

PUBLIC SERVICES APPLICATION SCORING RUBRIC, 2025

| A: UNDERSTANDING SCOPE OF WORK | POINTS AWARDED | AVAILABLE POINTS |
|---|----------------|------------------|
| Application is complete. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed project description is clear and scope of work is complete. Application provides thorough understanding of proposed use of funds. | 0 | 5 |
| Timeline (schedule) identifies key milestones. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key milestones identified and reasonable. | 0 | 5 |
| Community and jurisdiction support. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letters of support attached with application. Clear indications of support from the local jurisdiction are attached, including appropriate and legal use of property? | 0 | 5 |
| A: Section Total | 0 | 15 |
| B: APPLICANT'S DEMONSTRATED EXPERTISE AND UNDERSTANDING | POINTS AWARDED | AVAILABLE POINTS |
| Demonstrated experience in producing proposed project. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> List of past and current projects, including number of units, type of beneficiaries, scope, length of deed-restrictions, etc., is comparable to proposed project. | 0 | 5 |
| Demonstrated understanding of regulatory considerations as they relate to the project. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Eligible use of funds identified, proposed beneficiaries eligible to receive benefit, SAM provided, Section 3, NEPA timing, recordkeeping, financial auditing, etc. | 0 | 5 |
| Organizational capacity to implement project. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> List of current and future projects. | 0 | 5 |
| Past performance. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All applicants start with 5 points. Points will be deducted if a project applicant has negative findings on past awards with the County or with other state or federal agencies. For applicants without previous experience with the County, demonstration of successful state/ federal funding contracts including references will be required. The number of points deducted will be based upon the number and severity of negative findings, at the County's discretion. | 0 | 5 |
| B: Section Total | 0 | 20 |
| C: COST EFFECTIVENESS | POINTS AWARDED | AVAILABLE POINTS |
| Budgeted costs appear reasonable and appropriate. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget is realistic and costs are reasonable and allowable. | 0 | 5 |
| Budgeted costs are consistent with the activities to be undertake. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Per person costs are in alignment with other successful programs using similar models and serving a similar population. | 0 | 5 |
| C: Section Total | 0 | 10 |

| D: PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES AND IMPROVED CAPACITY | POINTS AWARDED | AVAILABLE POINTS |
|---|----------------|------------------|
| <p>Project increases the capacity of services/ housing for persons experiencing homelessness and at-risk of homelessness in the County.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For full points, project improves coordination and provision of existing services structure in the County to get more persons housed. | 0 | 5 |
| <p>Decreases the number of unsheltered persons.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For full points, project has the potential to significantly decrease the number of unsheltered persons. | 0 | 5 |
| <p>Increases the number of persons experiencing homelessness entering into permanent housing.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For full points, # of persons to enter PH is higher than average relative to reasonable project costs. | 0 | 5 |
| <p>Total number of persons served.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Persons served in relation to the type of project. | 0 | 5 |
| <p>The proposed project is accessible to communities of color which are disproportionately impacted by homelessness, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indigenous communities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposed project demonstrates a strong potential to increase outreach to communities of color. | 0 | 5 |
| D: Section Total | 0 | 25 |
| E: FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY AND LONG-TERM SUSTAINABILITY | POINTS AWARDED | AVAILABLE POINTS |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial sustainability: sufficient funding for continued operation following grant expiration. Demonstrates ability to fully fund and maintain the proposed activity following the grant term. Identifies sufficient, future sources of funding sufficient to sustain the proposed activity following the grant term; demonstrates commitment from funding sources or shows other evidence of likelihood of receiving funding if not already committed. | 0 | 5 |
| <p>Financial leveraging.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant financial leveraging. | 0 | 5 |
| E: Section Total | 0 | 10 |
| F: PROJECT FEASIBILITY | POINTS AWARDED | AVAILABLE POINTS |
| <p>Project has needed components in place.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project highly likely to be able to move forward in the manner described in the application; program design is feasible without significant gaps/weaknesses. | 0 | 5 |
| <p>Project Leveraging.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant identified realistic milestones on timeline for the activity; project will complete prior to expiration date of funds. | 0 | 5 |
| F: Section Total | 0 | 10 |

| G: ALIGNMENT WITH THE SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTYWIDE PLAN TO ADDRESS HOMELESSNESS | POINTS AWARDED | AVAILABLE POINTS |
|--|----------------|------------------|
| Proposed Project aligns with a Line of Effort to support the San Luis Obispo Countywide Plan to Address Homelessness. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates good plan on aligning with a Line of Effort and how to deliver. | 0 | 5 |
| G: Section Total | 0 | 5 |
| TOTAL SCORE | 0 | 100 |