A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1.	Project Title:	Reservoir Expansion Project - Phase 1 Distribution System			
2.	Project Sponsor(s):	San Simeon Community Services District			
3.	Eligible Applicant Type:	Public Agency			
4.	IRWM Project Region(s):	San Luis Obispo County			
5.	Does the project provide be	nefits directly to a Disadvantaged Communities (DAC) and/or Economically Distressed			
	Areas (EDA) (minimum 75%	by population or geography)?			
	✓ Yes  ☐ No	If yes, please complete D.8 and/or D.9. Show on map if applicable.			
6.	Is the Project Sponsor a Trib	e, or does the project provide benefits to a Tribe (minimum 75% by population or			
	geography) as defined by Pr	oposition 1?			
	☐ Yes ☑ No	If yes, please complete D.10. Show on map if applicable.			
7.		e location of project, project benefit and/or service area, and other applicable			
	information.				
8.		Funding Category:			
	☑ DAC Implementation Project				
	☐ General Implementation	·			
9.	Project Type: Surface storage	▼ Other: Water Storage Tanks			
	Select most applicable proje	ct type. See Section II.C. of the 2019 Guidelines for full description of eligible project			
	types. If "Other" is selected	, please write in the space provided the proposed project type.			
	SELECTED ELIGIBILITY REC				
1.	• •	in the IRWM Plan, that will be adopted prior to anticipated Agreement Execution?			
_	✓ Yes ☐ No				
2.	• •	ritical need(s) and/or priority(ies) of the IRWM Region as identified in the IRWM			
	Plan?				
	✓ Yes □ No	If yes, complete part a:			
		jective(s) does the project address? Identify and explain.			
Wi	th respect to IRWM Grant Pro	ogram Guidelines, Table 1 - Statewide Priorities, the project addresses the following			

Actions: Action #2. The project will help ensure water security at the local level by improving accessibility to a safe source of water and meeting emergency needs for a Disadvantaged Community. Action #4. By increasing the community water storage capacity, the project adds flexibility to aquifer pumping during periods of increased contamination that results from runoff during high rain events and during drought periods when saltwater intrusion can occur. Action #5. The project is part of an effort to meet the community fire storage and flow rates identified by CalFire for adequate urban and wildland fire protection and would provide the infrastructure upgrades needed to meet both domestic and emergency needs. Action #6. The project directly increases community water storage capacity per recommendations from CalFire and will help improve groundwater pumping flexibility during times of higher risk of contamination. Action #7. The projeject provides water security through increased storage capacity and helps avoid contamination and the need for water importing during times of drought.

Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant
Project Information Form (PIF)

3. Does the project have an expected useful life consistent with Government Code §16727 (generally 15 years)? If not, explain why this requirement is not applicable.
Yes. The project consists of the development of community water tanks to improve storage capacity as identified in
the San Simeon Master Plan (2018), including the initial phase of water distribution infrastructure improvements.
This project is intended to meet current and future demands for the foreseeable future, beyond the general 15-year
horizion.
HOHZIOH.
4. Does the project address and/or adapt to the effects of climate change? Does the project address the climate
change vulnerabilities assessed in the IRWM Plan?
☑ Yes □ No If yes, please explain below.
Project meets the following vulnerabilities: Seasonal water demand, drought-sensitive water systems, water supply
from coastal aquifers, inability to store carryover supply surpluses, declining seasonal low flows, water quality
impacted by rain events, coastal infrastructure in low-lying areas and rising sea levels.
5. Does the project contribute to regional water self-reliance?
During wet seasons, the project would allow for banking of water supply which would improve groundwater
management by increasing pumping flexibility during sustained rain events that adversely impact the aquifer and the
community potable water supply. In addition, increased water storage capability will reduce the potential need for
importing water during contamination or drought events when groundwater pumping in the well field has the
potential for sea water intrusion.

Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant
Proiect Information Form (PIF)

	Project information Form (PIF)
6.	Does the project provide a benefit that meets at least one of the Statewide Priorities as defined in the 2019
	IRWM Grant Program Guidelines?
	☑ Yes □ No If yes, please identify below.
	2. Increase Regional Self-Reliance and Integrated Water Management Across All Levels of Government
7.	Will CEQA be completed within 12 months of Final Award?  ✓ Yes  NA, project is exempt under CEQA  NA, not a project under CEQA
	<ul><li>□ NA, project benefits DAC/EDA/Tribe (minimum 75%), or a Tribe is a local project sponsor</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
8.	Will all permits necessary to begin construction be acquired within 12 months of Final Award?  ☑ Yes
	<ul> <li>□ NA, project benefits DAC/EDA/Tribe (minimum 75%), or a Tribe is a local project sponsor</li> <li>□ No</li> </ul>

#### 3/22/2022

#### Call for Projects

Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant
Project Information Form (PIF)

C. WORK PLAN, BUDGET, and SCHEDULE SUN	MMARY
----------------------------------------	-------

1. Project Description: Provide a brief project description summarizing major components, objectives, goals, and intended outcomes/benefits (quantitative and qualitative).

Budget: Provide cost estimates for each Budget Category listed in the table below. (Required for Pre-Application Material Submittal; not required for Final Application Submittal)

	Table 1 - Project Budget					
			Table 1 - Project Budg			
(a) (b)			(c)	(d)		
	Category	Cost Share: Non-	Requested Grant	Other Cost Share (including	Total Cost	
		State Fund Source	Amount	other State Sources)		
(a)	Project	0	50,000	0	0	
	Administration	0	30,000	0	0	
(b)	Land Purchase/	0	100,000	0	0	
	Easement	0	100,000	0	0	
(c)	Planning/Design					
	/Engineering	30,000	0	175,000	205,000	
	/Environmental	30,000	Ŭ	173,000	203,000	
	Documentation					
(d)	Construction/	0	1,400,000	0	1,400,000	
	Implementation	O	1,400,000	Ü	1,400,000	
(e)	Grand Total (Sum					
	rows (a) through	30,000	1,550,000	175,000	1,755,000	
	(d) for each					

Note: Provide information or other documentation to support the cost estimate in a separate attachment. Identify the source of all cost share and other funds. If other funds are not used, describe efforts to obtain other funding and/or why other funding sources were not used.

#### "Other Funds"

- c. Being provided by Prop 1 DAC Planning grant towards Engineering.
- d. The District will seek a USDA loan for any remaining funds that are not covered by the Prop 1.

Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant
Project Information Form (PIF)

3.	Cost Share Waiver Requested (DAC or EDA)? $\qquad \qquad  extstyle  extstyl$				
	Cost Share Waiver Justification: Describe what percentage of the proposed project area encompasses a DAC/EDA,				
	how the community meets the definition of a DAC/EDA, and the need of the DAC/EDA that the project addresses.				
	In order to receive a cost share waiver, the applicant must demonstrate that the project will provide benefits				
	(minimum 25% by population or geography) that address a need of a DAC and/or EDA.				
App	proximately 100% of the proposed project area encompasses a DAC. The community of San Simeon Median Household income falls at				
\$46	5,000. The project relates to the need for sustainable, reliable, clean water. This project also addresses the urgency of meeting fire flow and				
sup	suppression requirements.				

4. Schedule: Include reasonable estimates of the start and end dates for each Budget Category listed in Table 1 - Project Budget. (Required for Pre-Application Material Submittal; not required for Final Application Submittal)

	Table 2 - Project Schedule				
Category		(a) Start Date	(b) End Date		
(a) Direct Project Administration		NA	NA		
(b)	Land Purchase/Easement	NA	NA		
(c)	Planning/Design/Engineering/Environmental Documentation	Jun-18	Jan-23		
(d)	Construction/Implementation	Mar-23	Sep-23		

#### D. OTHER PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Provide a narrative for project justification. If applicable, include references to supporting documentation such as models, studies, engineering reports, etc. Include any other information that supports the justification for this

# **Call for Projects** Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant Project Information Form (PIF) project, including how the project can achieve the claimed level of benefits.

A District Master Plan was completed in 2018. The most pressing need identified in the Plan was the immediate necessity for additional potable
water storage to meet regulatory and fire prevention and suppression needs. It was determined that 1.54 million gallons was needed in order to
provide adequate supply, sustainability and fire protection to the Community. Because of financial restraints, constructing four separate water
storage tanks which would be split into four phases would be more economically feasible. This Reservoir Expansion Project submittal requires the
construction of one (1) new 400,000 gallon tank and a water distribution replacement line (8 inch to 14 inch) that will be immediately tied into
the current water distribution system.

Project Renefits Table:

2. Project benefits rable.					
Table 3 - Project Benefits					
<b>Anticipated Useful Life of</b>	Anticipated Useful Life of Project (years): 50 years				
Primary (Required)					
Type of Benefit Claimed: Water Supply Reliability   ■ Benefit Units*: Other					
Secondary (Optional)					

Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant

l _		_	_	1
roject	Inform	ation	Form	(PIF

Project information Form (PIF)					
Type of Benefit Cla	med: Water Quality ▼	Benefit Units*: Other ▼			
Physical Benefits (At project completion or lifetime, as appropriate)					
(a)	(b)	(c)			
Benefit	Added Physical Benefit Description	Quantitative Benefit			
Primary	Increase potable water reliability and fire storage.	From 150,000 gallons of storage to 400,000 gallons of water.			
Secondary	Improved water quality	Improve potable water disinfection contact time required by the State.			
	Qualitative Benefits (For Decision Support Tools, please	describe non-physical benefits.)			
fight wildland fires as climate change results in the potential for fires to become more frequent.					
Comments: [Include i	narrative on additional benefits, as warranted.]				
Increasing water storage capacity and improves potable water quality and fire prevention requirements.					
* DWR may require applicant to convert or modify Benefit Claimed and/or Benefit Units. Where applicable, select one of the following units that corresponds to the benefit claimed:					

- - For water supply produced, saved, or recycled, enter acre-feet per year (AFY)
  - For water quality, enter constituent concentration reduced in mg/L
  - For flood damage reduction, enter inundated acres reduced in acres
  - For habitat improved, restored or protected, enter habitat restored in acres
  - For fishery benefits, enter increased fishery flow rate in cubic feet per second (cfs)
  - For species protection, enter number of species benefited

3.	Does the prop	posed pro	iject provide benefits to multiple IRWM regions [or funding areas]? If the project is located
	in another fur	nding area	a, please provide the information requested in the 2019 Guidelines, Section 1.A.
	☐ Yes  ☑	No	If yes, provide a description of the benefits to the various regions.

NA		
INC.		
l .		

# Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant Project Information Form (PIF)

# **Call for Projects**

ı	Project information Form (PIF)
4.	Provide a narrative on cost considerations. For example, were other alternatives to achieve the same types and amounts of physical benefits as the proposed project evaluated? Provide a justification as to why the project was selected (e.g., if the proposed project is not the lowest cost alternative, why is it the preferred alternative? Are there any other advantages that the proposed project provides from a cost perspective?)
Co	st considerations taken into account include the following:
	rucking water to the District would not be financially feasible regarding water needs. In addition, fire flow and opression needs require immediate accessibility.
* ⊿	Alternatively, the Master Plan identified the need to expand water storage from the current 150,000 gallon storage
	1.54 million gallons. By dividing the reservoir expansion into 4 tanks/4 separate construction phases in order to
aco	count for the District's financial situation. The Engineer also found that steel tanks were more cost efficient than a crete and more appropriate for a marine environment than a bolted tank.
5.	a. Does the project address a contaminant listed in AB 1249?
	✓ Yes □ No If yes, complete parts b and c:
	b. Describe how the project helps address the contamination.
be	ring rain seasons, the wells are impacted by surface water intrusion. By having a larger storage capacity, water can banked during wet seasons. Less water would be drawn from the wells during periods of heavy rain, which would p keep surface water contaminants out of the potable water supply.
	c. Does the project provide safe drinking water to a small disadvantaged community?
	<ul><li>✓ Yes □ No</li><li>If yes, provide an explanation on how the project benefits a small disadvantaged community as defined in the 2019 IRWM Guidelines.</li></ul>
Yes	s, the Reservoir Expansion Project addresses the human right to clean drinking water. The District's water supply is
im	pacted by saltwater intrusion during dry seasons. By having a larger reservoir capacity, water can be banked during
	v seasons. Pumping flexabiilty during periods of drought, would help keep contaminants from intruding into the table water supply.

San Luis Obispo Region
Prop 1, Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant

PTO	Project Information Form (PIF)		
6.	Does the project provide safe, clean, affordable, and accessible water adequate for human consumption, cooking,		
	and sanitary purposes (consistent with AB 685) to meet a specific need(s) of a community?		
	✓ Yes □ No If yes, please describe.		
The	e Reservoir Expansion Project provides for the increased capacity for community water storage, providing for		
inc	reased water security for domestic use and for emergency fire flows. This includes an increased capacity to ensure		
no	interruptions to potable water supply for sanitary purposes during emergencies and during periods of drought and		
lov	v water supply.		
7	Does the project ampley new or innevative technologies or practices, including decision support tools that		
7.			
	support the integration of multiple jurisdictions, including, but not limited to, water supply, flood control, land use, and sanitation?		
	☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, please describe.		
	if yes, pieuse describe.		
8.	If the project provides benefits (75% by population or geography) to a DAC, explain the need of the DAC and how		
	the project will address the described need. Explain how the area/community meets the definition of a DAC.		
C	Cincor CCD is a DAC second with and reset the requirements by the bound by the level of \$640.075. Double		
	n Simeon CSD is a DAC community and meets the requirements by the household median of \$48,875. Per the		
	sadvantaged Communities census blocks from 2009 to 2013, San Simeon median household is \$34,708.		
Ine	e expansion well is connected directly to the water system which provides water to all of San Simeon water users.		

9.	If the project provides benefits (75% by population or geography) to an EDA, explain the need of the EDA and how the project will address the described need. Explain how the area/community meets the definition of an EDA.
NA	
10.	If the project provides benefits (75% by population or geography) to a Tribe or a Tribe is the sponsor of the project, explain the need of the Tribe and how the project will address the described need.
NA	

11.	Does the project sponsor have legal access rights, easements, or other access capabilities to the property to
	implement the project?

	<b>V</b>		.1
<b>✓</b>	Yes	If yes, please	describe

□ NA	If NA, please describe why physical access to a property is not needed.
------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------

□ No	If no, please provide a clear and concise narrative with a schedule to obtain necessary ac	cess.

The construction of the expanded reservoir will be on a site just east of the existing site. The District has a letter agreement with Hearst Corporation to use the property for the Reservoir Expansion provided that CEQA, ranch land trust and conservation easement requirements are met.

#### **E. ENVIRONMENTAL**

1. Please fill out the CEQA Timeline Table below, if applicable:

Table 4 - CEQA Timeline		
CEQA STEP	COMPLETE? (y/n)	ESTIMATED DATE TO COMPLETE
Initial Study	Υ	
Notice of Preparation	N	Not required for MND
Draft EIR/MND/ND	Y	Draft MND under Lead Agency Review
Public Review	N	Oct-19
Final EIR/MND/ND	N	Not required for MND
Adoption of Final EIR/MND/ND	N	Oct-19
Notice of Determination	N	Oct-19

a. If additional explanation or justification of the timeline is needed, please describe below (optional).

All technical studies have been completed and a draft MND was published in September 2019 for public reivew. The
District certified and adopted the MND in October 2019.

2. Permit Acquisition Plan:

List all permits needed to complete the project. If the project does not provide benefits to a DAC, EDA, or Tribe (min 75%), all permits needed to begin construction must be acquired within 12 months of Final Award.

( 10.10) an permite measure to be an extra design and an ext			
No.	Type of Permit	Permitting Agency	Date Acquired or Anticipated
1.	Conditional Use Permit/CDP	County of San Luis Obispo	Jan-23
2.	Amended Drinking Water	Division of Drinking Water	Upon project completion.
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
n.			

For each permit not yet acquired, describe the following:

No.	<ul> <li>a. Actions taken to date (include dates of any key meetings, consultations, submittals, etc.)</li> </ul>	b. Any issues or obstacles that may delay acquisition of permit
1.	Complete MND October 2019, submit permit application No	None.
2.	None (to be initiated upon project completion)	None.
3.		
4.		
5.		
n.		

3. Permitting Checklist: This checklist is provided as a courtesy for documentation purposes. Not all permits which may apply are listed. (Required for Pre-Application Material Submittal; not required for Final Application Submittal)

a. Does the project involve any activities that may affect federally or state listed threatened or endangered species or their critical habitat that are known, or have a potential, to occur on-site, in the surrounding area, or in the service area? (i.e. Federal Endangered Species Act Section 7 Consultation and Incidental Take Authorization and Section 10 Incidental Take Permit, California Endangered Species Act Permit, and/or ESA & CESA Consistency Determination)  Yes No If yes, please explain:
According to the Biological Resources Assessment prepared for the project, none of the species with the potential to
occur on-site or observed on-site are federally or state listed as Threatened or Endangered or are Candidates for listing. The California red-legged frog is a federally Threatened species known to occur in Pico Creek; however, the MND concludes their potential for occurance on-site is minimal and identifies measures to reduce any impacts to less than significant levels.
<ul> <li>b. Would the proposed project work in, over, or under navigable waters of the US or discharge dredged or fill material in waters of the US? (i.e. Rivers &amp; Harbors Act Section 10 Permit and/or Clean Water Act Section 404 Permit)</li> <li>☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, please explain:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>c. Will the proposed project have the potential to affect historical, archaeological, or cultural resources? (i.e. National Historic Preservation Act and/or State Historic Preservation Officer Consultation)</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, please explain:</li> </ul>
As identified in the project archaeological survey report, the project does not contain historical, archaeological or cultural resources and no mitigation measures are required.
d. Will the proposed project discharge into a water of the US? (i.e. Clean Water Act Section 401 and/or 404 Permit)    Yes  No If yes, please explain:
e. Will the proposed project divert the natural flow of a river, stream, or lake? (i.e. Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement)  ☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, please explain:
, co, presse explain.

The proposed proejct is within the Coastal Zone as identified in the County of San Luis Obispo Coastal Zone Land Use Ordinance and Local Coastal Plan. The project will be subject to the requirement for a Conditional Use Permit and Coastal Development Permit from the County of San Luis Obispo. The District is working with the County on submittal of the required permit application.

If yes, please explain:

✓ Yes

No