

Paso Basin Advisory Committee Meeting Agenda

Templeton Community Center
601 S. Main Street, Templeton

Thursday, October 16, 2014
2 pm – 4 pm

www.PasoBasin.org

- | | | |
|--|------------------|---------|
| 1. Introductions and Determination of a Quorum | Committee/Public | 2:00 pm |
| 2. Approve September Meeting Minutes | Chair | 2:05 pm |
| 3. Subcommittee Reports/Recommended PBAC Actions | Chair/Chairs | 2:10 pm |
| a. Computer Model Update | | |
| b. Conservation | | |
| c. In-Basin Projects | | |
| d. Management | | |
| e. Outreach and Education | | |
| i. The Committee consider advising the Board of Supervisors to provide \$10,000 in funding for immediate outreach and education that would include a survey, bumper stickers and possibly yard signs. | | |
| ii. The Committee consider the creation of a Facebook Page called Paso Basin and the use of a e-newsletter. The Paso Basin Facebook page would be strictly informational and no comments would be allowed. | | |
| f. Supply Options | | |
| i. The Committee consider advising County staff to amend approach to the Supplemental Water Study (see Subcommittee Report). | | |
| ii. Recommend the Committee amend its bylaws. This recommendation was moved, discussed and unanimously approved at the meeting October 9, 2014, of the Supply Options subcommittee, that Article V, paragraph 5 of the bylaws be amended to read: "Fourteen members, or their alternates in their absence, shall constitute a quorum." | | |
| 4. Receive Presentation on Draft Ordinance Regulating the Exportation of Groundwater | Staff | 3:10 pm |
| 5. Public Comment | Public | 3:40 pm |
| 6. Future meeting topics/committee comments | Committee | 3:50 pm |
| 7. Adjourn | Chair | 4:00 pm |

The purpose of the Paso Basin Advisory Committee is to advise the County Board of Supervisors concerning policy decisions relating to:

- Implementation of the Basin Groundwater Management Plan
- Development of a "enhanced" Groundwater Management Plan for the Basin
- Formation of a new water district
- Transition of an initial County-supported district to an independent district
- Other policies and ordinances

The Committee will also serve as a public forum to discuss and collect comments on Basin

PASO ROBLES GROUNDWATER BASIN ADVISORY COMMITTEE:
PASO ROBLES CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

September 18, 2014
Meeting Minutes

An audio recording of the meeting and materials submitted during public comment are available online at www.SLOCountyWater.org.

Approximately 2:00 pm the meeting is called to order

1. Determination of Quorum and Introductions – Quorum established.
2. Approve August Meeting Minutes – The August 21, 2014 Paso Robles Groundwater Basin Advisory Committee meeting minutes were reviewed. Alternate Gilmore stated Member Sinton did not mark that he attended the August meeting sign-in sheet. Courtney Howard, County Staff, requests people mark the attendance sheet so she may update the sheet. Member Luft moves to approve the August meeting minutes with a second by Member Kalvans (21-0-1).
3. Prop 1 and Groundwater Legislation Presentation – John Diodati, County Staff, provides an overview of recent groundwater legislation. Mr. Diodati describes the proposed \$7-million water bond on the November 4, 2014 ballot. Member Luft inquires about accessing the bond's groundwater portion of funding early to address new groundwater sustainability legislation. Mr. Diodati responds that shovel-ready projects should find alternate funding for now. Based on the new legislation, local agencies must work together to adopt Groundwater Basin Sustainability Plan(s) by 2020.
4. Subcommittee Reports/ Recommended PBAC Actions –
 - a. Computer Model Update – Member Luft reports that the subcommittee will meet on: October 5, 2014 at 9:00 AM at the Atascadero Mutual Water Company office (pending consultant's availability). Ms. Howard expects to receive the draft computer model update report from the consultant, after which it will be reviewed for confidentiality purposes before distribution to the public.
 - b. Conservation – Member Edwards reports that the subcommittee met on September 4, 2014 to discuss the subcommittee's role, best management practices for projects, create a sub-task force to work on a Wetland Retention Basin project, and to receive a presentation from Alternate Member Best on stormwater catchment using wetland retention basins.
 - c. In-Basin Projects – Member Werner reports that the subcommittee met on September 10, 2014, with nine subcommittee members/ alternates and three members of the public in attendance. The group reviewed the top 20 of the 96 potential projects to determine which would be considered "in-basin projects". Of the in-basin projects, the more immediate potential projects utilize rainfall and

stormwater to recharge the basin. The next meeting is on October 2, 2014 from 11:30 AM to 1:00 PM at North Coast Engineering office in Paso Robles. At that time, the subcommittee will discuss implementation of these projects.

- d. Management – Member Halley reports that the subcommittee met on September 4, 2014. She describes recent groundwater legislation that passed: Paso Basin District Formation and Groundwater Sustainability Act. The subcommittee reviewed the AB 3030/ Groundwater Management Plan approach and discussed the future updates and changes related to legislation.
 - i. Consider advising the Board of Supervisors to submit a Resolution of Application to LAFCO for the formation of a Paso Robles Basin Water District should AB 2453 be signed by the Governor – Member Halley stated there is a staff report included in agenda that describes sending a letter to advise the Board of Supervisors to submit a petition to the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) to form the new water district. The subcommittee authored a draft letter, provided with the agenda. A request was made for another presentation regarding the LAFCO process. Member Christianson requests the letter be revised to reflect that the Governor signed Assembly Bill 2453. Member Spencer moves to approve this letter to the BOS as amended with a second by Member Christianson, and the motion carries (16-6-0).
 - ii. Consider advising the Board of Supervisors to fund releasing Nacimiento surplus water to the Salinas River for North County benefit – Member Halley reviews the subcommittee’s proposed advice to the Board of Supervisors to fund release of Nacimiento surplus water to the Salinas River at established turnouts. This excess water would go to Salinas River underflow and help to recharge the basin. Discussion ensues about a request to the County to fund costs associated (e.g. variable energy costs, operations and maintenance costs, etc.), making it cost neutral to Nacimiento Water Project partner agencies. The Monterey County Water Resources Agency’s next Reservoir Operations Committee meeting is Thursday, October 2, 2014. This would be a good opportunity to discuss potential increases to monthly Nacimiento water allocations as they relate to reservoir operations/ releases. Discussion ensues about monthly environmental releases, what happens to unused water at the end of each year, and the status of the Nacimiento pipeline fix. Member Spencer moves to advise the Board of Supervisors to release Nacimiento water into the Salinas River with a second by Member Kalvans, and the motion carries unanimously (22-0-0).
- e. Outreach and Education –
 - i. Select replacement for Claudia Engel – Member Luft moves to approve Alternate Hendrickson as the replacement for Member Engel with a second by Member Neil, and the motion carries unanimously. Member Luft requests to join the Outreach and Education subcommittee.
- f. Supply Options – Courtney Howard describes the study approach. The consultant will meet with District management in early October to walk through options (i.e. Nacimiento Water, State Water and recycled water). Subsequently efforts include

talking to existing participants to understand their position on each option, including costs, quantities and contractual obligations. Discussion ensues on losses due to evaporation, and groundwater model.

5. Public Comment – Discussion ensues on various topics such as: water quality of Nacimiento water, desalination, unfair representation of North County, and exportation of water outside of the county. Ms. Howard states that at the October 1, 2014 Water Resources Advisory Committee meeting, County Staff will present on various ordinances, including the ordinance pertaining to exportation of groundwater. Mark Hutchinson will present on water and the drought at the same meeting. Ms. Howard states the previously discussed water summit has evolved into a Board of Supervisors study session on October 14, 2014. Federal and state lobbyists will also attend this meeting. Mrs. Howard also states LAFCO has requested an e-mail list of members of the Paso Robles Basin Advisory Committee. If people do not want e-mails from LAFCO, they must request to be excluded from the e-mail list.
6. Future Meeting Topics/ Committee Comments – No additional future meeting topics were discussed.

Meeting adjourned approximately 4:15 pm.

Paso Robles Groundwater Advisory Committee - Roll Call Vote Form

Organization	Representative		MOTION 1				MOTION 2				MOTION 3				MOTION 3			
			AYE	NO	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	AYE	NO	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	AYE	NO	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	AYE	NO	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS																		
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	John Neil	M	1				1				1				1			
	Jaime Hendrickson	A																
Central Coast Vineyard Team	Kris Beal	M	1				1				1				1			
	Willy Cunha	A																
City of Atascadero	Vacant	M																
	Vacant	A																
City of Paso Robles	Christopher Alakel	M																
	Christine Halley	A	1				1				1				1			
City of San Luis Obispo	Carlyn Christianson	M	1				1				1				1			
	John Ashbaugh	A																
Monterey County Water Resources Agency	Robert Johnson	M																
	Howard Franklin	A	1				1				1				1			
Paso Robles Imperiled Overlying Rights (PRIOR)	Steve Sinton	M	1				1				1				1			
	Kent Gilmore	A																
Paso Robles Wine Country Alliance	Patricia Wilmore	M																
	Jerry Reaugh	A	1				1				1				1			
San Miguel Community Services District	Anthony Kalvans	M	1				1				1				1			
	Dan Gilmore	A																
Shandon (County Service Area 16)	Donna Ellis	M	1				1				1				1			
	Vacant	A																
SLO County Cattlemen's Association	Kurt Bollinger	M	1				1				1				1			
	Dale Evanson	A																
SLO County Farm Bureau	Paul Clark	M	1				1				1				1			
	Megan Silcott	A																
Templeton Community Services District	Jeff Britz	M	1				1				1				1			
	Tina Mayer	A																
Upper Salinas-Las Tablas RCD	Laura Edwards	M				1				1				1				1
	Devin Best	A																
AT-LARGE																		
Viticulture Agriculturalist At-Large	Dana Merrill	M	1				1				1				1			
	Robert Brown	A																
Non-Viticulture Irrigated Crop Agriculturalist At-Large	Bill Spencer	M	1				1				1				1			
	John DeRosier	A																
Environmental At-Large	Sue Harvey	M	1				1				1				1			
	Daniel Meade	A																
Rural Residential At-Large	Sue Luft	M	1				1				1				1			
	Michael Baugh	A																
	Claudia Engel	M	1				1				1				1			
	Maria Lorca	A																
	Edward C. Redig	M	1				1				1				1			
	Jim DeRose	A																
	Steve Crouch	M																
	William Frost	A	1				1				1				1			
	George Tracy	M				1				1				1				1
	Don Wilson	A																
(District 1)	Randy Dffenbaugh	M	1				1				1				1			
	Randy Heinzen	A																
(District 5)	Greg Grewal	M	1				1				1				1			
	Dr. Serena Friedman	A																
Undesignated At-Large	Larry Werner	M				1				1				1				
	Miller Newlon	A																
Total:			21	0	1	2	16	6	0	2	22	0	0	2	22	0	0	2

MOTION 1	
Item #: <u>2</u>	Pass/Fail?: <u>Pass</u>
Motion Maker: Luft	Seconder: Kalvans
AYE: 21	NO: 0 ABSTAIN: 1 ABSENT: 2
Motion: Approve August meeting minutes.	

MOTION 2	
Item #: <u>4.d.i</u>	Pass/Fail?: <u>Pass</u>
Motion Maker: Spencer	Seconder: Christianson
AYE: 16	NO: 6 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 2
Motion: Approve the letter to the BOS as amended (to reflect AB 2453 being signed).	

MOTION 3	
Item #: <u>4.d.ii</u>	Pass/Fail?: <u>Pass</u>
Motion Maker: Spencer	Seconder: Kalvans
AYE: 22	NO: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 2
Motion: Advise the Board to release Nacimiento water into the Salinas River.	

MOTION 4	
Item #: <u>4.e.i</u>	Pass/Fail?: <u>Pass</u>
Motion Maker: Luft	Seconder: Neil
AYE: 16	NO: 6 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 2
Motion: approve Alternate Hendrickson as the replacement for Member Engel, and to add Member Luft to the subcommittee.	

PASO ROBLES GROUNDWATER RESOURCES ADVISORY COMMITTEE 2014

Organization	Representative		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS														
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	John Neil	M					X	X	X	X	X			
	Jaime Hendrickson	A						X						
Central Coast Vineyard Team	Kris Beal	M					X	X	•	X	X			
	Willy Cunha	A					X		•	X	X			
City of Atascadero	Vacant	M												
	Vacant	A												
City of Paso Robles	Christopher Alakel	M					X	X						
	Christine Halley	A							X		X			
City of San Luis Obispo	Carlyn Christianson	M						X	X	X	X			
	John Ashbaugh	A												
County of Monterey (Resource Management Agency)	Tom Moss*	M												
	Carl Holm*	A												
Monterey County Water Resources Agency	Robert Johnson	M					•	X	•					
	Howard Franklin	A					•	X	•	X	X			
Paso Robles Imperiled Overlying Rights (PRIOR)	Steve Sinton	M					•	•	•	X	X			
	Kent Gilmore	A					X		•					
Paso Robles Wine Country Alliance	Patricia Wilmore	M					X		X	X				
	Jerry Reaugh	A					X	X	•	X	X			
San Miguel Community Services District	Anthony Kalvans	M					X	X	X	X	X			
	Dan Gilmore	A					X	X		X				
Shandon (County Service Area 16)	Donna Ellis	M					X	X	X	X	X			
	Vacant	A												
SLO County Cattleman's Association	Kurt Bollinger	M					X			X	X			
	Dale Evanson	A						X	X	X	X			
SLO County Farm Bureau	Paul Clark	M					X	X		X	X			
	Megan Silcott	A					X							
Templeton Community Services District	Jeff Britz	M					X	X	X	X	X			
	Tina Mayer	A												
Upper Salinas-Las Tablas RCD	Laura Edwards	M					X	X		X				
	Devin Best	A					X	X						
AT-LARGE														
Viticulture Agriculturalist At-Large	Dana Merrill	M					X		•	X	X			
	Robert Brown	A					X	X	X	X	X			
Non-Viticulture Irrigated Crop Agriculturalist At-Large	Bill Spencer	M					X		X		X			
	John DeRosier	A												
Environmental At-Large	Sue Harvey	M					X	X	X	X	X			
	Daniel Meade	A					X	X	X	X				
Rural Residential At-Large	Sue Luft	M					X	X	X	X	X			
	Michael Baugh	A					X	X	X					
	Claudia Engel	M					X	X		X	X			
	Maria Lorca	A					X	X	X	X				
	Edward C. Redig	M					X	X	X	X	X			
	Jim DeRose	A					•	X	X	•				
	Steve Crouch	M					X	X	X	X	•			
	William Frost	A					X		X	X	X			
	George Tracy	M					•	•		X	•			
	Don Wilson	A					X	•						
(District 1)	Randy Diffenbaugh	M					X	X	•	X	X			
	Randy Heinzen	A					X	X	X	X				
(District 5)	Greg Grewal	M					X	X	X	X	X			
	Dr. Serena Friedman	A						X		X	X			
Undesignated At-Large	Larry Werner	M					X	X	X		X			
	Miller Newlon	A					X	X	•	X	X			

M = Member; A = Alternate; NM = New Member; NA = New Alternate; O = Other Representatives (e.g Staff, Council, Board, etc.)
~~strikethrough text~~ = indicates that this individual is no longer serving in this role; • = Notified of absence or conflict

* = To be confirmed at a future BOS meeting

** = Membership to be confirmed by the BOS on _____.

PASO ROBLES WATER RESOURCES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

2014 GUEST LIST

NAME	Affiliation (If Any)	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
Battany, Mark	UCCE									X			
C., German								X					
Cunha, Willy	CCVT								X	X			
Diodati, John	County Public Works									X			
Du, Cherie	SLO County Intern						X	X					
Evans, Noah	City of SLO									X			
Fitzhugh, Joy								X					
Fogleman, Vicki (Shelby)						X	X	X	X	X			
Gage, Laurie						X		X	X	X			
Gilmore, Kent										X			
Hartzell, Robert	US-LT RCD					X							
Heimel, Daniel						X	X						
Hollenbeck, John	Hollenbeck Consulting					X	X	X		X			
Horton, Wade	City of SLO								X	X			
Howard, Susan	Shandon Resident									X			
Kaselionis, Pal						X							
Lyons, Sheila						X	X	X					
Mersmer, Mike						X							
Montgomery, Wayne								X	X	X			
Morrow, Rob	RMC								X	X			
Munds, Ron	City of SLO						X	X					
Myhre, Ann								X	X	X			
Nott, Caleb							X						
Pelfrey, Gwen								X	X	X			
Picanco, Duarte	City of Paso Robles Mayor									X			
Reely, Blane						X							
Russell, Mary									X				
Thompson, Matt	City of Paso Robles						X						
Tozzi, Dale						X							
Whitney, C.Z.						X							
Williamson, Mark						X							
Wray, Beth						X	X	X					

**COMPUTER MODEL UPDATE SUBCOMMITTEE OF
PASO BASIN ADVISORY COMMITTEE
MEETING ON OCTOBER 1, 2014 - NOTES**

Location: Atascadero Mutual Water Company office

Attendees in person or via conference call

Subcommittee members: John Neil, Ed Redig, Kris Beal, Sue Harvey, Randy Diffenbaugh, Laura Edwards, Howard Franklin, William Frost, Sue Luft (Chair)

Public: Gwen Pelfrey, John Snyder, Allen Steinbeck

Consultants/County: Courtney Howard, Lynda Auchinachie, Paul Sorensen, Joe Kingbury, Iris Priestaf, and other Geoscience and Todd Groundwater staff

Others who listened in, but did not participate: George Tracy, Greg Grewal (members of BAC)

There was a lively and detailed discussion of many issues regarding the computer model update and the associated report. Subcommittee members agreed to prepare comments by October 8, 2014 to submit to the consultant for consideration.

A meeting is scheduled for October 15, 2014 at 9:00 am to discuss the consultant's responses to the comments.

The subcommittee can provide a brief update at the BAC meeting regarding the results of the October 15th discussion.

A public presentation of the computer model update is tentatively scheduled for the first week of November. However, the subcommittee suggested that their questions/concerns regarding the model update be resolved prior to a public presentation.

The Draft Final Report for the Paso Robles Groundwater Basin Computer Model Update is posted at www.slocountywater.org for public review and comment until 5 p.m. October 17, 2014.

Conservation Sub-Committee of the PBAC

October 2, 2014 Meeting Minutes

Committee focuses on basin-wide conservation solutions that are identified on the blue ribbon committees prioritized solutions list, with focus areas to include:

- *Participate in promotion, evaluation and implementation of effective conservation projects and programs such as the mobile irrigation lab, conservation project and best management practice education/certification programs.*
- *Participate in development of the groundwater/water-related ordinances being developed by the planning department and public health agency (e.g. no water waste, water neutral new development ordinances).*

Membership & meeting attendance chart (meets 1st Thursday monthly at RCD HQ, 65 S Main Street, Templeton).

Meetings are open for public observation.

	8/20	9/4	10/2
Laura Edwards, Chair	X	X	
Bob Brown	X	X	X
Kris Beal			X
Bill Spencer	X	X	X
Michael Baugh	X		X
Steve Crouch	X	X	X
Randy Heinzen	X	X	
Claudia Engel	X	X	X
Anthony Kalvans	X		X

10/2 Meeting Notes Summarized

- The meeting began promptly at 1:00pm.
 - Bob Brown acted as chair in Laura's absence.
 - A Quorum was established and roll was taken.
 - There were no members of the public present.
- The minutes of the September 4th meeting were reviewed and approved by unanimous vote with one abstention.
- Kris briefed the subcommittee on the latest Basin Model Update that was recently released by the County. The consensus of the group was to wait until there was a better understanding of the data. Kris agreed to provide a summary of the Model Update at our next meeting in November.
- Claudia presented her views on a possible near term project to slow down river and stream flows using sand berms thereby affording better recharge in places such as the Salinas and Huer Huero rivers. Some issues were raised about the impact upon landowners downstream that would receive a lesser flow. Other possible environmental restrictions or permit requirements would have to be examined. Still, it has merit and is worthy of consideration.

- The group also discussed the use of gray water for outdoor uses. As far as the group knows, the County still does not allow homes to be plumbed for this purpose.
 - It may be useful to contact County Planning if there are any future proposed code changes to allow for this.
 - Michael informed the group the he had stubbed out extra drain lines for this purpose that could be hooked up in the event the code changes.
- Bob presented additional information on the Pavley-Dickinson laws in the form of a milestone chart and decision tree. These will be posted separately on Base Camp.
 - The group then discussed how it should proceed in light of these new groundwater laws.
 - It was agreed that we should reexamine the subcommittee's mission statement at our next meeting to determine if it is in line with these provisions.
 - We also discussed asking the main Basin Advisory Committee for direction and clarity now that Pavley-Dickinson is here. There was a general consensus to do this.
- The group discussed the County's Drought Task Force "Water Summit" to be held in County Chambers October 14th at 1:30. All subcommittee members were urged to attend.
- The meeting was adjourned at 3:10 pm.

**In-Basin Subcommittee
of
Paso Basin Advisory Committee
Meeting of October 2, 2014**

**11:30-1:00
North Coast Engineering
725 Creston Road Suite B
Paso Robles**

**DRAFT
Meeting Minutes**

13 people attended the October In-Basin Subcommittee meeting chaired by Larry Werner. All 9 members of the committee were present and 2 alternates attended but did not participate. There were 2 members of the public present and one representative from the City of San Luis Obispo, Wade Horton, the Water Division Manager.

All participants at the meeting were introduced and signed in.

The minutes of the September 10th meeting were approved with one abstention.

There was a review of the priority In-Basin solutions proposed which had been defined by the Committee on September 10th. (See attached). These Solutions were further refined and combined to a short list for further review. The largest concern was to initiate actions immediately that would benefit from potential rain this coming rainy season such as storm water retention and projects which would slow runoff. The In-Basin Solutions fell into two main categories:

- Projects that detain or slow runoff to recharge the basin
- Recharge
- Recycling

The discussions for Projects that detain or slow runoff to recharge the basin included:

- Bladder dams (which are used to divert flow to areas which can be flooded) - mid-long term Solution due to permitting requirements
- Constructing in-stream sand berms/weirs to detain early storms but would dissipate later in the rain season due to increased water flow. This should be done now if possible. Local sand mining contractors might be willing to do this at existing sand mining locations; however, their mining permits only allow access to the rivers until October 1st. Costs are unknown. Potential locations exist on the Salinas (more challenging permit-wise, Huerhuero and Estrella rivers. Permitting requirements need to be explored. Consideration must be given to the potential of raising FEMA flood elevations with the berms.

- The RCD is involved with recharge projects and the Committee requested that the RCD provide information and guidance on this matter and to have it as an agenda item at the next BAC meeting.
- If it is not possible for these systems to be put in place this year actions should be initiated immediately to ensure that they will be next rainy season.

The discussions on recharge included:

- Concern that with the Nacimiento pipeline being off line that no deliveries were being made to Paso Robles and the Atascadero Mutual Water Company for their use in recharge in the basin and in the river. This has proven to be a Solution that has demonstrated success in the summer of 2013. The committee strongly encourages resumption of this Solution.
- It was requested by the Committee to have Mark Hutchinson from the County give the BAC an update at the next meeting.

Discussions on Recycling included:

- Discussion on potential direct deliveries of recycled water to agriculture located northeast of the Paso Robles Wastewater Treatment Plant offsetting groundwater pumping.
- Discussions of grey water use including:
 - Educational information from SLO Greenbuild
 - Initiating requirements for new construction to be “Grey Water Ready”
- Rainwater collection
 - SLO Greenbuild has a “Rainwater Collection Guidance document

The Carollo water supply report was briefly discussed.

Sue Luft reported the discussions at the Modeling Committee meeting regarding the Modeling Report. Comments on the report are due Oct 17th.

The SLO County Water Summit for October 14th was discussed and members were encouraged to attend.

The next meeting will be Thursday, November 6th from 11:30 -1pm at the offices of North Coast Engineering, 725 Creston Road, Suite B. Future meetings will be the first Thursday of every month at the same time and place.

**In-Basin Subcommittee
of
Paso Robles Basin Advisory Committee
Meeting of October 2, 2014**

**11:30- 1:00
North Coast Engineering
725 Creston Road Suite B
Paso Robles**

AGENDA

- | | | |
|--|------------------|----------|
| 1. Introductions | Committee/Public | 11:30 am |
| 2. Meeting Procedure and Expectations | Larry | 11:33 am |
| 3. Approve minutes of September 10, 2014 meeting | | 11:34 am |
| 4. Review of priority solutions | | 11:35 am |
| 5. Review of Carollo report | | 12:30 pm |
| 6. Review of Modeling report | | 12:40 pm |
| 7. SLO County Water Summit 2014 | | 12:50 pm |
| 8. Future meeting topics/committee comments | | 12:55 pm |
| 9. Adjourn | | 1:00 pm |

Link to Modeling update:

<http://www.slocountywater.org/site/Water%20Resources/Water%20Forum/Computer%20Modeling/pdf/Draft%20Final%20Model%20Update%20Report.pdf>

Priority Solutions/Projects for In-Basin Committee September 10, 2014

Items shown in bold are immediate/High Priority

Solution Number	Solution Category	Water User	Solution/Project
ST-3	Management	Rural Residential, Agriculture and Rural Non-Domestic	Encourage projects that detain or slow runoff to recharge the Basin.
ST-9	Management	All areas	Annually monitor status of Basin to determine whether solutions are effective.
ST-12	Supplemental	All areas	Exchange or bank Nacimiento water with Santa Margarita Lake to benefit Basin.
ST-13	Supplemental	Paso Robles	Structure operations to use alluvial water first, Nacimiento water second and Basin last.
MLT-1	Supplemental	All Areas	Implement water supply options associated with State Water and the Salinas River Corridor (may include use of Nacimiento & other areas of Basin & increasing the capacity of Santa Margarita Lake).
MLT-2	Supplemental	Monterey County	Explore opportunities with Monterey County including Lake Nacimiento / Lake San Antonio intertie (tunnel).
MLT-3	Supplemental	All areas	Direct delivery of unsubscribed Nacimiento or State Water Project allocation water.
MLT-5	Management	All areas	Establish mechanisms to protect recharge areas and maximize watersheds.
MLT-6	Recycling	All areas	Incentivize the installation of grey water reuse systems onsite.
C-1	Supplemental	Atascadero	Utilize the full allocation (2,000 AFY) by fully utilizing the existing percolation ponds.
C-9	Supplemental	Templeton	Maximize or increase the use of the full Nacimiento allocation (250 AFY).
C-10	Supplemental	Shandon	Connect Shandon to State Water Project and set up distribution system (100 AFY).
N/A	Supplemental Conserve	All	Use of Tertiary Treated water from Wastewater Treatment Plants for domestic and agricultural uses (Recycled Water)
N/A	Supplemental	all	Desalinization

Paso Robles Basin Advisory Committee
Management Subcommittee

MEETING NOTES

Date of Meeting: September 2, 2014

Location: Paso Robles City Hall

Attendees:

Sue Luft	Steve Crouch	Sue Harvey	Paul Clark
Willy Cunha	Bob Brown	Christine Halley	
John Diodati (County Public Works)			

Public:	Della Barrett	Laurie Gage	Dianne Jackson
	Gwen Pelfrey	Bill Frost (BAC member)	

Highlights of the discussion:

Topic	General Discussion	Next Steps
August 2014 Meeting Notes	Bob Brown moved approval; Sue Luft seconded; passed unanimously	
Water District Formation	<p>Sue Luft reported that AB 2453 passed and is heading to Governor Brown’s desk for signature. This means that the window is soon to be open for local consideration through LAFCO. One avenue to proceed is for the County to petition LAFCO via resolution (see Recommendations to the BAC below).</p> <p>Of the many steps ahead, an important one will be establishing early year operating budgets and staffing recommendations. John Diodati, County Public Works Dept, expects HydroMetrics’ recommendations in this regard in late September. Expectations are that a \$1 to \$1.5 million per year operating budget may be needed.¹</p> <p>An “Engineer’s Report” will also be needed to advise on District budget and basis of assessing operating costs.</p>	Pass on formation recommendation to BAC (see item below)
Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (aka Pavley-Dickinson)	Three interdependent bills passed and are en route for the governor’s signature by 9/31. Bob Brown reported that these essentially require actions to stabilize basins. Tools that may be used to achieve sustainability include well metering, efficient water management, empowerment of the local agency to undertake replenishment projects, and coordination with land use planning agencies.	Summarize in an update for the BAC, emphasizing what state bills mean to local water management.

¹ For reference, County’s special district annual operating budgets for Flood Control District Zone 1 is \$0.9 million and for Zone 3 is \$3.35 million. Scope of services provided differs from proposed Water District.

	<p>Once these bills become law, local entit(ies) are to take on the role of the “Groundwater Sustainability Agency” to act basinwide. There can be more than one such Agency in a basin. A county holds that role by default, if no other entity so designated. The proposed Water District, for example, may act as the sustainability agency and they are required to take actions toward sustaining the resource as identified in a Groundwater Sustainability Plan.</p> <p>An anticipated question is: “Since the County / Flood Control District is an established, staffed entity, why not forego the Water District and look to the County?” A contrast of those options would be helpful as we proceed, in particular whether costs to the public vary between approaches.</p>	
<p>Joint Powers Authority</p>	<p>The plan of action to stabilize the basin could be authored by a JPA whose membership could include the proposed Water District, counties, cities, and other water service providers across the 790 sq mi basin. The City of Paso Robles will outline membership and a potential approach in coordination with other affected agencies.</p>	<p>City of Paso proceeding with JPA outline.</p>
<p>Recommendations to the Basin Advisory Committee</p>	<p>Main BAC requested Subcommittee input on 3 items:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Basin sustainability as envisioned in AB 3030 and Pavley-Dickinson - Sue Harvey researched this and generally concluded that the State’s Sustainable Groundwater Management Act essentially supersedes AB3030. 2. Water District Formation; Resolution of Application – Question was called as to whether the Subcommittee advises that this route be followed as opposed to landowner petition. 3. Use of Available Nacimiento – Surplus entitlement is going unused and the pipeline system is in place. Question was called as to whether the Subcommittee advises release of surplus water into Salinas River for the benefit of North County. 	<p>Recommendations to BAC:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hold on “more robust” AB3030 plan until State legislation is effective and regulations are published. 2. Subcommittee voted 5-2 to recommend that BAC urge Board of Supervisors to petition LAFCO by resolution. Ayes = Brown, Luft, Crouch, Cunha, and Halley; No = Clark and Harvey. 3. Subcommittee voted unanimously to recommend that BAC urge release of surplus Nacimiento entitlement into the Salinas River for the general benefit of North County water supplies.

Action Items:

- a) **Bob Brown** – be prepared to report on Sustainable Groundwater Management Act to main BAC.

- b) **Christine Halley** –draft business items on water district formation petition by resolution and on regional use of available Nacimiento water to present to BAC.

Potential Future Meeting Topics:

- a) Review forthcoming JPA outline, being prepared by City of Paso Robles.
- b) Contrast basin management with a water district vs. Flood Control District.
- c) Outline how the new legislation folds into the existing Water Code and how that may affect the (proposed) future Water District operations.

Notes prepared by C. Halley

**Outreach and Education Subcommittee
of
Paso Basin Advisory Committee
Meeting of October 7, 2014**

2:00 – 4:00 pm

**Atascadero Mutual Water Company Office
5005 El Camino Real, Atascadero**

Meeting Notes

4 people attended the first Outreach and Education Subcommittee meeting chaired by Jaime Hendrickson. 2 people were members of the committee or their alternate. 2 members of the public were present.

The Committee plans to meet the first Tuesday of the month from 2:00 – 4:00pm at the Atascadero Mutual Water Company.

The Committee had a conference call with the SLO County Planning Department discussing with James Caruso and Cheryl Cochrane regarding the lack of connectivity between the www.pasobasin.org and slocountywater.org Paso Robles Groundwater Basin page. The Chair will be meeting with County Staff, to link and update the pages.

Website	Description	Management	Contact
www.pasobasin.org	Introductory, Informational	SLO County Planning & Building	
www.slocountywater.org	Technical	SLO County Public Works	

The Committee discussed many outreach and education programs including:

- Developing a list of FAQ (Frequently Asked Questions) to address the most common issues raised.
- Working a on an outreach time schedule through the LAFCO and Pavley-Dickinson processes.
- Model Update workshop.
- Operation United programs.

Recommendations

1. The Committee recommends that the Basin Advisory Committee request \$10,000 from the Board of Supervisors for immediate outreach and education that would include a survey, bumper stickers and possibly yard signs. If the BAC agrees with this recommendation the Committee would request to work directly with the county.
2. The Committee recommends that the Basin Advisory Committee approve the O&E Subcommittee creation of a Facebook Page called Paso Basin and the use of a e-newsletter. The Paso Basin Facebook page would be strictly informational and no comments would be allowed. The use of Facebook and e-newsletters provide a quick means to disseminate information. The Committee would request BAC feedback on content and the development of general guidelines

**Outreach and Education Subcommittee
of
Paso Basin Advisory Committee
Meeting of October 7, 2014**

**2:00 – 4:00 pm
Atascadero Mutual Water Company Office
5005 El Camino Real
Atascadero**

Charge of the Subcommittee:

- *Provide input on the integration of outreach, education and conservation programs into the amended AB 3030 Plan*
- *Provide input on the approach to advertising for and conducting public workshops associated with basin efforts*
- *Provide input on the basin website(s)*
- *Provide input on how to convey technical information, such as the computer modeling results*

Agenda

1. Set regular meeting time.
2. Identify/clarify target audience
3. PR BAC website
 - Status & Access
 - Updates
 - BAC Conservation Subcommittee, are there current conservation programs in place that need to be integrated
4. AB 2453 and the Pavley-Dickinson legislation, the LAFCO process, and the water district formation process education and outreach....
 - Target audience
 - Informational matrix
 - Future public workshops
 - i. Timing?
5. Upcoming Meetings:
 - October 14th, Board of Supervisors “water summit”
 - October 16th, PR BAC Committee Meeting

TO: Paso Basin Advisory Committee

FROM: Jeff Briltz, Supplemental Water Subcommittee

DATE: October 9, 2014

SUBJECT: Agenda Item #3.f.i: Consider Advising County Staff to Amend Approach to the Supplemental Water Study

Recommendations

1. Request that Technical Memorandum #2 from the Carollo team be a succinct technical description of a short-list of supplemental water options, and;
2. Allow time for the Basin Advisory Committee and its Subcommittees to make use of the more immediate action list, then advise on further efforts associated with the Supplemental Water Study.

Discussion

Both our local water management goals and the State's Sustainable Groundwater Management Act call for actions to sustainably meet water demand. An important aspect of helping the public understand options in this regard is preparing a succinct description of supplemental water options and the primary options are well-known:

- Use of available Nacimiento water in years when surplus water is available
- Beneficial reuse of recycled water, particularly from the City of Paso Robles wastewater treatment plant due to its proximity to the most stressed portion of the basin
- Supplement water supply to urban and village reserve areas such as Shandon and Jardine, as called for in County planning policies and principles and again in the case of Jardine due to its proximity to the most stressed area

These supplemental supplies represent the primary options that are likely to be undertaken. Consider re-focusing the Supplemental Water Study on describing these options in a technical memo format with graphics to accompany brief text. The technical memo would be infrastructure-focused and factual and capture the key points needed for public consideration:

- a. Describe the location, quantity, and quality of supplies
- b. Conceptually depict additional distribution lines needed to transport supply from its point of origin (such as the existing Nacimiento pipeline or the Paso Wastewater Treatment Plant) to area of demand (the urban/village reserve or irrigators in the "bull's eye").

- c. Render an opinion as to the cost to construct the proposed waterworks and describe the likely area of benefit.

This information should be presented in as concise an engineering manner as possible, then shared with the BAC and others for consideration. The aim would be an easily-understood description of the more likely supplemental water steps that could be taken toward sustainability.

Consider putting the Carollo Supplemental Water Study on hold at that point, allowing the advisory committees and other stakeholders to make use of the more immediate action list. Your Supplemental Water Subcommittee voted unanimously in support of this direction at their October 9th meeting.

It appears by Exhibit B – Labor and Budget Estimate to the Carollo agreement dated January 2014 that perhaps \$500,000 of the \$700,000 study budget would be held pending a future resume-work notice from the County. This approach aligns the important supplemental water efforts with recent State legislation and best supports local consideration toward sustainability.

ORDINANCE NO. ____
AN ORDINANCE REGULATING THE EXPORTATION OF GROUNDWATER

The Board of Supervisors of the County of San Luis Obispo, State of California, ordains as follows:

SECTION 1: That Chapter 8.92 of the County Code be added as follows:

8.92.10 Findings and declarations.

The board finds and declares as follows:

A. The groundwater underlying the county has historically provided the people and lands of the county with water for agricultural, domestic, municipal and other purposes.

B. According to the 2014 San Luis Obispo Integrated Regional Water Management Plan, approximately fifty seven percent of all domestic water supply needs within the county are met by the use of groundwater.

C. The primary industry in the county is agriculture, and the gross value of all agricultural crops produced in the county was estimated at \$960,710,000 in 2013. Nearly all irrigated agricultural crops within the county are irrigated with groundwater.

D. Areas of the county are subject to limited groundwater availability.

E. The groundwater of the county is and will be a vital part of future water use in the county.

F. According to Bulletin 118, the following twenty two groundwater basins (or portions thereof) underlie the county: the Paso Robles Area Groundwater Basin, the Cholame Valley Groundwater Basin, the Los Osos Valley Groundwater Basin, the San Luis Obispo Valley Groundwater Basin, the Santa Maria River Valley Groundwater Basin, the Cuyama Valley Groundwater Basin, the Carrizo Plain Groundwater Basin, the San Carpoforo Valley Groundwater Basin, the Arroyo de La Cruz Valley Groundwater Basin, the San Simeon Valley Groundwater Basin, the Santa Rosa Valley Groundwater Basin, the Villa Valley Groundwater Basin, the Cayucos Valley Groundwater Basin, the Old Valley Groundwater Basin, the Toro Valley Groundwater Basin, the Morro Valley Groundwater Basin, the Chorro Valley Groundwater Basin, the Rinconada Valley Groundwater Basin, the Pozo Valley Groundwater Basin, the Huasna Valley Groundwater Basin, the Rafael Valley Groundwater Basin and the Big Spring Area Groundwater Basin.

G. As part of the California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring Program, set forth in California Water Code Section 10920 et seq., the California Department of Water

Resources identified a number of groundwater basins within the county as high or medium priority basins in 2014, including the Paso Robles Area Groundwater Basin, the Los Osos Valley Groundwater Basin, the Santa Maria River Valley Groundwater Basin, the San Luis Obispo Valley Groundwater Basin and the Cuyama Valley Groundwater Basin.

H. As part of the county’s Resource Management System, set forth in Chapter 3 of the San Luis Obispo County Land Use Ordinance—Framework for Planning, the board has determined that a Level of Severity III exists for water resources within the Paso Robles Valley Groundwater Basin, the Los Osos Valley Groundwater Basin and the Santa Maria River Valley Groundwater Basin. A Level of Severity III is the highest level of alert and occurs when resource use exceeds the capacity of the resource.

I. It is essential for the protection of the health, welfare, and safety of the residents of the county, and the public benefit of the state, that the groundwater resources of the county be protected from harm resulting from the exportation of groundwater.

J. California courts have recognized and upheld the authority of counties, through their police powers, to regulate the use of groundwater.

K. The board recognizes the principle developed in the case law of California that water may be appropriated from a groundwater basin if the groundwater basin is in a surplus condition and such appropriation would not impair the reasonable and beneficial use of overlying users.

L. This chapter requires a permit for the export of groundwater and is not intended to regulate groundwater in any other way.

M. In adopting and codifying this chapter, the board does not intend to limit other authorized means of managing the county’s groundwater.

8.92.20 Definitions.

As used in this chapter:

- A. “Board” means the board of supervisors of the county of San Luis Obispo.
- B. “Bulletin 118” means the California Department of Water Resources’ report entitled “California’s Groundwater: Bulletin 118” updated in 2003 and as it may be subsequently updated and revised.
- C. “County” means the county of San Luis Obispo.
- D. “Department” means the county Department of Public Works and Transportation.

E. “Director” means the county Director of Public Works and Transportation or his or her designee.

F. “Export” means the extraction of groundwater underlying the county for use outside the boundaries of the groundwater basin from which the groundwater is derived, or for use outside of the county.

G. “Groundwater” means water beneath the surface of the earth within the zone below the water table in which the soil is completely saturated with water but does not include water that flows in known and definite channels.

H. “Groundwater basin” means a groundwater basin or subbasin identified and defined in Bulletin 118 within the county.

I. “Local agency” means any local public agency.

J. “Person” means any person, firm, association, organization, partnership, business, trust, corporation, limited liability company, or local public agency, including any city, county, city and county, district or joint powers authority.

K. “Recharge” means flow to groundwater storage from precipitation, irrigation, infiltration from streams, spreading basins and other sources of water.

L. “Site” means any lot or parcel of land or contiguous combination thereof, under the same ownership.

M. “Usable storage capacity” means the quantity of groundwater of acceptable quality that can be economically withdrawn from storage.

N. “Water year” means the year beginning January 1 and ending December 31.

8.92.30 Permit required for exportation of groundwater.

Unless otherwise exempt, no person shall export groundwater underlying the county without first obtaining a permit as provided in this chapter.

8.92.40 Exemptions.

This chapter shall not apply to the export of groundwater in the following circumstances:

A. To prevent the flood of lands;

B. To prevent the saturation of the root zone for agricultural land;

C. Movement of groundwater within the boundaries or service area of a single local agency in existence on the effective date of this chapter consistent with the historical practice of the local agency;

D. Movement of groundwater between contiguous parcels under common ownership consistent with historical practice;

E. The groundwater is contaminated and does not meet the standards for beneficial uses. Such groundwater may be exported if the release complies with discharge permits issued by the federal, state and state resource agencies;

F. Exportation by the county or the San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District or their contractors; and

G. Exportation of not more than one-half (1/2) acre foot of water per water year from a site.

8.92.50 Application for an export permit.

A. An application for an export permit shall be filed with the department on a form specified by the director, which shall include all information specifically requested thereon and other information required by the director to address specific aspects of the proposed groundwater export. Information to support the findings listed in Section 8.92.70 must be provided in order for the director to deem the application complete. Concurrently, the applicant shall consent to the commencement and financing of appropriate environmental review as may be required under the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.) and applicable guidelines. The application for an export permit and required environmental review shall be accompanied by the deposit of fees for these purposes, as established by board resolution.

8.92.60 Procedures for processing.

A. Within thirty calendar days of filing the export permit application and the deposit of required fees, and determination by the director that the application is complete, the director shall post a notice on the department's public bulletin board that an application has been filed. The director shall send a copy of the application to all local agencies which own or include land overlying or immediately adjacent to the location of the proposed export with a request for comment on the application. A notice to any interested party who has made written request to the director for such notice within the last twelve calendar months shall also be sent. Such notice shall provide recipients the opportunity to submit written comments on the application.

B. As determined in the judgment of the director, the director shall review the application with potentially affected county departments, with the staff of applicable state and federal agencies, with local agencies and with any potentially affected party. In reviewing the

application, the director shall consider any relevant groundwater management plan, including, without limitation, any groundwater management plan adopted pursuant to California Water Code Section 10750 et seq. or Section 10720 et seq., or any other relevant information provided by the applicant.

C. Upon completion of the environmental review and export permit application review process, a public hearing before the director on the export permit application shall be noticed and conducted as follows:

1. Notice of hearing. Notice of public hearing shall be given as provided in Government Code Section 65090 and 65091, except as follows:

(a) Content of notice. In addition to the information required by Government Code Section 65094, the notice shall declare that the application will be acted on without a public hearing if no request for a hearing is made in compliance with subsection C.2 of this Section 8.92.60.

(b) Method of notice distribution. Notice of public hearings shall be given as provided by Government Code Section 65090 and 65091.

(c) Additional notice provided. The public notice shall include mailed notice to all owners of property located within a one mile radius of the exterior boundaries of the site from which the export is to occur.

2. Public hearing. A public hearing on an export permit shall occur only when a hearing is requested by the applicant or other interested person(s). This request shall be made in writing to the director no later than seven days after the date of the public notice provided in compliance with subsection C.1 of this Section 8.92.60. In the event that a public hearing is required, the export permit application shall be scheduled for a hearing on the date and time as defined in the public notice. Any person may provide written comments relevant to the impact of the extraction and transfer of groundwater on the groundwater resources within the county. The hearing shall not be conducted with formal rules of evidence, but rather shall be conducted under such rules as set by the director for the expeditious presentation of the matter and relevant information by the applicant and by other interested parties.

3. Final decisions on export permit applications. Immediately after the conclusion of public testimony in the case of a public hearing, or after the time period within which a hearing may be requested under subsection C.2 of this Section 8.92.60 if no hearing is requested, the director shall:

(a) Announce his or her decision on the export permit application;

(b) Announce that his or her decision is final unless appealed pursuant to subsection D of this Section 8.92.60.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the director may continue the hearing to a date certain to provide additional time to evaluate information obtained at the hearing prior to a final decision.

D. The director's decision may be appealed to the board of supervisors consistent with Section 8.92.100.

E. Notice of the director's decision shall be mailed within five calendar days of final action to the person that has applied for the permit, any person who requested a hearing, and all other persons or entities referred to in Subsection A of this Section 8.92.60.

8.92.70 Findings required for export permit approval.

A. An export permit shall be approved only if the director finds that the proposed export will not cause or contribute to significant detrimental impacts to groundwater resources within the county or on the groundwater basin from which the groundwater is extracted by finding that:

1. The proposed export will not adversely affect the long-term ability for storage or transmission of groundwater within the groundwater basin from which the groundwater is exported;
2. The proposed export will not (together with other extractions) result in chronic lowering of groundwater levels and will not otherwise operate to the injury of the reasonable and beneficial uses of overlying groundwater users;
3. The proposed export will not result in, expand or significantly exacerbate groundwater quality degradation;
4. The proposed export will not result in injury to a water replenishment, storage, restoration or conveyance project;
5. The proposed export will not result in, expand or significantly exacerbate land subsidence;
6. The proposed export will not result in, expand, or significantly exacerbate depletions of interconnected surface water; and
7. The proposed export will not otherwise be detrimental to the environment or to the health, safety and welfare of property owners overlying or in the vicinity of the proposed exportation site.

8.92.80 Conditions of export permit approval.

If the export permit is to be approved, the director shall impose appropriate conditions of permit issuance so as to prohibit and prevent any significant detrimental impacts to groundwater

resources within the county described in Section 8.92.70 or other adverse conditions and may impose other conditions that the director deems necessary to promote or maintain the health, safety and welfare of the people of the county. The director shall incorporate into each export permit a monitoring and/or reporting program. The monitoring and/or reporting program shall be of such scope and extent as the director finds to be necessary to ensure that the proposed export will not cause or contribute to any significant detrimental impacts on groundwater resources within the county. Such a monitoring and/or reporting program may include, but shall not be limited to, amounts of groundwater pumped, monitoring of wells, monitoring of groundwater levels and monitoring of vegetation and wildlife.

8.92.90 Reapplication after director denial.

Reapplication for an export permit which has been denied by the director may not be filed with the director until the following water year and must be accompanied with information that demonstrates a significant change in circumstances from those which caused the denial of the previous export permit application.

8.92.100 Appeal of director action.

A. The applicant or any interested party may appeal a decision of the director by filing a written request with the clerk of the board within fifteen days of issuance of the director's decision. Any such appeal shall specifically set forth the procedural and substantive reasons for the appeal or be deemed incomplete and ineffectual. The clerk shall set a board hearing within thirty days of receipt of a complete request for appeal which shall be heard within ninety days of notice thereof. Written notice of appeal shall be given to the director, the permit applicant, the appellant, and all other parties identified in subsection A of Section 8.92.60, and the appeal hearing shall be published pursuant to Government Code Section 6061.

B. The board shall hear the appeal de novo except where the appeal is confined to a condition imposed by the director in which event the hearing and the decision of the board shall relate only to such condition. The appeal before the board shall not be conducted with formal rules of evidence, but rather shall be conducted under such rules as set by the board for the expeditious presentation of the matter and relevant information by the appellant and by other parties interested in the director's decision. At its discretion, the board may impose conditions for approval as it finds necessary to protect the interests of the county and its citizens. The decision of the board shall be final.

8.92.110 Review of export permit.

The permit granted pursuant to this chapter shall be subject to periodic staff review in consultation with the permittee. In the event that the department determines that a material violation of the conditions of the permit has occurred, the permittee shall immediately bring itself into compliance. A determination of violation shall be in writing and include specific

findings in support of the decision. A determination of violation may be appealed to the board by the permittee using the appeal process as described in Section 8.92.100.

8.92.120 Export permit term.

Approved permits shall be valid for a term, as determined by the director, not to exceed one year from the date of issuance of the export permit.

8.92.130 Inspection.

After providing written notice to the permittee, the director or designee, with good cause may at any reasonable time enter any and all places, property, enclosures and structures, for the purpose of making examinations and investigations to determine whether any provision of this chapter or an approved permit is being violated.

8.92.140 Notice of violation.

Upon receiving knowledge of an alleged violation of this chapter, the county will provide written notice of the alleged violation to the violating party. The notice shall detail the alleged violation and require the violating party to cease and desist immediately upon receipt of such notice from the alleged violating activities or within five working days prove to the county, by meeting with the director or his or her designee, that the alleged violating activities, in fact, do not violate this chapter, or that there are mitigating reasons surrounding the alleged violating activities. No civil fines, as set forth in Section 8.92.150, shall accrue during the notice process. The notice shall also include details of the potential penalties for violations of this chapter.

8.92.150 Penalty for violation.

If, within five working days, after receipt of a notice issued in accordance with Section 8.92.140, the violating party has not complied with Section 8.92.140, the county may elect to proceed with any or all of the following remedies for violation of this chapter:

- A. A civil action against the violator, including injunctive relief;
- B. A civil action against the violator, including a fine of up to five thousand dollars for each separate violation. A person or entity shall be deemed to have committed a separate violation for each and every day or portion thereof during which any such violation is committed, continued or permitted as well as for each and every separate groundwater well within which any such violations are committed, continued or permitted.

8.92.160 Termination date.

The provisions of this chapter shall expire on _____ (five years from the effective date) unless prior to such date the board, after conducting public hearings, extends the effective period of this chapter.

SECTION II. This ordinance shall take effect and be in full force and effect thirty (30) days after its passage and before the expiration of fifteen (15) days after passage of this ordinance, it shall be published once with the names of the members of the Board of Supervisors voting for and against the ordinance in a newspaper of general circulation published in the County of San Luis Obispo, State of California.

Introduced at a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors held on the ____ day of _____, 20__, and passed and adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Luis Obispo, State of California, on the ____ day of _____, 20__, by the following roll call vote, to-wit:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:

Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of the
County of San Luis Obispo, State of
California

ATTEST:

County Clerk and Ex-Officio Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors, County of San
Luis Obispo, State of California

BY: _____

(SEAL)

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL EFFECT:
RITA L. NEAL
County Counsel

By: _____
Deputy County Counsel

Dated: _____

TO: Paso Basin Advisory Committee
FROM: Courtney Howard, Water Resources Engineer
DATE: October 16, 2014
SUBJECT: Agenda Item # 6: Suggested Future Agenda Items

The following list was developed to help members of the PBAC, the public, and County staff track requests for future PBAC agenda items. This list contains some of the potential items that have been requested by PBAC members, by the public, or by County staff. *Near-Term Items* are expected to come to the PBAC within several months, whereas *Long-Term Items* are expected to take longer. Items under the heading of “*Ongoing Updates*” reflect ongoing efforts/programs that staff or others would provide updates on as appropriate.

Near-Term Items

- Ongoing uses of Lake Nacimiento water in the Basin
- Potential for development of catchment basins for storm water
- Consider commenting on the Salinas-Nacimiento-CMC Emergency Intertie project

Long-Term Items

- To be identified

Ongoing Updates (as needed)

- Water District Legislation (AB 2453)
- Groundwater Sustainability Act/ Legislation
- Shandon State Water Turnout Project progress
- Paso Robles Water and Wastewater Treatment Plant Projects progress
- Subcommittee Reports
- Member Reports
- Water Surface Elevation Trends (annually after Spring measurements)