir a los funcionarios públicos. La necesidad insaciable de fondos para las campañas arruina las conversaciones sobre la gobernación, hace que los políticos se enfoquen en los más ricos, y evita que obtengamos los logros legislativos que necesitamos.

El acceso a la educación superior es un derecho y no un privilegio de los ricos. De hecho, establecimos esto en el Plan Maestro de Educación de California, y necesitamos recuperar ese compromiso. No es solo éticamente correcto: el gasto de dinero en educación preescolar universal, más programas vocacionales y artísticos para la escuela secundaria y la universidad, los programas de pasantías, y la educación asequible han demostrado ser inversiones inteligentes en nuestra economía.

El acceso al aire, el agua y la comida limpios es un derecho, no un privilegio de las generaciones anteriores. Debemos utilizar nuestras prácticas agrícolas para combatir el calentamiento global al reducir el carbono en la atmósfera y volver a colocarlo en nuestra tierra, donde puede mejorar la fertilidad y la absorción del agua, reduciendo así la dependencia de fertilizantes sintéticos y químicos. Esto nos hace más sanos a todos.

Esto es para todos. Demos lo mejor de nosotros mismos.

**STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER
Templeton Unified School District**

MATTHEW J. VIERRA

Occupation: Fire Marshal

Education and Qualifications: As a life time resident and proud member of our community, I'm running for Templeton Unified school board because I believe in the value of public education. I want to work with teachers, parents and the community to ensure that Templeton students receive the world-class education they deserve. I will get input from teachers, staff, parents, principals, and the public to arrive at the best solution.

Excellent teachers are the key to an outstanding school system. Providing adequate pay and working conditions are important, but we must also ensure we have excellent leadership for our teachers and staff.

I also believe this district must prudently manage and administer our tax dollars allocated to education. I have years of experience developing and managing large budgets within the government and private sectors. I have gained a much broader understanding of the financial challenges that Templeton Unifies School District faces in the coming years and I am willing to help address them.

I will embrace this position with an open mind and objectivity perform all the duties that are required of a school board member. I am willing and eager to devote my time and energy that this community deserves.

I respectfully ask for your vote this November.

**STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER
Templeton Unified School District**

JAN NIMICK

Age: 47

Occupation: Director, Electric Utility

Education and Qualifications: As a Trustee on the Board at Templeton Unified School District over the past two years, I have had the opportunity and privilege to work with a diverse and talented set of Trustees and Templeton's amazing staff to address a number of complex challenges. I look forward to applying the lessons I have learned in that time, in addition to my experiences as a parent, in industry, and in the US Navy to continue to serve our community. As a school district we are facing pressures as our economy changes, society continues to evolve, and technology advances. I believe as we continue to work through these changes it will take active listening, thoughtful consideration of all perspectives, creative problem solving, and sincere mutual respect to be successful. I am proud of how our community has modeled these ideals over the past several years.

You have my commitment I will continue to keep educational quality, student experience, and developing the whole person as the highest priorities as I discharge my responsibilities.

Please vote Jan Nimick for Templeton School Board on November 6.

Thank you.

**STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER
Templeton Unified School District**

BARBY LICHTI WUNSCH

Age: 42

Occupation: Director of Development & Programs, GRID Alternatives

Education and Qualifications: I, Barby Lichti Wunsch, have resided in Templeton for 30 years and am a Templeton High School graduate. My experience working with the district is extensive: serving as VP of Grants for the Templeton Education Foundation for the past 2 years, serving on the Local Control Accountability Plan(LCAP) committee in 2017/2018, and working closely with Superintendent Koski, the San Luis Obispo County Office of Education, and the California Collaborative for Educational Excellence to pilot a Parent Engagement workshop series for TUSD in an effort to explore methods for engaging more parents in TUSD activities and finding meaningful ways to help parents be more involved in their child's education. My background as an educator for 10 years and Master's degree in Education further qualify me for this position. Currently, I am employed as Director of Development for nonprofit, GRID Alternatives, where I have implemented a solar training program for high school students complete with curriculum and hands-on training opportunities to boost solar job training skills and work with Career Technical Education programs. My passion for educational excellence, building local opportunities, and community involvement make me your choice candidate for serving as Governing Board Member of the Templeton Unified School District.

**STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER
Templeton Unified School District**

KATRINA V. MERSON

Age: 55

Occupation: Incumbent, Insurance Agent

Education and Qualifications: Providing every possible opportunity in public education to equip any student achieve their goals, whatever their dream, is essential in making our world a better place for everyone. While working within the restraints of California's budget, if you explore every avenue and think outside the box, it can be accomplished. In the last four years as a TUSD board member, I have always done my homework to ensure I make informed decisions based on how we can best serve Templeton students and keep our district competitive while wisely managing your tax dollars. I feel it is vital to maintain integrity and transparency in the decision-making process, collaborating with all the stakeholders involved and remaining accessible to parents, teachers and students. It has been my privilege to serve as a trustee for the Templeton Unified School District. I ask for your vote in November so that I may continue to support the amazing students, staff and citizens of Templeton.

From my first year as PTA president in 1999, I have served and supported the following organizations: Templeton Education Foundation, Templeton Unified School District, Santa Lucia ROP School Board, Templeton High School Mock Trial, Templeton High School Drama, Templeton High School ASB and as a parent to my amazing daughters, THS graduates.

**STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
MAYOR
City of El Paso de Robles**

JIM REED

Occupation: Citizen, City Councilmember

Education and Qualifications: Many of the problems we face as citizens are due to the overreaching influence of government. In my first term on the Council I have realized that in most cases issues needing to be corrected were due to existing policies that are not in the best interest of the citizens. Once bad policies or ordinances are made they are very difficult to correct.

Moreover, city staff are constantly making new policies with very little oversight from the people that you have elected to look out for your best interest. There needs to be a clear line between the people we elect and the institution of government. Government's job is to apply policies that are made by the council.

If elected as your Mayor, I will work to keep the decision makers on the right side of this line, and properly vet and discuss issues prior to implementing new policies. This practice will produce much more intelligent and responsible results.

I ran four years ago; a new name with new ideas. Now, my name may not be new, but we still need to work at implementing these new ideas. I respectfully ask for your vote. JimReedforMayor@gmail.com.

**STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
MAYOR
City of El Paso de Robles**

STEVEN W. MARTIN

Occupation: Incumbent

Education and Qualifications: I am excited about our future. Paso Robles is poised to build on many recent successes including securing plentiful water, increasing street repairs, improving public safety services and planning for a new wave of economic development that will bring new head-of-household jobs to our city. I will support efforts to enhance our airport and re-use the adjacent deserted correctional facility. I will lead efforts to increase fiscal responsibility, address public parking needs, and deal with the rising cost of housing while assuring that new development pays for itself. I will continue to push for civility in public discourse and protect the rights of all who wish to be a part of the process. I will continue to work diligently with our employees to lower the city's unfunded retirement costs. I will always be accessible to you, answering phone calls and emails quickly. I will continue to meet with groups and individuals to solve problems and make real progress. When I became Mayor four years ago I called on everyone to work together to keep Paso Robles strong; a place where we can live, work and shop. I am excited. I hope you are, too. I respectfully ask for your vote.

**DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO PARA
ALCALDE
Ciudad de El Paso de Robles**

JIM REED

Ocupación: Ciudadano, Miembro del Concejo Municipal

Educación y Competencia: Muchos de los problemas a los que nos enfrentamos como ciudadanos se deben a la influencia desmedida del gobierno. En mi primer período en el Concejo me di cuenta de que en la mayoría de los casos los problemas que había que corregir se debían a las políticas existentes que no favorecen a los ciudadanos. Una vez que se hacen políticas u ordenanzas malas, son muy difíciles de corregir.

Además, el personal municipal está continuamente haciendo políticas nuevas con muy poca supervisión de parte de aquellos a los que usted eligió para que velaran por sus intereses. Es necesario que haya una línea clara entre aquellos a los que elegimos y la institución de gobierno. El trabajo del gobierno es aplicar las políticas que se definen en el concejo.

Si me eligen como su alcalde, trabajaré por mantener a los encargados de tomar decisiones del lado correcto de esa línea y que se revisen y discutan los asuntos antes de que se implementen políticas nuevas. Esta práctica generará resultados mucho más inteligentes y responsables.

Me postulé hace cuatro años; era un nuevo nombre con nuevas ideas. Ahora quizás mi nombre no sea desconocido, pero todavía necesitamos trabajar en la implementación de estas nuevas ideas. Con todo respeto le pido su voto. JimReedforMayor@gmail.com.

**DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO PARA
ALCALDE
Ciudad de El Paso de Robles**

STEVEN W. MARTIN

Ocupación: Titular

Educación y Competencia: Me entusiasma nuestro futuro. Paso Robles está preparado para desarrollarse a partir de muchos logros recientes, incluyendo asegurar abundante agua, aumentar las reparaciones de las calles, mejorar los servicios de seguridad pública y planificar para una nueva ola de desarrollo económico que traiga a nuestra ciudad nuevos puestos de trabajo para los cabezas de familia. Apoyaré las labores para mejorar nuestro aeropuerto y reutilizar el centro correccional adyacente que está abandonado. Dirigiré las labores para aumentar la responsabilidad fiscal, tratar las necesidades de estacionamientos públicos y manejar los crecientes costos de las viviendas mientras aseguramos que las nuevas urbanizaciones se paguen solas. Seguiré impulsando la urbanidad en el discurso público y protegiendo los derechos de todos los que deseen ser parte del proceso. Seguiré trabajando diligentemente con nuestros empleados para reducir los costos de jubilación sin financiamiento de la ciudad. Siempre estaré a su disposición, atendiendo llamadas y respondiendo correos electrónicos rápidamente. Seguiré reuniéndome con grupos y personas para resolver los problemas y lograr un verdadero progreso. Cuando me eligieron como alcalde hace cuatro años invité a todos a trabajar juntos para mantener la solidez de Paso Robles, un lugar donde podemos vivir, trabajar y comprar. Estoy emocionado. Espero que usted también. Con todo respeto le pido su voto.

**STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
MEMBER OF CITY COUNCIL
City of El Paso de Robles**

MICHAEL RIVERA

Age: 64

Occupation: Businessman

Education and Qualifications: Hello Fellow Citizens. I am a 44 year resident of the Central Coast 16 in Paso Robles, 28 in the Santa Maria Valley. My wife and I have been married for 46 years and have 3 adult children and 5 grandchildren. I have served on various boards and commissions over my life. These include the Chairman of the Santa Maria recreation and parks commission, Guadalupe Planning Commission and Chairman of the Santa Barbara County Private Industry Council and Chief Financial Officer of the PIC. I served on the Mayors Housing Task Force in Santa Maria and various other committees and Non Profits. I am running for Paso Robles City Council because Our City is at a crossroads. Planning and Development, Housing, Head of Household jobs, education for our young people and ensuring the needs of our Citizens are at the top my list and not "special interests". These are my priorities. My experience in Business, County and City government provides me with a unique view as to what works and what does not related to our Cities development. I ask for your vote so we can chart a course together for the future of our families and City.

**STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
MEMBER OF CITY COUNCIL
City of El Paso de Robles**

JOHN R. HAMON

Age: 64

Occupation: Businessman / Paso Robles City Council Member

Education and Qualifications: It has been my honor and privilege to serve my fellow Roblans as Councilmember for the past 12 years. I remain committed to a conservative voice with a common-sense voting record and I ask for the opportunity to continue representing you four more years.

I consider myself after 42 years, a successful and financially responsible small business owner and employer that votes prudently with public tax dollars.

I support a strong relationship between City government and business for a strong local economy. Our small-town agricultural heritage and the products we produce are appreciated by our many visitors. Their dollars support important sales tax revenue that helps fund our public services such as police, fire, library and recreational departments.

I am an experienced fourth generation son of the central coast and have been pleased to provide leadership on our Volunteer Fire Department for 19 years, Planning Commission for 5 years, and 12 years on various County of San Luis Obispo regional boards.

I ask for your vote of confidence in allowing me to serve again on your City Council.

Thank you for your past support of me and making Paso Robles the very special place that it is.

**STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
MEMBER OF CITY COUNCIL
City of El Paso de Robles**

ANDY PEKEMA

Occupation: Engineer, Small Business Owner

Education and Qualifications: I was born and raised just up the road in King City, and as a kid, visiting Paso Robles was a treat. For my family, Paso was the better big city. Unfortunately, Paso has lost some of its shine since then. It's still a great town, with booming wine and tourism industries, but we have some pretty big problems too. Our roads are crumbling, people can't afford to water their lawns, and developments spread unchecked.

However, with the right leadership, I believe Paso Robles can be even better than I remember. As a member of our City Council, I will do my best to provide that leadership and bring a fresh perspective to our city government. I don't pretend to have all the answers, but as an engineer I have experience solving some pretty big problems with a limited budget. It just takes a little hard work and an open mind.

If elected, my top priorities will be cutting frivolous spending and fixing our roads. That won't be easy, but I'm ready to make some tough decisions and get things done. If you like the sound of that, please vote for me, Andy Pekema, for Paso Robles City Council.

**STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
MEMBER OF CITY COUNCIL
City of El Paso de Robles**

MARIA ELENA GARCIA

Age: 47

Occupation: Pharmacy Technician / Community Volunteer / Mother

Education and Qualifications: I enthusiastically seek your vote to serve on the Paso Robles City Council. My background is in the health care profession. What does that mean? It means I care. I care deeply about our community.

I moved to Paso Robles 12 years ago as a single mom and quickly fell in love with the City's small town feel, beautiful surroundings, and many possibilities. I got involved with our church and school. I co-founded a small business networking organization and held fundraisers for high school scholarships to help disadvantaged students seeking a better way forward.

I have volunteered as a school board member and as a member of our local library board of trustees. I truly believe in giving back.

Over the years, I have seen our community grow and evolve – something we all support. At the same time, our region's continuing quality of life depends on thoughtful public policy that can ensure growth is matched by strong efforts to maintain the unique qualities and special attributes of our City.

My candidacy is all about promoting these values and aims. Accordingly, I ask for your vote and hope that you will support me on November 6!

**DECLARACIÓN DE LA CANDIDATA PARA
MIEMBRO DEL CONCEJO MUNICIPAL
Ciudad de El Paso de Robles**

MARIA ELENA GARCIA

Edad: 47

Ocupación: Técnica Farmacéutica / Voluntaria Comunitaria / Madre

Educación y Competencia: Busco con entusiasmo su voto para poder servir en el Concejo Municipal de Paso Robles. Mis antecedentes corresponden a la profesión de la salud. ¿Qué significa eso? Significa que me importa. Me importa nuestra comunidad.

Hace 12 años que me mudé a Paso Robles siendo una madre soltera y me enamoré rápidamente del aspecto pueblerino, los hermosos alrededores y las diversas posibilidades de esta ciudad. Me involucré con mi iglesia y nuestra escuela. Fui cofundadora de una organización de operaciones de pequeñas empresas e hice recaudaciones de fondos para becas de secundaria para ayudar a los estudiantes desfavorecidos que buscan un mejor camino hacia el futuro.

He trabajado como voluntaria como miembro de la junta escolar y como miembro de nuestra junta de síndicos de la biblioteca local. Verdaderamente creo en la retribución.

Con los años, he visto a nuestra comunidad crecer y evolucionar, algo que todos apoyamos. Al mismo tiempo, la continua calidad de vida de nuestra región depende de una política pública considerada que garantice que el crecimiento sea igualado por labores firmes por mantener las calidades únicas y los atributos especiales de nuestra ciudad.

Mi candidatura se basa en fomentar esos valores y objetivos. En consecuencia, ¡le pido su voto y espero que me apoye este 6 de noviembre!

**COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO
IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEASURE G-18**

This measure proposes the adoption of an ordinance through the initiative process. If 51% of qualified voters vote to approve the measure, an ordinance will be adopted amending the San Luis Obispo County General Plan, including the Local Coastal Program, and Title 22 and Title 23 of the San Luis Obispo County Code, to prohibit well stimulation treatments and any new petroleum extraction on all land within the unincorporated area of the county. The intended purpose of the measure is to protect county land, water, and quality of life.

Some impacts of the measure are uncertain because it is not known to what extent the banned or limited well stimulation activities are either utilized or necessary to the recovery of oil or gas in the county. The measure bans any new petroleum extraction but permits as a "nonconforming use" any petroleum extraction existing on the effective date of the measure (10 days after the vote approving the measure is declared by the board of supervisors [Elec. Code, § 9122]; hereafter "the effective date"). Such extraction may not be enlarged, increased, or otherwise expanded or intensified after the effective date. The measure prohibits all well stimulation treatments, even those currently existing on the measure's effective date. Well stimulation treatments include fracking and acidizing (acid well stimulation), which can be used, where effective, to enhance recovery of petroleum.

The legality of the measure is uncertain. To the extent the measure regulates drilling operations underground, it may be preempted by state or federal law. San Luis Obispo County retains land use regulation on the surface, but the validity of local land use regulations that impact how wells are operated below ground is not clear. In addition, the measure's regulatory restrictions on certain oil extraction without compensation may result in claims of an unconstitutional "taking" of mineral rights. In order to avoid an unconstitutional taking and county liability, the measure provides authority for the county to grant a limited exemption to the regulations imposed by the measure based on substantial evidence of facts constituting a taking. The procedures for evaluating evidence of a taking and determining the extent of any exemption are not prescribed by the measure. The necessity of utilizing such procedures prior to bringing suit against the county is unknown. Any exemption determination would be subject to judicial review.

The measure requires the county to defend the measure. If the measure is approved, litigation is anticipated. Litigation would likely include claims of preemption and an unlawful taking of private property rights. County resources would be necessary to defend against such claims, and to process taking exemption claims and vested rights claims made by holders of mineral rights.

The measure has been placed on the ballot by the requisite number of voters who signed the initiative petition for the measure. A "yes" vote on the measure is a vote in favor of the measure. A "no" vote is a vote against its adoption.

s/ RITA L. NEAL
County Counsel

The above statement is an impartial analysis of Measure G-18. If you desire a copy of the Ordinance or related materials, you can visit the Election website at www.slovote.com, or you can call the Election's office at 805-781-5228 and a copy will be made available at no cost to you.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT FOR MEASURE G-18

The fiscal impact of Measure G-18 to County revenue and expenditures is inherently difficult to predict due to its intersection with the variable oil market, changing technologies, and potential secondary effects of restricting oil production.

If approved, Measure G-18 prohibits new petroleum extraction and “well stimulation treatment;” existing operations would be allowed to continue as long as they are not expanded or intensified. As stated in Measure G-18, the impacts of petroleum extraction will decrease over time as production from existing wells declines. Additionally, the value of active oil businesses and mineral rights will likely decrease if Measure G is approved, which could impact tax revenue as described below.

Although there may be financial impacts to the County, it is impossible to predict with any certainty what they will be or the net amount. The following fiscal areas would likely be affected if oil extraction decreases:

- **Property Tax:** The assessment of property tax for mineral-producing properties is based on a calculation of oil reserves that the mineral rights holder can access as well as the market price of oil. Restricting the owner’s ability to expand will decrease the value of the mineral rights and thereby decrease taxes.
- **Unitary Tax:** This is similar to property tax except the assessment is calculated by the State rather than the local Assessor. Unitary tax is applied to businesses whose assets cross county boundaries such as transmission lines or pipelines. A decrease in oil production may decrease unitary taxes.
- In Fiscal Year 2017/2018, the oil industry was assessed \$2,304,232 in secured, unsecured and unitary taxes. This represents 0.43% of all assessed taxes for 2017/2018. The taxes were apportioned as follows:

	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Percent of Total Funds</u>
○ Schools -	\$ 1,514,626	(0.283%)
○ County General Fund -	\$ 603,596	(0.113%)
○ Special Districts -	\$ 121,543	(0.023%)
○ Cities -	\$ 20,211	(0.004%)
○ RDA Successor Agencies -	\$ 44,255	(0.008%)

There are other potential financial impacts to the County General Fund which are impossible to estimate, including but not limited to:

- The cost of any environmental damage caused by the oil extraction process. The oil industry is regulated by multiple agencies including the Department of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Regulation, Environmental Protection Agency, Regional Water Quality Control Board, Air Pollution Control, and the County of San Luis Obispo Planning Department. Although infrequent, even with regulation, oil spills have occurred;
- Any impact to approximately 32 to 76 local oil-industry jobs (source EDD) and the cascading effect to the local economy, including the related consumer spending and associated sales tax;
- County costs for processing applications for exemptions to Measure G-18, including exemptions to avoid unconstitutional takings of property and where there are “vested rights” which may be offset by existing fees;
- Litigation costs defending or opposing exemption approvals or denials;

- Potential litigation costs regarding claims of unconstitutional “takings” of property and/or vested rights. Costs could include monetary awards for damages (the County is not insured against court judgments for “takings” damages).

s/ James P Erb, CPA
Auditor-Controller-Treasurer-Tax Collector
County of San Luis Obispo

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE G-18

Beautiful San Luis Obispo County has a bright and prosperous future if we choose to protect our water, agriculture, and tourism. Fracking, acidizing, and expanded petroleum extraction **put that future at risk.**

Measure G lets the people decide, not the oil companies.

- The oil industry has plans to expand drilling in San Luis Obispo County, if we let them.
- They use risky, energy-intensive oil extraction techniques.
- Oil operations, as well as fracking and acidizing, often require transportation and use of toxic chemicals.
- Fracking and expanded drilling pose risks to San Luis Obispo County's water, agricultural heritage, and rural character, and undermine our clean energy future.

Measure G protects our water quality and quantity.

- It bans fracking, acidizing, and expanded drilling, which endanger our groundwater, creeks and soil.
- Fracking uses large quantities of fresh water. Our limited water supplies should be conserved for local farmers and residents, not sacrificed to the oil industry.

Measure G protects our health and safety.

- Studies reveal toxic chemicals used in fracking and acidizing – and pollution from oil extraction – can cause illnesses such as cancer, birth defects, and asthma.
- Scientists have shown fracking and injection can trigger earthquakes – and we live in an already seismically active area.

Measure G protects our economic future.

- **A safe and reliable water supply is essential for a healthy economy.** Reports show:
- **Agriculture** produced \$732 million in crops, contributed \$1.87 billion to the local economy, and supported over 20,000 local jobs in 2011. 2017 crop values totaled nearly \$925 million.
- **Tourism** generated \$75 million in local tax revenue and provided another 20,000 jobs in 2017 alone.

Measure G is fair and balanced.

- It prohibits expanded drilling but allows existing oil operations to continue.
- It gives the County flexibility to protect property rights.

Vote YES on Measure G: Protect Our Water, Our Health, and Our Future.

s/ Natalie Risner, Small Business Owner
s/ Charles Varni, Retired College Teacher
s/ Karen Merriam, Retired Clinical Social Worker
s/ Dale Smith, Retired Veterinarian

ARGUMENTS AND REBUTTALS CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE G-18

As citizens who proudly live in San Luis Obispo County, we care deeply about our County's future and strongly oppose Measure G.

At this time, when our County faces adverse effects due to the closure of Diablo Canyon, Measure G would create more economic uncertainty, hurt our community, and increase our dependence on foreign oil.

Measure G would shut down EXISTING oil and gas production.

- The promoters of this proposition are using scare tactics about fracking to try to pass Measure G. But, there is no hydraulic fracturing in San Luis Obispo County and no plans to do so.
- Measure G is so broadly written that practices safely used for over a century—and which are needed for existing production to continue—would be banned by Measure G.

Measure G would put the County and its taxpayers at risk for millions of dollars in liability that the County is not insured for.

- San Luis Obispo County would be on the hook to pay millions of dollars to property owners whose mineral rights would be violated by Measure G—thus facing drastic reductions in vital public services.
- A state judge already held that a similar measure in Monterey County was illegal and resulted in Monterey being exposed to massive legal liability.

Even the County's own impartial analysis cited the risk of litigation resulting from the measure (7/20/2018).

We need a balanced approach as we transition to a clean energy economy, not the drastic energy shutdown caused by Measure G.

Vote NO on G

/s/ Tom J. Bordonaro, Jr., Assessor, San Luis Obispo County

/s/ Chris Darway, 4th Generation Family Farmer, San Luis Obispo County

/s/ Dr. Dan Howes, Ph.D., Water Engineer, Cal Poly

/s/ Doralyn Henry, Teacher

/s/ James Mulhall, Retired Commander, San Luis Obispo County Sheriff's Department

ARGUMENTS AND REBUTTALS CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE G-18

Measure G is a costly ballot initiative that would result in another major employer shutting down in our County-hurting local workers and their families and resulting in new economic problems for our County.

Measure G would shut down existing oil and gas production.

- Practices that have been safely used for over a century and are needed for existing production to continue would be banned by Measure G.
- As a result, Measure G would shut down existing oil and gas production in San Luis Obispo County.

Measure G would result in more economic uncertainty.

- Already, the closure of Diablo Canyon is going to put a heavy economic strain on our county budget.
- If oil and gas production is shut down, the county would lose even more tax revenue, which could result in deep budget cuts to public safety and schools.

Measure G would harm our families and our community.

- Hundreds of workers would be at risk of losing their jobs.
- Millions in annual state and local tax revenues would be lost.

Measure G would expose the County and its taxpayers to massive financial liability.

- The County would be required to defend itself against lawsuits over Measure G, which could cost the County millions of dollars in legal fees alone.

Measure G would increase dependence on foreign oil.

- California produces less than 35% of what is needed to meet the demand of gasoline for our drivers.
- Oil that we do not produce locally would have to be imported from somewhere else, including countries that have poor environmental regulations and terrible human rights standards like Saudi Arabia, Iraq and Russia.
- We should allow local producers to help meet our energy needs in the responsible way, under the strictest environmental regulations in the world.

Vote NO on G.

/s/ George Donati, 4th Generation Family Farmer, San Luis Obispo County

/s/ Dr. Dan Howes, Ph.D., Water Engineer, Cal Poly

/s/ Dr. Rene Bravo, M.D., Children's Health Specialist

/s/ Dee Santos, Lucia Mar Unified School District Trustee

/s/ William Andersen, Firefighter

ARGUMENTS AND REBUTTALS CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE G-18

Big Oil is spending big money to protect their profits while they threaten our water, economy, and health. Know the facts:

The “Oil and Gas Shut Down” is a Lie

- Measure G allows San Luis Obispo County’s existing oil operations to continue (see Section 2B of the Initiative).

Big Oil is Risking the Heart of San Luis Obispo County’s Economy

- The County Auditor says oil provides fewer than 80 local jobs and only 0.43% of County-assessed taxes.
- Reports show agriculture and tourism together contribute over 40,000 jobs and billions of dollars to the local economy.
- Agriculture and tourism depend on clean and plentiful water. Groundwater contamination could cause significant economic harm.

Big Oil is Bullying Voters with Threats of Lawsuits

- Courts have confirmed local governments have the power to ban new drilling. If we choose to do that, then Big Oil should back off.
- The measure gives the County flexibility to avoid liability for “taking” anyone’s property rights.

Oil Companies Don’t Care About Our Energy Independence

- They convinced Congress to lift the ban on oil exports to maximize their profits. They also consistently lobby against clean energy measures that would reduce our reliance on overseas fossil fuels.
- San Luis Obispo County oil is refined and sold throughout California and internationally, not just for local consumption.

Measure G stops oil companies from passing the risks of fracking and expanded oil production on to the people of San Luis Obispo County. Vote YES on Measure G.

s/ Ruth Madocks, Organic Farmer

s/ Elizabeth Demsetz, Physics Lecturer, Cal Poly

s/ Sherrl Stoddard, RN

s/ Janine Rands, Retired Social Worker

s/ Douglas Timewell, Retired Farmer

END OF ARGUMENTS FOR MEASURE G-18

**CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES
IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEASURE H-18**

State law requires all general law cities, like the City of El Paso de Robles, to have a City Clerk. The position may be appointive or elected. In Paso Robles, voters elect a City Clerk every four years. The term of the current City Clerk ends in 2020.

Pursuant to state law, there are three qualifications for the position of elected City Clerk: 1) the individual must be a resident of the City, 2) the individual must be registered to vote in the City, and 3) the individual must be at least 18 years old. As an elected position, there are no minimum educational requirements or professional qualifications.

The City of El Paso de Robles has placed a Measure on the ballot asking voters to decide whether this position should be appointive. This Measure asks the following question:

MEASURE H-18

Shall the Office of City Clerk be Appointive?	YES	
	NO	

If a majority of voters vote yes on the above Measure, then the office of City Clerk will become an appointive office. The individual who holds that office will no longer be elected by the City's voters. As an appointive office, the City Council would appoint a person to the position of City Clerk at the expiration of the term of the officer now in office, which will occur in November 2020, or upon a vacancy in that office. As an appointive office, the City Council may, by ordinance, vest in the City Manager its authority to appoint the City Clerk. Otherwise, the appointed City Clerk would hold office at the pleasure of the City Council. As an appointed officer, the City Clerk would not be required to be a City resident or elector but may be required to satisfy minimum educational or professional qualifications.

If this Measure is approved, the City could save on expenses associated with the office of City Clerk, including, but not limited to, election costs every four years.

If a majority of voters do not approve this Measure, the office of City Clerk will continue to be elected. The candidate with the most votes will be elected to the position of City Clerk for a four-year term.

s/ IRIS YANG
City Attorney

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE H-18

A "YES" vote on Measure H-18 ensures the selection of the City Clerk for the City of Paso Robles be based on qualifications and experience, not the results of a political campaign. The public deserves the duties of the City Clerk to be performed professionally and efficiently.

The current qualifications to serve as elected City Clerk require only that a candidate be of-age and a registered voter. There is no guarantee that an elected City Clerk will possess the necessary skills and expertise required; as a result, 85% of California cities now appoint their City Clerks. This ensure the selection of City Clerk be based on education, experience, and professional abilities. Further, Measure H-18 will save the City money by eliminating salary and benefits provided to an elected Clerk.

Historically, elected City Clerks perform narrow statutory duties. However, as cities have become more complex, the duties of the Clerk have become more technical. Clerk responsibilities require professional skills and expertise in Elections, the Political Reform Act, The Brown Act, Public Records Act, Records Retention, Conflict-of-Interest Regulations, the City's Municipal Code, and the ability to serve as the Clerk of the Council, while remaining neutral.

In past years, the San Luis Obispo County Grand Jury released a report addressing the question whether City Clerks should be elected or appointed. Their report stated that, "health benefits alone may be sufficient to attract unqualified persons to run for city clerk, leaving the work to city staff and costing the cities health benefits for persons performing no useful function."

Your "YES" vote will convert the Paso Robles City Clerk position to an appointed position and ensure the City's operations continue to be run efficiently by a qualified and trained individual. The City of Paso Robles' elected officials, City Clerk, and City management support Measure H-18 and urge you to vote "YES."

s/ Steve Martin, Mayor

s/ Steve Gregory, Mayor Pro-Tem

s/ Fred Strong, City Councilmember

s/ Dennis Fansler, City Clerk

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE H-18 WAS SUBMITTED

**CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES
IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEASURE I-18**

Measure I-18, if approved by a majority of the voters voting on the measure, will enact an Ordinance adding Chapter 3.22 to the El Paso de Robles Municipal Code. That chapter would impose a tax on cannabis businesses operating within the City. Measure I-18 is a tax measure only. It does not amend the City's existing cannabis business regulations or authorize new or additional types of cannabis businesses to operate in the City.

If approved, Measure I-18 would impose the following maximum tax rate on every person or entity engaged in operating or conducting a cannabis business within the City: a cultivation tax of up to twenty dollars (\$20.00) per square foot of space utilized in connection with the cultivation and processing of cannabis; a gross receipts tax of up to ten percent (10%) for all cannabis transportation; a gross receipts tax of up to fifteen percent (15%) for all cannabis manufacturing, testing, and distribution; and a gross receipts tax of up to ten percent (10%) for dispensaries. The term "cannabis business" means any business, organization or facility, regardless of form, whether operating for profit or not for profit, that cultivates, possesses, manufactures, distributes, processes, stores, laboratory tests, packages, labels, delivers, and/or sells cannabis and/or cannabis products, excluding personal cultivation of medical or adult use cannabis authorized by the Municipal Code and State law. The City Council may increase or decrease the applicable tax rates so long as they do not exceed the maximum rates listed above.

The City currently prohibits all commercial marijuana activity, with the limited exception of certain medical cannabis delivery services. If approved, Measure I-18 would impose a tax on those businesses currently operating in the City, as well as any other cannabis businesses that may be authorized by the City Council in the future, in the event the City Council amends the Municipal Code to permit or authorize new or additional cannabis businesses in the City. As noted above, Measure I-18 would not change or expand the number or types of cannabis businesses currently allowed in the City. If approved, Measure I-18 is anticipated to initially raise approximately \$15,000 annually.

The cannabis business tax would be a general tax on legally operating businesses, meaning that the revenue raised from the tax would go into the City's general fund and could be used for any legal governmental purpose, such as street maintenance, police, fire, emergency response, parks, and recreational programs.

The City Council adopted Resolution No. 18-094 on June 19, 2018 to approve the placement of Measure I-18 on the ballot. If approved, Measure I-18 will continue in effect until repealed by City Council or City voters.

A "yes" vote on Measure I-18 will approve the cannabis business tax identified above.

A "no" vote on Measure I-18 will not approve the cannabis business tax identified above.

s/ IRIS P. YANG
City Attorney

The above statement is an impartial analysis of Measure I-18. If you desire a copy of the ordinance or measure, please call the elections official's office at (805) 237-3888 and a copy will be mailed at no cost to you.

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE I-18

The passage of Proposition 64 legalized recreational cannabis in California and gave local governments the responsibility to provide oversight and regulations. Currently, the City of Paso Robles does not allow cannabis business activity other than deliveries to residences, but additional cannabis activities could someday be authorized. It is important to impose a reasonable and fair taxation of commercial cannabis businesses within the City, and protect local control.

Measure I-18 places a general tax on commercial cannabis businesses, which can protect the City in the event increased enforcement is needed. If approved, this would generate about \$15,000 per year; supporting street maintenance, police, fire, parks, recreation, and other programs. It does not amend the City's existing cannabis business regulations or authorize new or additional types of cannabis businesses.

The City's goals in regulating commercial cannabis businesses are to: reduce and eliminate the black market for cannabis; establish reasonable and comprehensive regulations that preserve the health and safety of our community; provide access to medical cannabis for those who benefit from its use; establish a new source of funding that benefits the community; and create a fair and reasonable tax structure that supports legally operated cannabis businesses.

Measure I-18 will be implemented with tightly regulated processes, which will necessarily impose additional costs on the City. All programs funded will be subject to oversight and review, and fully audited. Whether or not you support Proposition 64, it is important to ensure that legally operating cannabis business operations, such as cultivation and manufacturing, be fairly taxed. Measure I-18 is fiscally responsible, timely and prudent, and similar to a tax approved by the voters for the unincorporated areas of the County.

Your "YES" vote on Measure I-18 shows your support for protecting the values, quality of life, and health and wellness of the residents of the City of Paso Robles.

s/ Steven Martin, Mayor

s/ Steve Gregory, Mayor Pro-Tem

s/ Fred Strong, City Councilmember

s/ Matt McClish

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE I-18 WAS SUBMITTED

**CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES
IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEASURE K-18**

Measure K, if approved by a majority of Paso Robles voters, will add Chapter 3.11 to the El Paso de Robles Municipal Code and establish a one-half of one percent (0.5%) sales tax within the city. The tax would be paid in addition to current sales taxes and would be collected at the same time and in the same manner as existing sales taxes.

Measure K would be a “general tax.” Consequently, all revenue generated from the proposed sales tax would be available for use by the City to pay for general City operations and services, including the repair and maintenance of city streets and sidewalks, public safety and other city services. While the City would not be bound in any way to use the tax monies for any special purpose or for any particular facilities or programs, Measure K requires the establishment of a Citizens Oversight Committee to make recommendations to the City Council on the use of the funds generated by the tax established by Measure K and to ensure that the money is spent on what the people want. Since this ballot measure proposes a “general tax” rather than a “special purpose tax,” it requires approval by a simple majority of the City’s voters.

In addition, a companion advisory measure on the ballot asks voters if the additional revenues generated by Measure K, if it is approved, should be used primarily for the purpose of repairing and maintaining the City’s streets and sidewalks. If approved, Measure K is anticipated to raise approximately \$4,750,000 annually.

The sales tax authorized by Measure K would terminate automatically on the sixth (6th) anniversary of its operative date, unless extended by the voters of the city. The measure was placed on the ballot by the City Council.

A “yes” vote on Measure K will authorize the one-half of one percent (0.5%) increase in sales tax.

A “no” vote on Measure K will not authorize the one-half of one percent (0.5%) increase in sales tax.

s/ IRIS P. YANG
City Attorney

The above statement is an impartial analysis of Measure K. If you desire a copy of the ordinance or measure, please call the City Clerk’s office at (805) 237-3888 and a copy will be mailed at no cost to you.

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE K-18

Vote yes on Measure K to increase funding for the maintenance and repair of streets, bridges, sidewalks, and other essential infrastructure in Paso Robles. Measure K will raise approximately \$4.75M per year. One-half percent is only 50¢ on every \$100 of taxable purchases. Approximately 40% of the proceeds will come from visitors.

The City has achieved much success in controlling costs by reducing positions, negotiating employee cost-sharing, and contracting with private companies when cost-effective. But our decades of underfunded infrastructure and public safety needs have caught up with us. The existing half-percent sales tax is helping get our roads into good shape; but it is not enough. Measure K, along with additional efficiencies and significant economic development efforts, will help bridge the long-standing gap in funding.

We know from multiple surveys and regular resident feedback that improving our streets, bridges, sidewalks, and public safety infrastructure is of great importance to residents. Measure K will help fund residents' priorities and help us maintain the quality of life we all want. Measure K will end in 6 years.

Measure K requires audits and citizen oversight, ensuring that the money is being spent on what the people want. It also requires quarterly reporting to all citizens. Measure K requires that all Measure K funding be used only in the City of Paso Robles, so it cannot be taken by the state or federal governments.

Please join community and business leaders in supporting YES on Measure K.

s/ Steve Martin, Mayor s/ Steve Gregory, Mayor Pro-Tem s/ Nick Gilman
s/ Larry Werner s/ Joel Peterson

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE K-18

The argument favoring Measure K-18 is a clever, even propagandized message supported by misleading phrases, platitudes and vague assertions. It provides neither key data or concrete information sufficient to justify a "Yes" vote. For example, what is the dollar amount of the "long-standing funding gap"? If the alleged "gap" hasn't closed under the existing sales tax, City officials must **not** be up to the serious task of controlling costs **or** cutting expenditures. **Taxing Citizens again** for City officials' poor planning and mismanagement of taxpayers' money is outrageously wrong. Assuming "visitors" might pay up to 40% of tax proceeds is unrealistic and won't solve a mismanagement problem.

Don't be fooled by the "Yes" argument. K-18 has been "packaged" upfront by well paid political consultants FM3, who along with the argument signers, will likely boast of their ability to overcome Citizens' resistance should the sales tax pass. Also, *surprisingly absent as signers* of the *Argument in Favor* are Paso Robles City Manager Tom Frutchey and 3 City Council members. If they're not signing the ballot argument, why should you vote "yes"?

K-18 is an estimated **\$28.5 million** carte blanche tax hike for City officials, has questionable legality as a "general tax," and **offers no needed funding benefit to our town's Citizens beyond the ½ cent sales tax now in effect.** Measure K-18 deserves defeat. **In support of Citizens seeking to actually preserve the quality of life in Paso Robles AND wanting better City governance & financial accountability, VOTE NO on Measure K-18.**

s/ Jim Reed, Paso Robles City Councilman s/ John Borst, Resident of Paso Robles
s/ John Teixeira, Resident of Paso Robles s/ Chip Tamagni, Resident s/ Rachel Tamagni

ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE K-18

Why VOTE NO on Measure K-18?

REASON 1: Measure K-18 would have Citizens believe they're voting on whether or not to approve a "general tax." To the contrary, it appears to be a "special tax" measure that requires 2/3 voter approval for adoption.

Under California State Law, Article XIII C Sec. 1(d), a special tax is "*any tax imposed for specific purposes, including a tax imposed for specific purposes, which is placed into a general fund.*" The proposed Measure, using audits and reports, explicitly intends to serve specific purposes: "To upgrade deteriorating streets and sidewalks." Please **VOTE NO** to secure funds for these purposes and protect your right to a special tax vote.

REASON 2: The most current "Supplemental Sales Tax Revenue & Expense" report on the City's website when Measure K-18 was decided by City Council to appear on the ballot showed \$21.77 million collected for street upgrades, \$17.2 million in street expenses, and \$10.9 million committed-to-date. Assuming at least the same amount collected from Citizens over the next 6 years by the ½ cent sales tax adopted in 2012, future revenue (\$21.77+ million) certainly seems sufficient to finish work on planned City street & sidewalk upgrades. **CLEARLY**, by the numbers, **the proposed sales tax increase is UNNECESSARY at this time.**

REASON 3: Are you tired of the rising cost of your water bill? Do you oppose construction of thousands of new homes in Paso Robles, which according to Taussig & Associates adds 13,252 new residents? Do you oppose significantly more downtown traffic congestion should a now unfunded \$26 million conference center be built in the City? Or believe CalPers should be self-funding, rather than rely on taxpayers to fill the gap in underfunded City pension fund liabilities? **Then VOTE NO on Measure K-18!**

s/ Jim Reed, Paso Robles City Councilman

s/ John Borst, Resident of Paso Robles

s/ John Texeira, Resident of Paso Robles

s/ Charles "Chip" Tamagni

s/ Rachel Tamagni

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE K-18

Special Tax vs. General. Measure K is a general tax measure; revenue generated will be available for use by the City to pay for general City operations and services. The companion advisory Measure N, however, advises current and future City Councils to use Measure K proceeds to maintain and repair streets, bridges, and sidewalks in Paso Robles. This same approach was used for the 2012 sales tax measure. Citizen oversight has proven full compliance with the voters' direction.

Funding. Measure K will raise approximately \$4.75M/year; one-half percent is only 50¢ on a \$100 purchase. Added to the existing proceeds from the 2012 measure, this will accelerate street repairs. Additional funding will still need to come from economic development and further cost-cutting measures.

Water rates. Water revenues must be used solely to provide water services; they cannot be used for other purposes.

Conference Center and CalPERS. The City supports the proposed Conference Center, but it is not a City project. The City has been aggressively paying down its CalPERS obligations and will continue to do so. Measure K revenues will not be used for these purposes.

If Measures K&N are approved, it will be a strong message to the City that voters want the funds used for "maintaining and repairing streets, bridges, and sidewalks in Paso Robles" and not to support other uses. The City Council has a track record of honoring those desires.

Please vote "yes" for Measure K!

s/ Nick Gilman, Architect

s/ Fred Louis B. Strong, City Council Member

s/ Christian E. Iversen

s/ Matt McClish

s/ Thomas Frutchey, City Manager

PR-ZZ25-3

N SL 031-040

**CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES
MEASURE N-18**

<p>MEASURE N-18: Advisory Measure On Use of Sales Tax Revenues</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>If the voters approve a one-half of one-percent (.5%) limited-term general sales tax increase, shall the additional revenues be used primarily for the purpose of repairing and maintaining the City's streets and sidewalks?</p>	<p>NO</p>	

NO IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS FOR MEASURE N-18 WAS SUBMITTED.

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE N-18

This is the companion measure to Measure K which, if approved, will raise approximately \$4.75M per year. Approximately 40% of the proceeds will come from visitors.

By voting "Yes" on this companion measure, you are advising current and future City Councils to restrict the use of Measure K proceeds to maintaining and repairing streets, bridges, and sidewalks in Paso Robles.

In 2012, voters approved a half-percent sales tax measure and a similar advisory measure. The City Council responded by directing that all funds raised by the 2012 sales tax measure be used to improve City streets and roads. The City has made good on that promise and proved it through audits and quarterly Citizens Oversight Committee reports.

Measure K strengthens that promise by requiring the Citizens Oversight Committee to report to all residents quarterly. It also requires that all Measure K funding be used only in the City of Paso Robles, so that it cannot be taken by the state or federal governments.

By voting "Yes" on both measures, you can help ensure that all monies raised are used in Paso Robles for the residents' declared high-priority purposes.

Please join community and business leaders in supporting YES on Measure N.

s/ Steve Martin, Mayor

s/ Steve Gregory, Mayor Pro-Tem

s/ Nick Gilman

s/ Larry Werner

s/ Joel Peterson

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE N-18 WAS SUBMITTED

LANGUAGE ASSISTANCE

As required by new legislation, the County of San Luis Obispo offers facsimile ballots in the following languages and for only the precincts listed below:

Spanish

All Precincts

All Polling Places

Tagalog

Consolidated Precinct

Polling Place

CON 407 & 408	Calvary Chapel
CON 409	Oak Park Christian Church
CON 410	Gospel Lighthouse of Arroyo Grande
CON 411	Coastal Community Church

To determine your Con (precinct), please take a look at the back cover of this pamphlet and it will be listed above your printed name.

Facsimile ballots and instructions will be provided upon request. You can request to view the facsimile ballot for the language that is available at your polling place for the precincts listed above.

Vote By Mail Voters

If you are a Vote By Mail Voter in one of these precincts, you can request a facsimile ballot in one of the languages available:

By Phone: 805-781-5228

By E-Mail: elections@co.slo.ca.us

By Mail: 1055 Monterey Street, Suite D120
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

In Person: At the Clerk-Recorder's Office at 1055 Monterey Street, D120, San Luis Obispo or 6565 Capistrano Avenue (2nd floor), Atascadero

Online: www.slocounty.ca.gov/LanguageAssistance

ASISTENCIA DE IDIOMA

Conforme exige la nueva legislación, el Condado de San Luis Obispo ofrece boletas electorales en los siguientes idiomas y solo para los recintos que a continuación se indican:

Español

Todos los recintos Todos los lugares de votación

Tagalo

Recinto consolidado Lugar de votación

CON 407 & 408	Calvary Chapel
CON 409	Oak Park Christian Church
CON 410	Gospel Lighthouse of Arroyo Grande
CON 411	Coastal Community Church

Para saber cuál es su Con (recinto), vea la portada posterior de este folleto y lo encontrará encima de su nombre.

Se suministrarán, a petición, boletas electorales e instrucciones por facsímil. Usted puede solicitar ver la boleta electoral por facsímil en el idioma que esté disponible en su lugar de votación para los recintos indicados anteriormente.

Electores que votan por correo

Si usted es un Elector que Vota Por Correo en uno de estos recintos, puede solicitar una boleta electoral por facsímil en uno de los idiomas disponibles:

Por teléfono: 805-781-5228

Por correo electrónico: elections@co.slo.ca.us

Por correo: 1055 Monterey Street, Suite D120
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Personalmente: En la Oficina del Secretario-Oficial de Registro
en 1055 Monterey Street, D120, San Luis
Obispo o 6565 Capistrano Avenue (2nd floor),
Atascadero

En línea: www.slocounty.ca.gov/LanguageAssistance

TULONG SA WIKA

Ayon sa kinakailangan ng bagong batas na pinagtibay, nagbibigay ang County ng San Luis Obispo ng mga kopya ng balota na nasa mga sumusunod na wika at para lang sa mga presintong nakalista sa ibaba:

Espanyol

Lahat ng Presinto Lahat ng Lugar na Botohan

Tagalog

Pinagsamang Presintong Lugar na Botohan

CON 407 at 408	Calvary Chapel
CON 409	Oak Park Christian Church
CON 410	Gospel Lighthouse of Arroyo Grande
CON 411	Coastal Community Church

Upang matukoy ang iyong Con (presinto), pakitingnan ang pabalat sa likod ng libritong ito at makikita itong nakalista sa itaas ng pangalan mo.

Magbibigay ng mga kopya ng balota at tagubilin kapag hiniling. Maaari kang humiling na tumingin ng kopya ng balota para sa wikang makukuha sa iyong lugar na botohan para sa mga presintong nakalista sa itaas.

Mga Botante ng Pagboto sa Pamamagitan ng Koreo

Kung isa kang Botante ng Pagboto sa Pamamagitan ng Koreo sa isa sa mga presintong ito, maaari kang humiling ng kopya ng balota sa isa sa mga wikang mayroon nito:

Sa Pamamagitan 805-781-5228
ng Telepono:

Sa Pamamagitan elections@co.slo.ca.us
ng E-Mail:

Sa Pamamagitan 1055 Monterey Street, Suite D120
ng Koreo: San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Sa Personal: Sa Opisina ng Klerk na Tagapagtala sa 1055
Monterey Street, D120, San Luis Obispo o 6565
Capistrano Avenue (Ika-2 palapag), Atascadero

Online: www.slocounty.ca.gov/LanguageAssistance



New Features on the Web

www.slovote.com

Find Your Polling Place Here

Polling Place Search

To locate your polling place and view ballot choices, enter the following information and click "Find polling place".

The required fields are street number, street name, and zip code. If you know your street direction and street type, you may enter them, too. Then click "Find polling place".

Street Number	Street Direction	Street Name	Street Type	Zip Code
<input type="text"/>	Please select <input type="button" value="v"/>	<input type="text"/>	Please select <input type="button" value="v"/>	<input type="text"/>

View Photos, Directions to the Polls, Accessibility Features for Voters with Disabilities, and Your Sample Ballot

Polling Place Search Results

Note: Showing a polling place for this address does not mean that you are registered to vote. To verify your registration status contact the Clerk-Recorder's Office at (805) 781-5080 or Elections@co.slo.ca.us.

To return to the Search page, click [here](#).

Your polling place:

Polling Place: San Luis Obispo Veterans Bldg

Location: 801 Grand Ave - San Luis Obispo

Consolidation Number: 520

Sample Ballot Booklet: [BallotType9](#) (PDF file. Adobe Reader required.)

Accessibility Details: [Accessibility Details](#)

Link to map: [Map](#)



What can the Clerk-Recorder do for you?



You already know us as the Registrar of Voters. The Clerk-Recorder also functions as the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, County Recorder, County Clerk and Commissioner of Civil Marriages.

What other services are offered by the department? Here are just a few...

- File Fictitious Business Name Statements
- Maintain Official Records (land records)
- Issue Marriage Licenses
- Perform Wedding Ceremonies
- Provide Certified Copies of Vital Records for events that occurred in San Luis Obispo County (Births, Deaths and Marriages)
- Watch Board of Supervisor's meetings online and view associated documents

For more information, visit us on the internet at www.slovote.com, contact us by phone (805) 781-5080 and don't forget to follow us on:



<http://www.facebook.com/slocountyclerkrec>



<http://twitter.com/slocountyclerk>





Join
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY
MEDICAL RESERVE CORPS



The San Luis Obispo County Medical Reserve Corps (SLOMRC) is a group of healthcare professionals trained to respond to emergencies in San Luis Obispo County.

If you are interested in volunteering through the Medical Reserve Corps, please visit www.slocounty.ca.gov/MRC for more information or contact Denise Yi at (805) 295-8672 or slomrc@aol.com.

www.slocounty.ca.gov/MRC

REQUESTING A VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT

If you find that for any reason you will be unable to vote in person on Election Day, promptly complete and sign the application for a Vote By Mail ballot printed on the reverse side of this page, detach the post card, apply postage and mail. Your application must reach the office of the **Clerk-Recorder** not less than 7 days before the day of election. State law now allows a voter to apply for a Vote By Mail ballot by telephone. You may reach the Clerk-Recorder at 805-781-5228. The deadline for the Clerk-Recorder to receive Vote By Mail applications is October 30, 2018.

PERMANENT VOTE BY MAIL QUALIFICATION

Any voter, upon request, may become a Permanent Vote By Mail Voter. You may either check the Permanent Vote By Mail box on the Application for Vote By Mail Ballot or request Permanent Vote By Mail status over the phone and a vote by mail ballot will automatically be sent to you for future elections. Failure to vote in four consecutive statewide general elections may cancel your Permanent Vote By Mail Status and you will need to reapply.

RETURNING YOUR VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT

Voters may return only their own voted vote by mail ballot, in person or by mail, to the Clerk-Recorder's Office. On Election Day the ballot can be returned to any polling place. To be counted, a ballot must be received no later than the close of the polls at 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. Mailed ballots must be postmarked on or before Election Day and be received in the Clerk-Recorder's Office no later than three days after Election Day. However, a voter who is unable to return his/her ballot may designate any person to return the ballot to the Clerk-Recorder or any polling place.

VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT LOOK-UP ON THE WEB

Check the status of your returned VBM ballot on the Internet at www.slovote.com

FOR QUESTIONS, CONTACT US

Contact Information on the Front Cover