

Project Scoring Summary

Project Sponsor	Project Name	Eligible? (y/n)	DAC? (y/n)	Score (12 max)	Request	Recommended Award
City of Morro Bay	Indirect Potable Reuse	Y	No			
City of Pismo Beach	Central Coast Blue, Phase 1	Y	No			
San Miguel CSD	Water Reliability Projects	Y	TBD*			
San Simeon CSD	Reservoir Expansion Project - Phase 1 Distribution System	Y	Y			
Oceano CSD	Water Resource Reliability Projects	Y	Y			
San Luis Obispo County Flood Control & Water Conservation District	Master Water Report Information System	Y	No			
San Luis Obispo County Flood Control & Water Conservation District	Grant admin	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.5% of total	\$ 132,374

\* pending response from DWR

\$ 3,649,755

Other factors for Recommendations

(Approved by RWMG, derived from IRWM Plan Sections 1, 2, 4 and 6)

Other Factors	Comments
Highest Readiness Scoring	Prioritize projects farther along in Permits, CEQA, Funding, and access.
Geographical Equity	Maximize areas of the County (North, South, Coastal, etc.) with grant funding
Previous Awards	Take into account previous awards under the IRWM Program

Funding Category	Funding Target
General Impl. Projects Maximum	\$ 3,150,156
DAC Project Minimum	\$ 499,599
Grant Admin (3.5%)	\$ 132,374
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,782,129</b>

Project Scoring Summary

Project Sponsor

Project Name:

Proposal Solicitation Package (PSP) Scoring Criteria  
(derived from Table 4, page 33)

	Criteria	Guidance	PIF Question	Points available	Project Score
1	Does the project address contaminant(s) listed in AD 1249? (Nitrate, Arsenic, Perchlorate, and Hexavalent Chromium)	A reasonable explanation of how the project(s) addresses AB 1249 contaminants (nitrate, arsenic, perchlorate, or hexavalent chromium contamination). (1 point)	D.5	1	0
2	Does the budget leverage funds with other private, Federal, or local fund sources?	• Project Budget contains non-state cost share and/or other fund sources. (1 point)	C.2	1	0
3	Is the primary benefit claimed logical and reasonable given the information provided in the PIF	• A logical, reasonable, and clear project justification narrative in Section D.1 in the PIF. For physical benefits, does the narrative include references to supporting documentation such as models, studies, engineering reports, etc. (1 point).	D.1	1	0
4	Does the project provide multiple (more than one) benefits?	Is a secondary benefit claimed that meets all of the physical or non-physical benefit criteria of Question 5 of DWR's scoring? (1 point)	D.2	1	0
5	If the proposed project addresses contamination per the requirements of AB1249, does the project provide safe drinking water to a small disadvantaged community?	• A reasonable explanation of how the project provides safe drinking water to a small disadvantaged community as defined in the 2019 IRWM Guidelines. Full points awarded, if the project does not have contaminant issues per AB1249 requirements. (1 point)	D.5c	1	0
6	Does the proposed project employ new or innovative technology or practices?	A reasonable explanation of how a project employs new or innovative technology or practices, including, but not limited to: - Decision Support Tools that support the integration of multiple jurisdictions, new and/or innovative business approaches, technology and partnerships etc. - Technologies that were developed and/or became accessible within the last ten years (e.g. Smart Meters, new apps, etc.) - New applications of existing technologies - Pilot studies seeking to test new technologies or management strategies for future implementation projects. (1 point)	D.7	1	0
PSP Scoring Subtotal:				6	0

Competitive Process & Project Readiness Criteria  
(PSP Attachment 7 & RWMG Priorities)

	Criteria	Guidance	PIF Question	Points available	Project Score
1	Does the budget leverage funds with other private, Federal, or local fund sources?	• Project Budget contains non-state cost share and/or other fund sources. (1 point)	C.2	1	0
2	Does the project provide multiple (more than one) quantifiable benefits?	Is a secondary benefit claimed that meets all of the physical or non-physical benefit criteria of Question 5 of DWR's scoring? (1 point)	D.2	1	0
3	Is CEQA Complete for the project (i.e. Mitigated Negative Declaration certified by lead agency and filed with State)	• Documentation for CEQA completion provided. (1 point) * Points awarded if N/A	E.1	1	0
4	Is NEPA Complete for the project?	• Documentation for NEPA completion provided. (1 point) * Points awarded if N/A	n/a	1	0
5	Does the project sponsor have legal access rights, easements, or other access capabilities, to the property to implement the project?	• Project Sponsor has legal access rights, easements, or other access capabilities to the project area. (1 point) • Points awarded if N/A	D.11	1	0
6	Does the project sponsor have required permits complete (i.e. Conditional Use Permit (CUP), Encroachment Permits, Air Pollution Control Board, etc.)	• Project Sponsor has completed and obtained permits for construction. (1 point) • Points awarded if N/A	D.2	1	0
Competitive Process and Readiness Subtotal:				6	0
<b>Grant Total:</b>				12	0