

**Maria G. Brown**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, December 12, 2021 10:41 PM  
**To:** Debbie Arnold  
**Cc:** ahseastrand\_aol.com  
**Subject:** [EXT]Supporting Redistricting Map ID 74786

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

**Good evening Supervisor Arnold,**

**I fully support the approved map ID 74786. This map follows the redistricting criteria!**

**It keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole, and also brings Cal Poly and the City of SLO together, as well as Oceano and Grover Beach.**

**Partisan data cannot be used to create districts. Political data, voter registration data, incumbent residence locations, possible impacts on candidate, political parties and incumbents cannot be used in redistricting.**

**Respectfully,**

**Andrea Seastrand**

**Andrea Seastrand  
Resident of SLO County for 27 years!  
Resident of Grover Beach for 22 years!  
ahseastrand@aol.com**

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]Complete the vote to adopt the preferred map ID 74786 Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

**From:** mark tatham [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 5:41 PM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]Complete the vote to adopt the preferred map ID 74786 Ordinance

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I'm completely in favor of redistricting proposals which: 1) allow for equitable voting and voicing for all citizens, and 2) completely destroy the bat-shit crazy policies of every Democrat alive

Sent from my iPhone

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Linda Becker [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 1:11 AM  
**To:** Debbie Arnold  
**Subject:** [EXT]Redistricting SLO COunty

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I support the approved map 74786. Using the county provided software District lines were created based on population data, NOT political data, NOT voter registration data, NOT incumbent residence locations, NOT possible impacts on candidates, political parties and incumbents.

Political data CANNOT be used to create districts, despite the allegations in the local newspaper, social media posts and various individuals.

Linda Becker

**Maria G. Brown**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 1:05 PM  
**To:** Debbie Arnold  
**Subject:** [EXT]REDISTRICTING MAP #74786

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hi Debbie,

I am unable to attend the final meeting tomorrow (12/14) and want to once again encourage you to please continue to support redistricting map #74786. It follows the criteria and is the most fair to all the cities within San Luis Obispo County.

Thank you,  
Sue Rodeck

[REDACTED]



**Maria G. Brown**

---

**From:** Rodney Blackner [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 5:52 PM  
**To:** Debbie Arnold  
**Subject:** [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Supervisor Arnold, Thank you for supporting the preferred map 74786. I feel that it best follows the state guidelines and should be accepted. Thanks again, Rod Blackner

Sent from my iPhone

**Maria G. Brown**

---

**From:** Rodney Blackner [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 5:52 PM  
**To:** Debbie Arnold  
**Subject:** [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Supervisor Arnold, Thank you for supporting the preferred map 74786. I feel that it best follows the state guidelines and should be accepted. Thanks again, Rod Blackner

Sent from my iPhone

**Maria G. Brown**

---

**From:** Valerie Moore [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 8:21 PM  
**To:** Debbie Arnold  
**Subject:** [EXT]Map

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Good evening,

I am sending this email to inform you that I support the approved map ID 74786. I am a homeowner in Atascadero, a nurse in a local hospital, a mom of four children and very active in our community. Thank you for your service and representation.

Valerie Moore

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

**Maria G. Brown**

---

**From:** Rodney Blackner [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 5:49 PM  
**To:** John Peschong  
**Subject:** [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Supervisor Peschong, Thank you for supporting the preferred map 74786. I feel that it best follows the state guidelines and should be accepted. As I have spoken with others in the district, they agree. Thanks again, Rod Blackner

Sent from my iPhone

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Valerie Moore [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 8:20 PM  
**To:** John Peschong  
**Subject:** [EXT]Map

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Good evening,

I am sending this email to inform you that I support the approved map ID 74786. I am a homeowner in Atascadero, a nurse in a local hospital, a mom of four children and very active in our community. Thank you for your service and representation.

Valerie Moore

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

**Maria G. Brown**

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Rodney Blackner [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 5:45 PM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please continue to support map 74786. I feel it best follows state guidelines. Thank you, Rod Blackner

Sent from my iPhone

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]New District Map Splits Latinx Communities

---

**From:** Ramon Esquivel [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 7:51 PM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]New District Map Splits Latinx Communities

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

San Luis Obispo County Supervisors,

I am a Mexican-American citizen who moved to San Luis Obispo County on July 1, 2021. I make my home in the city of San Luis Obispo, and I find myself spending time in the Five Cities area. When I visit my friends in Nipomo and Oceano, it warms my heart to feel the vibrancy of the Latino community there. I am disappointed to learn that gerrymandering, which has become a political controversy at the national level, is happening here at the county level too. The only reason to place Oceano in a different district than Nipomo is a political one: it is a move aimed to dilute the political power of the Latino communities that are concentrated there.

Community organizations and resources that serve communities in both Nipomo and Oceano will have additional obstacles in securing support because they will need to lobby different supervisors. It will create more bureaucracy. Though the map has already been accepted, I wanted to write to record my opposition.

— Ramón Esquivel

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]Map

---

**From:** Valerie Moore [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 8:19 PM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]Map

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Good evening,

I am sending this email to inform you that I support the approved map ID 74786. I am a homeowner in Atascadero, a nurse in a local hospital, a mom of four children and very active in our community. Thank you for your service and representation.

Valerie Moore

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail on Android](#)



## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]Redistricting lines

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Kannyn January [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 8:31 PM  
**To:** Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]Redistricting lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To whom it may concern:

I am a San Luis Obispo County resident and I am in support of the proposed map 74786. My business and home are in San Luis Obispo and the way the city is split does not support the community at large. I believe this encompasses whole communities as it should be.

Thank you,  
Kannyn January

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]Complete the vote to adopt the preferred map ID 74786 Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Marcus [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 8:33 PM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]Complete the vote to adopt the preferred map ID 74786 Ordinance

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

Thank you for following the rule of law and adopting redistricting map ID 74786!

Marcus

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]Complete the vote to adopt the preferred map ID 74786 Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Jim [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 8:53 PM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]Complete the vote to adopt the preferred map ID 74786 Ordinance

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors:

I commend you for your dedication to follow the law governing redistricting rather than compromising with pressures from opponents that would lead you toward self-interest or party preferences. You'll hear plenty from other citizens citing the elements of the Fair Map Act, so I will leave that to them. Rather, I urge you all to remain courageous, rich in integrity and committed to doing what is best for our magnificent county!

Adopt the map.

Sincerely,

James Douglas

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]Support for approved map ID 74786

-----Original Message-----

From: Mariela Polkow [REDACTED] >  
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 9:40 PM  
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: [EXT]Support for approved map ID 74786

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

This email is in support of the approved map ID 74786. This map follows the redistricting criteria\*, keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole and also brings Cal Poly and SLO City together. Partisan data cannot be used to create districts. Political data, voter registration data, incumbent residence locations, possible impacts on candidate, political parties and incumbents cannot be used to create redistricting.

\* District lines will be adopted using the following criteria in order of priority:

- 1 Each district shall be reasonably equal in total resident population to the other districts, except where deviation is required to comply with the Federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 or allowable by law.
  - 2 Districts shall comply with the Federal Voting Rights Act of 1965.
  - 3 Districts shall be geographically contiguous.
  - 4 The geographic integrity of city, local neighborhood, or community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division.
  - 5 To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria in this subdivision, supervisorial districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.
- In addition to the above criteria, districts shall not be drawn for or purposes of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party."

Thank you,  
Mariela Polkow

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]Patten Map Illegal

-----Original Message-----

**From:** alan thomas [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 10:25 PM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]Patten Map Illegal

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The Patten Map clearly violates the California Fair Maps Act and the U.S. Constitution. To vote in favor of this will deprive numerous SLO County residents of their voting rights. I am appalled at the actions of the three conservatives on the BOS in supporting this blatantly partisan redistricting. I will work tirelessly to make sure our democracy is preserved and none of you are re-elected.

Alan Thomas  
San Luis Obispo

Sent from my iPad

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]Complete the vote to adopt the preferred map ID 74786 Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Marie Caulkins <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 10:26 PM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]Complete the vote to adopt the preferred map ID 74786 Ordinance

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom it May Concern,

I am asking that you complete the vote to adopt the preferred map, ID 74786.

Supervisorial district boundaries should be geographically contiguous, the geographic integrity of local communities should be respected in a way that minimizes it's division, and supervisorial districts should be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a way that nearby areas of population aren't bypassed in favor of more distant populations.

Please adopt the preferred map ID 74786.

Thank you,

Marie Caulkins  
[REDACTED]

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]Subject: Redistrict boundaries

---

**From:** John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 2:57 PM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]Subject: Redistrict boundaries

**VICKI JANSSEN**, Legislative Assistant  
First District Supervisor John Peschong  
1055 Monterey St., D430  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408  
(805)781-4491/Fax (805) 781-1350  
[vjanssen@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:vjanssen@co.slo.ca.us)



COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

**From:** Paul Carlisle [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 9:54 AM  
**To:** John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>; District 4 <district4@co.slo.ca.us>; BOS\_District 5\_Web Contact <district5@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]Subject: Redistrict boundaries

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

**Subject:** Redistrict boundaries

Dear Supervisors,

After reviewing the most recent census and the guidelines for setting Supervisor districts, there is no apparent reason to significantly alter the current district boundaries in SLO County. Especially now that officials must consider "communities of interest" as one of the criteria when redrawing boundaries to reflect population changes.

The board majority has said it wants to put the city of San Luis Obispo into one district instead of three. The Patten Map doesn't even accomplish that. The Patten Map manages to divide many more; communities which share primary schools; while joining communities which have

little or no common physical boundaries. There appears to be no other reason to redraw districts, than to keep the board majority in power. STOP THE POWER GRAB!

In addition, adopting The Patten Map would leave many constituents unable to vote in the next supervisorial election cycle; there by taking away their legal right to representation.

Please do not spend time and money on a task that is unnecessary and will only add fuel to the fire that is ravaging current politics at all levels of government including right here in SLO County.

Show ALL the people of SLO County your better selves; show that you value ALL of us; as neighbors & constituents.

In short, please vote to leave SLO Supervisor Districts 1-5 the way they currently stand.

Best regards,

Paul & Laurel Carlisle  
SLO County residence



## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]Complete the vote to adopt the preferred map ID 74786 by approval of the ordinance

---

**From:** David Madsen [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 12:35 AM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; +darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us  
**Subject:** [EXT]Complete the vote to adopt the preferred map ID 74786 by approval of the ordinance

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I know that 55,844 is the correct population for the map and the number for each district supervisor. Thank you. Diane Madsen Atascadero, Ca

**Maria G. Brown**

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]patten map

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Dave Paradies [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 4:23 AM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]patten map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Who is Richard Patten(please provide a Curriculum vitae).

dave paradies

--

This email has been checked for viruses by AVG.

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.avg.com%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C2f02de4c3acc42c4ea7808d9bfefaa10%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637751858325154971%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&data=%2Fw93eZWkdf31iaPtJT%2Fq8qRS5IHqL1j%2F%2FGJW39NJETk%3D&reserved=0>

## **Maria G. Brown**

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]My vote

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Nancy [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 8:27 AM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]My vote

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am not interested in changing the redistributing map. To lump morro bay to Avila is not an option nor does it make any sense. I have lived here most of my life, I think of myself as as a north coast girl living in cambria , Cayucos and morro bay. Please do not move forward with any changes to the map . Thank you. Nancy

Sent from my iPhone

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Maria G. Brown  
FW: [EXT]#73786

---

**From:** Gwen Bullard [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 8:43 AM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]#73786

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please support approved ID # 74786

Gwen bullard

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: Item #26 FW: [EXT]Stop unfair redistricting 12/14/2021

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 9:09 AM  
**To:** BOS\_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS\_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; AD-Board-Clerk <ad\_board\_clerk@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** Item #26 FW: [EXT]Stop unfair redistricting 12/14/2021

For your review, I was unable to find this constituent in Voter Reg. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors, the Board-Clerk and redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Marie Estrada

Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C429bb17fa1d64b3bc97908d9bfefbc0f%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637751858627914329%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh aWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=0IAMPi0lvcq9V69KxKXUVA3%2BHpFfwV3xPWXBsND9z%2B4%3D&reserved=0>

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Becky Adams [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 8:21 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]Stop unfair redistricting 12/14/2021

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the Board,

The Republican majority on the Board's argument that party affiliation cannot be a criteria for creating redistricting maps is wrong.

Lumping Democrats, who comprise a majority of voters in this county, into one or two districts, violates one of the tenets of redistricting; others include keeping communities of interest together and keeping population consistent.

Our population only increased 3.5% in the last decade. You could have, and should have, kept the status quo in order to not radically upend communities, removing many thousands from their right to vote in 2022.

Ms Compton, Ms. Arnold, Mr. Peschong: what you've done is undemocratic to the extreme. Conservative and liberals alike agree. A Cal Poly political science professor with a specialty in redistricting agrees. Two retired police chiefs agree. The consultant hired to aid the county's redistricting decision, the former county clerk, and community leaders from all walks of life, including the current president of the county Bar association, agree that your choice is undemocratic in the extreme.

You are voting today to install the map, of your foregone plan, that you never subjected to proper analysis, because it cannot hold up to such scrutiny. It's is blatantly obvious that your plan is to ensure the minority party the upper hand for the foreseeable future. It wasn't enough to have secured the majority you have now by the slimmest of margins in a fair election. You have to stack the deck and disenfranchise thousands upon thousands of voters to do it.

Your act is improper, shameful, disgraceful, unconscionable. It shows how unworthy you, who are voting for the Patten Map, are to leading and representing your constituents in San Luis Obispo County.

Sincerely

Rebecca Adams  
Registered Voter

[REDACTED]

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment Item #26

---

**From:** District 3 <district3@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 9:50 AM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; BOS\_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS\_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** Public Comment Item #26

Thank you,



### Sarah Sartain

Legislative Assistant, District 3  
Office of Supervisor Dawn Ortiz-Legg  
County of San Luis Obispo  
1055 Monterey Street, San Luis Obispo, Ca 93408

**Phone:** 805-781-4336

**Email:** [ssartain@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:ssartain@co.slo.ca.us)

[www.slocounty.ca.gov](http://www.slocounty.ca.gov)



---

**From:** Holly Shuster-Schmitt [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 7:25 AM  
**To:** Dawn Ortiz-Legg <[dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us)>  
**Subject:** [EXT]redistricting

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I urge you to vote for the redistricting map developed by Richard Patton. After research of rules, it adheres to the legalities of redistricting.

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

---

**From:** Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 10:47 AM  
**To:** BOS\_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS\_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; AD-Board-Clerk <ad\_board\_clerk@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors, the Board Clerk and redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Marie Estrada  
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential  
Board of Supervisors  
[www.slocounty.ca.gov](http://www.slocounty.ca.gov)  
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

---

**From:** Web Notifications <[webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 10:26 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors <[Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us)>  
**Subject:** Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Sharie Goodfellow

Your Email [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: I oppose your intention to approve the Patten voter redistricting map for SLO county. There is no legal or imminent reason except political underhandedness to approve a map that so distorts SLO county's population distribution. According to reputable news outlets, your decision to do so will be legally challenged. I will support those efforts to legally challenge your decision to approve the Patten voter redistricting map.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 779820

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2951

Form inserted: 12/14/2021 10:25:50 AM



Form updated: 12/14/2021 10:25:50 AM

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]Gerrymandering

---

**From:** Joe Montecalvo [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 11:58 AM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]Gerrymandering

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am both appalled and angry at the local Republican party's efforts to gerrymander all of SLO county. It should be of no surprise to anyone who is maintaining a watchful eye on the degree of Republican gerrymandering throughout the country. When a political party cannot compete in an open forum of ideas, this is what happens. Everyone who values free, open and fair elections needs to raise holy hell. The three Republican operative supervisors have shown that honesty and integrity don't seem to matter but by voting on a politically corrupt proposal does. If they had any sense of honor, all three would resign. But we know that won't happen. Will the people of SLO county support corrupt politicians, let us all see. I condemn this whole gerrymandering process and I urge other good government Republicans to vote with me against any politician who advocates gerrymandering in order to seek an election advantage.

Joe Montecalvo

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Maria G. Brown  
FW: [EXT]Comments on proposed redistricting

---

**From:** Jeff Hunt [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 1:51 PM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]Comments on proposed redistricting

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Any attempt at redistricting at the very least should be decided by the voters. The current effort to redraw the districts is an obvious attempt by the republican party to gain an advantage in upcoming elections. I have voted republican, democrat and independent in the past but if this jerrymandering of the districts is adopted I can assure you I will never vote republican again. This is a violation of our basic voting rights and cannot stand. Any politician who votes in favor of the proposed new districts is violating their oath of office and the constitution.

*W. Jeffrey Hunt*

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]Opposition to Proposed Changes of SLO Count's District Map

---

**From:** Margaret Wagner [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 1:53 PM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]Opposition to Proposed Changes of SLO Count's District Map

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom It May Concern:

We are writing to vehemently oppose the proposed changes to San Luis Obispo County's district map. This is the result of blatant gerrymandering and our county supervisors who presented bogus arguments and refused to listen to opposing points of view all in the interest of political gain should be ashamed of themselves.

What has happened to public servants? Do they not realize that their jobs involve decency, truth and compromise?

The proposed map should be put to the vote of the people, or does democracy no longer exist?

Thank you for the consideration,

Maggie Wagner, DVM  
Markus Mumper

## Maria G. Brown

---

**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: [EXT]Fwd: Letter and Attachments Re: Redistricting of Supervisorial Districts  
**Attachments:** BOS Letter for 12.7.21 .pdf; santa-margarita-community-plan.pdf; SLO County Advisory Council Handbook.pdf; SMAAC Bylaws.pdf

---

**From:** Charles Kleemann [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 8:28 AM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Annette Ramirez <aramirez@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT]Fwd: Letter and Attachments Re: Redistricting of Supervisorial Districts

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

It is unclear if this prior correspondence from Dec. 5 has been entered into the public record regarding the redistricting process. It does not appear to be within the comments on the County's redistricting page so I am re-sending at this time.

I apologize for any redundancy if the previous submittal has already been placed in the public record.

Thank you,

Charlie Kleemann  
805-441-0229

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Charles Kleemann [REDACTED]  
**Date:** Sun, Dec 5, 2021 at 8:03 PM  
**Subject:** Letter and Attachments Re: Redistricting of Supervisorial Districts  
**To:** <[redistricting@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:redistricting@co.slo.ca.us)>, <[darnold@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:darnold@co.slo.ca.us)>, <[lcompton@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:lcompton@co.slo.ca.us)>, <[jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us)>, Bruce Gibson <[bgibson@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:bgibson@co.slo.ca.us)>, <[dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us)>

Please include my attached letter + the 3 additional attachments in the public record for the Redistricting of Supervisorial Districts currently in progress.

Thank you,  
Charles Kleemann  
[REDACTED]

December 6, 2021

To: San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors

Re: Redistricting of Supervisorial Districts

After seeing the adopted Redistricting Map for the first time at its December 1, 2021 meeting, the Chairperson for the Santa Margarita Area Advisory Council (SMAAC) proposed a letter (Exhibit A of this document) requesting that boundaries of the map be revised to limit the number of districts SMAAC would fall within. While this SMAAC meeting did not have a quorum (which is 11), 9 of the 10 representatives in attendance at that meeting voted without hesitation to endorse the letter's request to leave intact an Advisory Council that has been in existence since 1974.

Santa Margarita Area Advisory Council (SMAAC) was created in 1974 as an advisory board to the 5th District Supervisor on issues that may affect the indicated areas, to listen to public comment regarding these issues, and make suggestions to county staff. SMAAC meets the first Wednesday of each month with exception to July & January, unless otherwise voted by the council.

#### A Community of Interest

It would be hard to put forth a better example of a community of interest than the area SMAAC has served (and been named after) for the past 47 years. The California Election Code defines a community of interest (COI) as a contiguous population which shares common social, cultural, and economic interests and should be included within a single supervisorial district for the purpose of effective and fair representation. The current boundaries for the SMAAC (Exhibits B, C, and D of this document) frame a historically well defined COI. The town of Santa Margarita is surrounded by the Santa Margarita Ranch and Garden Farms, and is the natural gathering center for the rural areas that surround it. SMAAC includes 2 representatives from each of the areas listed below. Currently all of these areas are in District 5. The new supervisorial district they would each fall within according to the adopted map being proposed is denoted in parenthesis.

- South Atascadero (D2)
- Garden Farms (D2)
- Santa Margarita Ranch (D1, D2, D4)
- Santa Margarita (D1)
- Tassajara Canyon (D2)
- Hwy 58 out to 229 (D1)
- Parkhill Rd. (D1)
- Las Pilitas Rd. (D1, D4)
- Rinconada (D4)
- Pozo (D4)

The importance of providing avenues for community members to define their communities by telling their own stories and describing their concerns to policy makers cannot be overstated. Without such opportunities those who may not have their best interests in mind will define the communities for them. The areas within the current boundaries of SMAAC have clearly defined themselves to be a Community of Interest for nearly 5 decades. The currently adopted map proposes to divide this long existing COI into 3 new supervisorial districts. Ignoring the significant impacts such a radical change inflicts upon this community does not appear to be a good faith effort to provide fair and effective representation that would meet the requirements of the California State Election Code.

I am requesting that you please include this correspondence, along with all exhibits and attachments, into the public record.

Thank you,



Charles Kleemann  
Rural Santa Margarita

**Exhibits:**

Exhibit A - December 1, 2021 letter from SMAAC Chairperson (pg. 3)

Exhibit B - County's overview map of Advisory Council boundaries (pg. 4)

Exhibit C - Screenshot01 of SMAAC boundaries (kmz) imported into Google Earth

Exhibit D - Screenshot02 of SMAAC boundaries (kmz) imported into Google Earth

**Attachments:**

Bylaws of Santa Margarita Area Advisory Council

San Luis Obispo County Community Advisory Council Handbook

Santa Margarita Community Plan

**Exhibit A - 12.01.21 Letter from SMAAC Chairperson**

December 1, 2021

To: Supervisor Arnold  
Supervisor Compton  
Supervisor Gibson  
Supervisor Ortiz-Legg  
Supervisor Peschong

Re: County Redistricting and the Santa Margarita Area Advisory Council

Dear Supervisors,

As chairperson of the Santa Margarita Area Advisory Council, I am writing this letter to express concern shared by the council members listed below, regarding the redistricting map selected by the Board of Supervisors at your November 30<sup>th</sup> meeting.

The Santa Margarita Area Advisory Council was created in 1974, making it the longest functioning Advisory Council in the county, as well as one of the geographically largest. We have maintained a long-standing relationship with the 5<sup>th</sup> District Supervisor over the last almost 50 years.

Our concern is the new map will put the Advisory Council in 3 separate Supervisorial Districts. No other county Advisory Council will be impacted to this degree. We feel this could be detrimental to the council members and our constituents. One of the many concerns is this will decrease our ability to receive reports and interact with our Supervisor at our monthly meetings as well as reduce any influence of our concerns upon our Supervisor. Parts of our area will be in the south county and coastal districts which have no commonality to our actual area.


We are requesting that revisions in the map boundaries be made to limit the number of Districts the Santa Margarita Area Advisory Council falls in and to keep our advisory council area intact.

Sincerely,

Stacey Phillips, Chairperson, Pozo  
George Sullivan, Tassajara Canyon  
Eric Booker, Parkhill Road  
Stuart Souza, Garden Farms  
Connor Whiteford, Rinconada  
Keith Wales, South Atascadero  
Simone Smith, Santa Margarita  
John Wilkins, Santa Margarita  
Tamara Kleeman, Highway 58



**Exhibit B** - Map illustrating boundaries of SLO County Advisory Bodies



This map shows the current boundaries of the various Advisory Councils throughout SLO County. SMAAC is the largest area at center in lighter blue. The map was available as a KMZ file that enabled it to be placed in Google Earth (GE). Once imported into GE, the areas are able to be magnified and examined in detail. They become much easier to evaluate with roadways and geography present. Subsequent Exhibits C and D are derived from that process.


**Exhibit C and D** are GE screenshots of specific areas within the current boundaries of SMAAC.



**Exhibit C** - The red line is the current SMAAC boundary. This view illustrates the geography and contiguous relationship between Santa Margarita, Santa Margarita Ranch Headquarters, Garden Farms, and Atascadero as they align along the El Camino corridor. In the adopted map currently proposed, Santa Margarita would be in D1 but Santa Margarita Ranch Headquarters, along with Garden Farms and Atascadero would fall within D2. It appears that other portions of the Santa Margarita Ranch (SMR) would fall within both District 1 (between El Camino Real and 58) and District 4 (along Pozo Rd.). These changes appear completely unnecessary, dismantle a well established COI, and fail to create supervisorial districts that are easily identifiable.



## **Exhibit D**



**Exhibit D** - Again, the red line is existing SMAAC boundary. In the currently adopted map being proposed, Rinconada and Pozo would fall within D4. Parkhill Rd. would be within D1, and Las Pilitas Rd. appears to straddle D1 and D4 (depending on what side of the road you live on?) In the area captured within this screenshot, portions of Santa Margarita Ranch would fall within Districts 1 and 4.

# **Santa Margarita Community Plan**

This page intentionally left blank.

## Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Introduction.....	1-1
1.1 Purpose.....	1-1
1.2 Relationship to General Plan.....	1-1
1.3 Features of the Plan.....	1-1
1.4 Setting.....	1-3
Chapter 2: Population and Economy.....	2-1
2.1 Population.....	2-1
2.2 Housing.....	2-1
2.3 Economy.....	2-1
Chapter 3: Public Facilities, Services, and Resources.....	3-1
3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
3.2 Status of Public Facilities, Services, and Resources.....	3-1
3.3 Community Service Programs.....	3-4
Chapter 4: Land Use.....	4-1
4.1 Introduction.....	4-1
4.2 Distribution of Land Uses.....	4-1
4.3 Santa Margarita Development Capacity.....	4-2
4.4 Major Land Use Issues.....	4-2
4.5 Santa Margarita Land Use Categories.....	4-3
4.6 Land Use Programs.....	4-5
Chapter 5: Circulation Element.....	5-1
5.1 North County Circulation Issues and Objectives.....	5-2
5.2 Roads.....	5-2
5.3 Other Means of Transportation.....	5-5
5.4 Circulation Programs.....	5-6
Chapter 6: Combining Designations.....	6-1

6.1	Introduction.....	6-1
6.2	Santa Margarita Community Plan Combining Designations.....	6-1
6.3	Proposed Public Facilities.....	6-1
6.4	Combining Designations and Proposed Public Facilities Programs.....	6-2
Chapter 7: Santa Margarita Community Design Plan.....		7-1

## List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Regional Map .....	1-3
Figure 3-1: County Waterworks District No. 6 .....	3-2
Figure 3-2: Projected Water Demand - Santa Margarita .....	3-2
Figure 3-3: Public School Enrollment - Santa Margarita .....	3-3
Figure 4-1: Santa Margarita Development Capacity .....	4-2
Figure 5-1: Circulation Element .....	5-2
Figure 5-2: El Camino Real Improvements - Santa Margarita .....	5-4

## List of Tables

Table 2-1: Housing and Income Information .....	2-1
Table 3-1: Schedule for Completing Public Facilities, Services, and Resources Programs.....	3-5
Table 4-1: Land Use Category Acreage .....	4-1
Table 4-2: Schedule for Completing Land Use Program .....	4-5
Table 5-1: Schedule for Completing Circulation Programs .....	5-7
Table 6-1: Schedule for Completing Combining Designation and Public Facilities Programs ....	6-3

# Chapter 1: Introduction

---

## 1.1 Purpose

The Santa Margarita Community Plan establishes a vision for the future that will guide land use and transportation over the next 20 years.

## 1.2 Relationship to General Plan

This community plan is part of Part III of the Land Use Element and Circulation Elements (LUCE) of the County General Plan. This plan is consistent with the other elements of the County General Plan. All other County plans, policies and programs that involve the community of Santa Margarita and are subject to the County General Plan are to be consistent with and implement this plan. In addition, where applicable, all public and private development is to be consistent with the LUCE, including this community plan. It should be recognized, however, that this plan is subject to higher legal authority; for example, federal and state statutes, case law, and regulations.

**Note:** The terms “Land Use and Circulation Elements (LUCE)” and “Land Use Element (LUE)” are used interchangeably throughout this document and the County Land Use Ordinance.

The Framework for Planning (LUCE Part I) is the central policy document, while this plan contains policies and programs more specifically applicable to this community. In accordance with the Framework for Planning, allowable densities (intensity of land use) are established. In addition to the Framework for Planning, the North County Area Plan contains regional land use and circulation goals, policies, and programs that apply to Santa Margarita.

The Land Use Ordinance contains development regulations that are applicable countywide, as well as standards and guidelines for local communities that may be different than the county-wide regulations. The Santa Margarita Design Plan was adopted by the County Board of Supervisors on October 9, 2001 and is incorporated by reference into the Land Use Ordinance, Title 22 of the County Code.

## 1.3 Features of the Plan

This plan describes County land use and transportation policies for a 20-year time frame in the community of Santa Margarita, including regulations also adopted in the Land Use Ordinance and Land Use Element. All information contained in this plan is taken from the Salinas River Area Plan, which was last updated on January 2, 1996. Only non-substantive edits have been made to this text for consistent formatting and to reflect the new organization of the LUCE. No changes have been made to reflect current conditions in Santa Margarita.

This plan includes the following major features:

### Background Information

This plan provides information on land use, population, availability of resources and public services, and environmental characteristics. This information (current as of 1996) is the basis for many of the plan recommendations.



## Policies, Programs and Standards

In addition to countywide policies in Framework for Planning, the North County Area Plan contains areawide land use and circulation policies affecting the community of Santa Margarita. These policies are implemented in Santa Margarita through the recommended programs in Chapters 3 through 6 of this plan and the standards in Article 10 of the Land Use Ordinance (Chapter 22.104 - North County Area Communities and Villages).

Proposed programs listed at the end of Chapters 3 through 6 are non-mandatory actions that may be initiated by the County, communities, or other agencies to correct or improve local problems or conditions, and to otherwise help implement the goals and policies of the North County Area Plan. Since many recommended programs involve public expenditures, their initiation depends upon availability of funding. Areawide programs listed in the North County Area Plan may also affect the community of Santa Margarita.

Specific, mandatory development standards are included in Article 10 of the Land Use Ordinance that address special conditions in communities and neighborhoods and help implement the goals and policies of this plan. These standards address land use, public services, circulation, sensitive resources, and natural hazards (the latter two overlays are called "combining designations"). The standards provide criteria for detailed evaluation of development projects.

Chapter 7 provides reference information for the Santa Margarita Community Design Plan, which is incorporated by reference into the Land Use Ordinance, Title 22 of the County Code.

## Resource Management

Chapter 3 describes the existing and future status of water supply, sewage disposal, schools, and other public services in the Santa Margarita. Included are estimates of population thresholds at which potential resource capacity problems may occur. Chapter 6 includes descriptions of flood hazards and historic resources. While this plan focuses on public facilities, services, and resources within the Santa Margarita urban area, the North County Plan addresses these topics on a regional scale.

## Area Plan Maps

Land use, circulation and combining designation maps are shown following Chapters 4, 5 and 6, respectively. They illustrate:

- **Land Use Categories** - which determine the uses that are allowable on a piece of property, including density and intensity of development.
- **Combining Designations** - which identify areas of flood hazards, historic sites, and public facilities.
- **Circulation** - which consists of roads and pedestrian, bicycle, and equestrian facilities.

Due to scale limitations, the maps in this plan are for reference purposes only. The official maps (LUCE Part IV) are available at the County Department of Planning and Building.

# 1.4 Setting

The unincorporated community of Santa Margarita is home to approximately 1,279 residents (1995) and is located in the North County planning area about five miles south of Atascadero, in the Salinas River sub-area.



Figure 1-1: Regional Map

This page intentionally left blank.

## Chapter 2: Population and Economy

---

This chapter focuses on the population and economy of Santa Margarita. The discussion in this chapter is current as of the last major update to the Salinas River Area Plan (1996).

### 2.1 Population

In 1995, the population is estimated at 1,279, or 9 percent above the population of 1,173 in 1990, which was an average annual growth rate of 1.8 percent. This population represents 2.1 percent of the total Salinas River sub-area population. The 1990 population was 32 percent above 1980, growing at an average annual rate of 3.2 percent. Santa Margarita's population is projected to increase 20 percent from 1995 to 2015, at a rate lower than the total projected county population.

### 2.2 Housing

The 1990 census estimated that the housing stock consisted of 429 dwellings, of which 35 or 7.5 percent were vacant. Santa Margarita's housing information is shown in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1: Housing and Income Information	
Occupied Households	429
Vacant Households	35
Vacancy Rate (percent)	7.5

Source: 1990 U.S. Census

### 2.3 Economy

Santa Margarita's economy is intertwined with and, in many ways, inseparable from the economy of the larger North County region. The North County Area Plan (LUCE Part II) describes Santa Margarita's role in the North County economy and establishes regional economic goals. The achievement of these goals will depend on the cooperation of all North County communities, including Santa Margarita.

While the area is expected to retain a stable economy mainly oriented toward the convenience needs of local residents, commercial growth is expected to be slow. Major shopping needs are satisfied by regional commercial centers in San Luis Obispo and Atascadero. Similarly, employment needs of the local labor force are met in San Luis Obispo, and to a lesser extent in Atascadero.

This page intentionally left blank.

# Chapter 3: Public Facilities, Services, and Resources

## 3.1 Introduction

Chapter 3 provides a description of public facilities, services and basic resources within Santa Margarita. It identifies capacities and compares them with current and projected demand levels, based on 1995 information. It then identifies appropriate program options that the County might use to deal with current and potential deficiencies. In addition, this chapter identifies programs for improving our basic understanding of existing and potential resources.

**NOTE:** This chapter describes service levels and available resource capacities as of the last major update to the Salinas River Area Plan (1996). More recent data is available in the County's Resource Summary Report, which is updated every two years.

Appropriate levels of service for urban, suburban and rural areas are discussed in Framework for Planning (LUCE Part I). Appropriate development levels within Santa Margarita are addressed in Chapter 4 of this plan. The intent of Chapter 3 is to provide the public and decision makers with basic information and a range of options to be considered when evaluating growth and development issues. Where appropriate, resource narratives are augmented by graphs indicating estimated resource demand as the population increases toward build-out. Projections of future demand are by the Department of Planning and Building, based upon 1995 per capita demand and a constant annual growth rate.

In addition to the discussion in this chapter, the North County Area Plan describes regional facilities and services that are not necessarily based in Santa Margarita but are available to North County residents. The Area Plan also describes natural resources and environmental characteristics in the larger North County region, including geological resources, groundwater, soils and agriculture, biological resources, visual resources, and air quality.

## 3.2 Status of Public Facilities, Services, and Resources

Santa Margarita is an unincorporated community under the administration of the County. Two County districts and one independent district presently service the area with water, a cemetery and street lighting. Fire protection is provided by an independent district.

### Water Supply

County Waterworks District No. 6 (refer to Figure 3-1) provides water to the residents of Santa Margarita from three wells located in the Paso Robles groundwater basin. Long term water availability is a function of the total amount of water extracted from this basin by all users. However, the basin has not yet been studied to the extent necessary to provide an accurate estimate of dependable yield for the Santa Margarita area. System capacity is approximately 256 acre-feet per year.

In September 1990, declining water levels in the district's wells created concern that the community could run out of water before the beginning of the rainy season. Residents were asked to reduce consumption by 20 percent until the wells were replenished by rain.

With funding provided by a state construction loan, the district's third well was completed in 1993 and a new 150,000 gallon storage tank was finished in 1994. Current and projected

water demand for Santa Margarita is shown in Figure 3-2, below. Waterworks District No. 6 is participating in the Lake Nacimiento project and has submitted a request for 100 acre-feet of supplemental water.



Figure 3-1: County Waterworks District No. 6




Figure 3-2: Projected Water Demand - Santa Margarita  
 Source: County Department of Planning and Building

## Sewage Disposal

The community of Santa Margarita has no community-wide sewage collection and treatment system. Instead, on-site septic systems are used. The location of urban densities on clay soils, combined with poor storm drainage, have created problems for successful septic system operation. In the 1970's, septic systems in Santa Margarita had a 19 percent failure rate during periods of seasonal flooding. Since then, engineered septic systems have been required by the County, and they have shown better performance. However, the Health Department does not administer an annual septic maintenance inspection program, and the current failure rate is not precisely known.

According to the county Environmental Health Department, drainage problems still exist in Santa Margarita. However, with suitable drainage control, the long term use of septic systems could be feasible if the systems are properly maintained by owners. Development of existing lots should provide adequate areas for leach fields and drainage control. Formation of a flood control zone of benefit would enable the community to pay the necessary costs to resolve flooding problems.

In the meantime, annual inspections for faulty septic systems would reduce potential health hazards.

## Schools

The Santa Margarita Elementary School is operated by the Atascadero Unified School District. For 1993-94, the school had an enrollment of 455 students compared to a capacity of 435. The School District anticipates that short term enrollment increases can be accommodated by the addition of re-locatable classrooms. Enrollment projections are shown in Figure 3-3.




Figure 3-3: Public School Enrollment - Santa Margarita

Source: Atascadero Unified School District; County Department of Planning and Building



## Parks

Santa Margarita County Park and the elementary school are Santa Margarita's principal recreation facilities. In addition, the county recreation area at Santa Margarita Lake is approximately ten miles away.

## Fire Protection

Fire protection for Santa Margarita is provided by a volunteer fire company under the direction of a paid fire chief. The department, located on El Camino Real in the center of town, has two fire engines to serve the community, which provides a minimum level of service. CDF/County Fire provides dispatching service to the department and has an automatic and mutual aid agreement.

## Police Protection

Uniformed patrol Santa Margarita is provided by the San Luis Obispo County Sheriff's Department through the north county Station.

## Drainage

The community is vulnerable to flooding because the soils are clay and significantly inhibit surface water percolation. Additionally, Santa Margarita Creek and Yerba Buena Creek are identified as flood prone creeks. However, there currently is no county flood control zone of benefit in place to deal with the resolution of flooding problems.

## 3.3 Community Service Programs

"Programs" are specific non-mandatory actions or policies recommended by the Land Use Element to achieve community or areawide objectives identified in this community plan. The implementation of each LUE program is the responsibility of the County or other public agency identified in the program itself.

Because programs (some of which include special studies) are recommended actions rather than mandatory requirements, implementation of any program should be based on consideration of community needs and substantial community support for the program and its related cost.

**NOTE:** In addition to the programs listed here, the North County Area Plan contains regional programs that may also affect the community of Santa Margarita.

The following public service programs apply to Santa Margarita. Table 3-1 identifies the responsible agencies, potential funding sources and the preferred time-frames for completion.

1. **Flood Control in Santa Margarita and Garden Farms.** The Public Works Department should work with the communities of Santa Margarita and Garden Farms to identify the extent of flooding problems and their consequences on individual sewage disposal systems. Formation of a flood control zone should be considered, if appropriate, as a means to resolving the identified problems.
2. **Development of a Sewage Disposal Maintenance Program.** A study of failure rates of individual sewage disposal systems should be conducted to determine the need for a regular maintenance program.

3. **Consolidation of Service Districts.** Either a County Service Area (CSA) or a Community Services District (CSD) should be considered to consolidate the provision of services and provide a focal point for public involvement in local decisions.

**Table 3-1: Schedule for Completing Public Facilities, Services, and Resources Programs**

Title	Responsible Agency	Potential Funding <sup>1</sup>	Timeframe (years) <sup>2</sup>	Priority <sup>3</sup>
1. Flooding Study in Santa Margarita and Garden Farms	County Public Works	Flood Control District	3-5	High
2. Sewage Disposal System Maintenance Study	County Health Department	N/A	5-10	Low
3. Consolidation	County Public Works, Planning	Flood Control District	1-3	High

Notes:

1. N/A in "Potential Funding" column means that the work would be performed by County staff as a part of the normal agenda of a County department. No special funding is required.
2. Timeframes are from 1996, the date of the last major update of the Salinas River Area Plan.
3. Priority listings are the relative importance within each timeframe.

This page intentionally left blank.

# Chapter 4: Land Use

## 4.1 Introduction

This chapter discusses land use issues affecting the community of Santa Margarita and contains programs intended to achieve the community’s vision, consistent with the areawide land use goals and policies described in the North County Area Plan.

The Land Use Element official maps separate the community into land use categories, which define regulations for land uses, density and intensity of use. The program at the end of this chapter recommends actions by the County to address land use and growth-related issues in the community of Santa Margarita. Other land use programs are listed in the North County Area Plan for the rural portions of the planning area.

Santa Margarita is a community that provides a pleasant small-town living environment with tree-lined streets and a traditional neighborhood layout. It is planned to contain businesses for daily shopping needs and area services. Employment will be scaled to the size of the town and its location between the north county urban corridor and the rural areas to the south and east. Any development decisions about the surrounding Santa Margarita Ranch will be integrally related to the community.

## 4.2 Distribution of Land Uses

The primary method of allocating land uses within Santa Margarita is through the mapping of 14 land use categories. The uses that are allowed within each category are shown in Article 2 of the Land Use Ordinance. Further limitations on allowable uses may be imposed by standards located in Article 10 of the Land Use Ordinance (Chapter 22.104 – North County Area Communities and Villages).

The location and distribution of the land use categories is shown in the official maps on file in the Department of Planning and Building and on the informational report map at the end of this chapter.

Table 4-1 summarizes the acreage of each land use category in Santa Margarita. Rural land use is summarized in the North County Area Plan.

<b>Land Use Categories</b>	<b>Acreage</b>
Agriculture	0
Rural Lands	0
Recreation	18
Open Space	0
Residential Rural	0
Residential Suburban	82
Residential Single Family	78
Residential Multi-Family	5
Office and Professional	0
Commercial Retail	9
Commercial Service	5
Industrial	13
Public Facilities	11
Dalidio Ranch	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>221</b>

### 4.3 Santa Margarita Development Capacity

Santa Margarita is close to being built-out in its residential areas. It has enough land designated for a 100 percent increase in commercial development.




Figure 4-1: Santa Margarita Development Capacity

### 4.4 Major Land Use Issues

The following are issues concerning residents and property owners of Santa Margarita. Each issue is addressed by the response desired by the community.

- 1. Development on the Santa Margarita Ranch will have impacts on the quality of life within the community.** Before any significant development of Santa Margarita Ranch, a specific plan must be prepared and address impacts on Santa Margarita, as required by standards in Article 10 of the Land Use Ordinance (Chapter 22.104 – North County Area Communities and Villages).
- 2. Santa Margarita has problems maintaining its rural character and a neat appearance.** New development within Santa Margarita could be incompatible with the character of the area. Potential changes to the identity of Santa Margarita will be minimized by standards in Article 10 of the Land Use Ordinance (Chapter 22.104 – North County Area Communities and Villages) that require non-residential development to have historical and pedestrian-oriented design features. Any change to expand the urban area as part of a Santa Margarita Ranch development should be carefully scaled to the existing community, as required by standards in Article 10.
- 3. Water supply and quality are limited and subject to a decline.** Monitoring of the water supply and its quality is ongoing through the operation of the county water district. Any necessary growth limitations will be addressed by the Resource Management System through its annual report or any necessary alerts.

## 4.5 Santa Margarita Land Use Categories

The following sections discuss land use within each land use category on the plan maps.

### Residential Suburban

Residential Suburban areas are in the southwest and eastern portions of the Santa Margarita urban reserve line. This land use category recognizes the predominant one to 10 acre lot sizes and the advisability of maintaining lower densities until drainage and sewage disposal concerns are resolved. This category will help maintain the suburban character and will allow keeping larger animals such as horses.

### Residential Single Family

Existing residential uses include single family residences on substandard lots (25 by 150 feet) throughout the community. Most lots, however, have been consolidated to create larger homesites that reflect a suburban village atmosphere. There are also scattered secondary dwellings behind single family dwellings and a mobile home park.

Poor drainage, a high groundwater table and the use of septic systems all combine to create potential problems in the community. Therefore, the minimum building site should be 6,000 square feet with 50 feet of frontage.

Tall residences could be out of scale with neighborhood character, but they should be allowable with a review of their impacts on privacy and consistency with other structures. Features of development that make an architecturally significant neighborhood should be included in new projects, such as varied front building setbacks, placement of garages behind the fronts of houses or on alleys, and attractive fencing.

The residential areas are generally served by adequate paved streets. Equestrian, pedestrian and bicycle traffic utilize the street rights of way. In keeping with the informal suburban character of the community, sidewalks are not necessary in residential areas. Existing lots will continue to allow small animals, but under some necessary constraints so they will not become nuisances. Residences should be continuously upgraded as the community grows, with substandard and dangerous structures being corrected or abated.

Flooding is a recurring problem in flat areas. Water often is retained on site and homes are flooded. To prevent this, a drainage plan may be required for new construction.

### Residential Multi-Family

Multi-family residences are needed in Santa Margarita to provide affordable rental housing. Two locations in the Residential Multi-Family category identify existing development and some opportunities for additional units. Two half blocks along El Camino Real east of Wilhelmina Avenue, and one half block along H Street are designated for multi-family development. Criteria in the Land Use Ordinance for areas with septic systems will limit residential density to 15 units per acre.

### Recreation

Recreation facilities in Santa Margarita include a small community park and passive recreation area with a community building on Pinal Street. A horse arena at Maria Avenue is used for equestrian events. Acquisition of the arena site and a parkway between the community park and arena should be considered before other development occurs. The elementary school

occupies about 14 acres and is comprised largely of open areas devoted to playground equipment and field sports. Tennis facilities are located at the community library site.

### **Commercial Retail**

Retail commercial uses in Santa Margarita should be concentrated along El Camino Real between Murphy and Pinal Streets. Existing businesses mainly provide local convenience goods and services because Santa Margarita is approximately midway between San Luis Obispo and Atascadero, where most residents shop for both major and convenience items.

Presently, an "Old West" theme or building character exists in the commercial area. The area is underutilized and the small-town character is dwarfed in scale by the 100 foot right of way of El Camino Real. Commercial uses are spread along El Camino Real and several are in a poor condition with little available off street parking.

It is envisioned that the central commercial area will expand to serve the basic shopping needs of the community as well as becoming a tourist attraction if many improvements are made to create a western town center. In time, additional commercial and tourist uses will locate in the "downtown" as the community grows and improvements are made. Plans should be prepared for rehabilitation or restoration of existing structures and offsite improvements such as parking and landscaping.

New development should be located at the street front, with parking at the rear with improved alleys to provide vehicular access. This arrangement will be critical for retaining a "downtown" atmosphere that encourages walking and interaction. Circulation programs in Chapter 5 include a sketch showing how parking facilities and community appearance along El Camino Real can be improved for the benefit of residents and the business community.

### **Commercial Service**

Service commercial uses are planned for the westerly portion of El Camino Real adjacent to the railroad. These blocks have a shallow depth that will limit the extent of development. However, it may be possible to abandon some side-street rights-of-way to provide additional land for required parking, as shown in Figure 5-2. New buildings should be located at the front setback to narrow the wide appearance of El Camino Real, with vehicular access and parking located at the rear. Discretionary permit review is needed to evaluate the compatibility of proposed uses with neighboring commercial businesses and residential uses across El Camino Real.

## 4.6 Land Use Programs

"Programs" are specific non-mandatory actions or policies recommended by the Land Use Element to achieve the objectives of this community plan. The implementation of each LUE program is the responsibility of the County or other public agency identified in the program itself. Because programs (some of which include special studies) are recommended actions rather than mandatory requirements, implementation of any program should be based on a consideration of community needs and whether substantial community support exists for the program and its related cost.

**NOTE:** In addition to the programs listed here, the North County Area Plan contains regional programs that may also affect the community of Santa Margarita.

The following program applies within the Santa Margarita Urban Reserve Line. The North County Plan should also be referenced for a list of areawide land use programs that may affect Santa Margarita.

1. **El Camino Real Design Plan.** Work with the community to prepare a design plan for El Camino Real. The plan should include specific standards for siting of structures, building materials, signing, landscaping, parking, lighting, walkways, street furniture and types of uses that would create a desired community character. The design plan should also include recommended means for implementation.

**Table 4-2: Schedule for Completing Land Use Program**


Title	Responsible Agency	Potential Funding <sup>1</sup>	Timeframe (years) <sup>2</sup>	Priority <sup>3</sup>
1. El Camino Real Design	Co. Planning	N/A; volunteers	High	1-3

Notes:

1. N/A in "Potential Funding" column means that the work would be performed by County staff as a part of the normal agenda of a County department. No special funding is required.
2. Timeframes are from 1996, the date of the last major update of the Salinas River Area Plan.
3. Priority listings are the relative importance within each timeframe.



This page intentionally left blank.



This page intentionally left blank.

## Chapter 5: Circulation Element

---

This chapter is the Circulation Element for Santa Margarita. It reflects the countywide goals and policies in Framework for Planning (Part I of the Land Use and Circulation Elements) and regional goals and policies in the North County Area Plan (Part II of the Land Use and Circulation Elements). The circulation map at the end of this chapter shows the existing road network and planned road improvements within the Santa Margarita urban area.

The Regional Transportation Plan, which is prepared by the San Luis Obispo Council of Governments (SLOCOG), is a relevant source document with a countywide perspective and more technical information on transportation. It contains goals and objectives for state highways, major local routes of significance, alternative transportation modes and strategies for transportation system and demand management. The Congestion Management Plan, which is adopted by SLOCOG, has policies for integrating land use planning and transportation planning. These documents, along with the Clean Air Plan as well as supporting technical studies, provide input to making decisions on transportation projects, as illustrated in Figure 5-1.

Land use and circulation planning should support each other so that the pattern of land development is supported by a well-defined system of transportation linkages. Roads, bikeways, airports, railroads and various modes of transportation make up the circulation system. Improvements occur by a combination of public and private measures, including the dedication of land to the public in proportion to the impacts created by development. It is understood that public dedications will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis to meet nexus and other legal requirements.

The following sections describe transportation management programs, the major features of the circulation system, and alternative modes of travel to the private automobile. System improvements and programs are recommended to implement the circulation needs of the Land Use Element.




Figure 5-1: Circulation Element

## 5.1 North County Circulation Issues and Objectives

The North County's various communities and rural areas are connected by a network of state highways and major arterial roadways. The North County Area Plan, Part II of the Land Use and Circulation Elements, describes some key issues that affect the regional transportation system and establishes policies and objectives in response to those issues.

## 5.2 Roads

### Road Improvement Projects

The following sections identify major improvements as the land uses envisioned by this plan develop along with growth in Atascadero, Paso Robles and the larger area. The circulation plan maps show functional classifications of existing and proposed roads within Santa Margarita. Improvements will be required with proposed land divisions by the County Real Property Division Ordinance and planning area standards.

The Resource Management System (RMS), through the annual Resource Summary Report, identifies the necessary timetables for making road improvements with timely funding decisions. It also describes procedures for revising Land Use Element policies if timely funding decisions cannot be reached. The RMS utilizes a level of service "C" in rural areas, which begins at 33 percent of capacity, and level of service "D" in urban areas, which begins at 58 percent of capacity, to identify the threshold at which traffic congestion is of concern. The annual report utilizes an analysis by the Public Works Department to identify those roads nearing or exceeding capacity. The Final Environmental Impact Report for the 1995 Salinas River Area Plan update identifies existing traffic and capacities for major roads in the former Salinas River planning area. Improvement standards are more specifically shown in the Public Works Department's "Standard Improvement Specifications and Drawings." Funding decisions for road improvements will consider the feasible use of County general funds, state and federal grants and funding sources, and development fees.

The following are major proposals for the road system that is shown on the circulation maps at the end of this chapter. The listed order does not imply any priority.

### **Principal Arterials**

Highways 101, 41, 46 and 58 serve as the area's principal arterials, with the function to carry traffic on trips connecting population centers. The North County Area Plan describes anticipated improvements, as listed in the Regional Transportation Plan, for these arterials. Improvements proposed in the vicinity of Santa Margarita are listed here:

1. **Highway 101.** At the Highway 101 Route 58 interchange near Santa Margarita, widen the bridge at the existing northbound on-ramp and extend the on-ramp.
2. **Highway 58.** In Santa Margarita, realign the highway to intersect with El Camino Real and the railroad at a point outside the urban area. At the Highway 58 interchange near Santa Margarita, expand and improve the interchange to a full diamond standard and provide improved access to Tassajara Creek Road.

## Arterials

The functional purpose of arterial roads is to carry traffic between principal arterial roads, centers of population, and to serve large volumes of traffic within an urban area or rural area. Several roads shown as existing arterials in the rural area are being used for this purpose.—Improvements will be needed to achieve County standards in addition to making the proposed realignments and extensions shown on the circulation plan map. Road improvements that can link Paso Robles, Templeton and Atascadero will need to be considered as important alternatives to widening Highway 101.

Improvements proposed in the vicinity of Santa Margarita are listed here:

1. **Highway 58 - El Camino Real.** Widen the roadway shoulder from Atascadero to Route 58. Highway 58 (El Camino Real) in Santa Margarita should be improved from the Highway 58 intersection with El Camino Real to Wilhelmina Avenue as shown in Figure 5-2. The median strip should use low water-consuming plants and should not be constructed until there is adequate water available and upon the agreement of an appropriate agency to assume maintenance.




Figure 5-2: El Camino Real Improvements - Santa Margarita

## Collectors

Collector roads or streets function to enable traffic to move between minor roads or streets and arterial roads or streets. No specific improvements are identified for collectors in Santa Margarita.

## **Local Streets**

Local roads and streets function to carry traffic and alternative transportation at low volumes within neighborhoods and non-residential areas. No specific improvements are proposed within the larger scope of this plan. Road improvements, including walkways for pedestrians, will be determined at the project and subdivision review stage consistent with adopted plans and regulations.

## **Alleys**

Alleys in Santa Margarita offer both problems and opportunities. Problems that are typically associated with alleys are security, garbage accumulation and lack of paving. They provide utilitarian corridors for parking, trash collection, utilities, and informal access between houses within a block. They provide access for secondary dwellings located at the back of a lot. Where alleys exist or are planned, minimal levels of improvements are necessary to attract and secure usage. Continuous pavement to driveways, at an adequate width for emergency vehicles, lighting and amenities such as fencing and landscaping are often needed to upgrade alleys. Greater alley usage can lessen street traffic by placing parking and garages at the rear of properties, which also can provide better views of the street from residences.

## **5.3 Other Means of Transportation**

Both the North County Area Plan and Framework for Planning encourage alternatives to single occupancy vehicle travel. These alternatives are described below.

### **Public Transit**

The North County Area Plan describes the benefits of public transit and the current needs and planned improvements for the North County's public transit system. It also contains policies that encourage and guide transit oriented development (TOD) in the North County's urban reserve areas. These policies are implemented by area-wide TOD standards in Article 10 of the LUO.

### **Carpooling - Park and Ride Lots**

The overall goal for park and ride lots is to increase their numbers throughout the county. Park and ride lots are transfer areas where people may drive or carpool to the lot, park their vehicles and continue on with another carpool or transit route. The Clean Air Plan and the Regional Transportation Plan have emphasized park and ride lots as transportation system management measures to shift away from single occupancy vehicle travel. Funding should be obtained for park and ride lots.

Lots proposed for the future should be along busy corridors and highways, where there is high visibility, adjacent to regional transit stops. Currently there are three park and ride lots in Atascadero, located on Curbaril, Santa Ysabel and Santa Barbara Road.

### **Bikeways**

A goal of this plan and the County Bikeway Plan is to provide a framework for establishing a safe and efficient bikeway system. Planned projects should not only include the construction of bikeways, but also consider the installation of facilities such as bike racks, bike lockers, bike and ride racks, signs, showers, the creation of bike maps and safety and education programs. The County Bikeways Plan lists and maps the bikeway system, and includes policies for



integrating bike-related facilities within the transportation system. The North County Area Plan describes the regional bikeway objectives, consistent with the County's Bikeways Plan.

## Trails

Proposed equestrian and hiking trails are shown in the County Parks and Recreation Element.

## Railroad

Rail transportation provides an important method of conveying goods and people within the transportation system. The railroad, which runs parallel to "G" Street, divides Santa Margarita and is in close proximity to commercial areas. For this reason the railroad should be considered in the central business district design plan recommended by the LUE for Santa Margarita. The County should work with the operator of the railroad line to resolve the issue of blockage of particular crossings so as not to affect the health, safety and welfare of the general public. When the County discovers a health and safety issue concerning the railroad, it should communicate the deficiency to the railroad.

Rail transit should be studied for its feasibility within the North County, and perhaps to San Luis Obispo and other regions. The addition of this mode of transportation could be integrated with the transit-oriented planning policies mentioned above concerning activity centers. It would be necessary to integrate any light-rail trolley system with freight and passenger operations.

## Telecommunications

Personal computers enable people to perform work, research and communications at home or other sites that are separate from traditional locations, communicating electronically or "telecommuting." Decentralizing work to people instead of moving people to work has the potential to reduce commuting trips and employer/employee costs. Telecommuting worksites should be established that have computer workstations, electronic network service and teleconferencing capabilities. In conjunction with the Highway 101 Cuesta Grade widening, scheduled for 1996-97, Caltrans has organized a multi-agency project for a telecommute site with connections to city, county and other agency offices and allow access to the general public.

## 5.4 Circulation Programs

"Programs" are specific non-mandatory actions or policies recommended by the Land Use Element to achieve the goals and objectives identified in this community plan. The implementation of each LUE program is the responsibility of the County or other public agency identified in the program itself. Because programs (some of which include special studies) are recommended actions rather than mandatory requirements, implementation of any program should be based on a consideration of community needs and substantial community support for the program and its related cost. Refer to the North County Area Plan for a list of regional circulation programs.

***NOTE:*** In addition to the programs listed here, the North County Area Plan contains regional programs that may also affect the community of Santa Margarita.

The following circulation programs apply to Santa Margarita:

## Roads and Highways

1. **Streetside Improvements in Santa Margarita.** The County should work with residents of Santa Margarita to implement improvements to Highway 58, between El Camino Real and Wilhelmina Avenue as shown in Figure 5-2.
2. **Alley Circulation.** Work with residents to improve alley circulation in general by widening pavement where it is too narrow, provide paved driveway aprons, and assist property owners with lighting, fencing and landscaping alternatives for security and privacy.

## Park-and-Ride Lots

3. **Additional Park-and-Ride Lots.** Park-and-ride lots should be developed in accordance with the Caltrans Park-and-Ride Lot Report (May 1993).

## Railroad

4. **Railroad Safety at all Grade Crossings.** The railroad should identify hazard areas and correction measures at all grade crossings, such as speed controls, additional warning devices, or fencing or landscaping treatment to reduce railway access and accidents.
5. **Emergency Railroad Crossing in Santa Margarita.** An adequate emergency vehicle railroad crossing in the southerly portion of Santa Margarita should be provided.


**Table 5-1: Schedule for Completing Circulation Programs**

Program Title	Responsible Agencies	Potential Funding <sup>1</sup>	Timeframe (years) <sup>2</sup>	Priority <sup>3</sup>
1. Street Improvements in Santa Margarita	Co. Planning, Public Works; Cal-Trans	SLOCOG; CalTrans STIP; Assessment District; private funding	3-5	Moderate
2. Alley Circulation	Co. Planning, Public Works	Assessment Districts; private funding	3-5	Low
3. Additional Park-and-Ride Lots	Co. Public Works; SLOCOG; Cal-Trans	Cal-Trans; County	1-3	High
4. Railroad Safety at all Grade Crossings	Railroad Operator	N/A	1-3	High
5. Emergency Rail Crossing in Santa Margarita	Railroad Operator	N/A	1-3	High

Notes:

1. N/A in "Potential Funding" column means that the work would be performed by County staff as a part of the normal agenda of a County department. No special funding is required.
2. Timeframes are from 1996, the date of the last major update of the Salinas River Area Plan.
3. Priority listings are the relative importance within each timeframe.

This page intentionally left blank.



This page intentionally left blank.

# Chapter 6: Combining Designations

---

## 6.1 Introduction

Combining designations are special overlay maps and symbols applied in areas of the county with potentially hazardous conditions or special resources, where more detailed project review is needed to avoid or minimize adverse environmental impacts or effects of hazardous conditions on proposed projects. Symbols denote the vicinity of proposed public facilities such as government uses, parks and schools. The following areas are subject to special combining designations. In some cases, specific standards have been adopted for an area where a combining designation is applied. These standards are found in Article 10 of the Land Use Ordinance (Chapter 22.104 – North County Area Communities and Villages) and apply to development proposals in addition to the standards of Chapter 22.14 of the Land Use Ordinance.

## 6.2 Santa Margarita Community Plan Combining Designations

The Santa Margarita urban area includes the following combining designations, which are shown on the map at the end of this chapter:

1. **Salinas River Flood Hazard (FH).** The Salinas River and the immediate area are designated on the Combining Designations map as a flood plain.
2. **Santa Margarita Creek, Yerba Buena Creek, Estrella River and Huerhuero Creek Flood Hazard (FH).** These creeks are designated on the plan as flood plains.
3. **Mission Santa Margarita de Cortona – Asistencia Historic Resource (H).** This San Luis Obispo Mission outpost was established around 1775 and is designated as State Historical Landmark

## 6.3 Proposed Public Facilities

Only those public facilities that have a direct effect on land use and are publicly managed are considered. The public facilities needed for the community are determined by many public agencies. Development guidelines for proposed public facilities are contained in Framework for Planning.

The following public facility project is proposed in Santa Margarita:

1. **Santa Margarita Community Building.** The community building should be renovated, landscaped and provided with improved parking.

## 6.4 Combining Designations and Proposed Public Facilities Programs

"Programs" are specific non-mandatory actions or policies recommended by the Land Use Element to achieve the objectives of this community plan. The implementation of each LUE program is the responsibility of the County or other public agency identified in the program itself. Because programs (some of which include special studies) are recommended actions rather than mandatory requirements, implementation of any program should be based on consideration of community needs and substantial community support for the program and its related cost.

**NOTE:** In addition to the programs listed here, the North County Area Plan contains regional programs that may also affect the community of Santa Margarita.

The following programs apply to Santa Margarita:

### Flood Hazard Area (FH)

Regarding flood hazard areas, the San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District is one source of funding.

1. **Drainage Control in Santa Margarita.** The County should provide for updating the 1966 report on the Yerba Buena Creek drainage investigation and implementing its recommendations.

**Channel Maintenance in Santa Margarita.** The County should obtain maintenance easements for the creekways and initiate a program to remove rubbish, dead and obstructive vegetation and other obstacles that may increase flooding.

### Historic Areas (H)

2. **Mission Santa Margarita de Cortona Asistencia.** The County should provide encouragement and support for the preservation and reconstruction of the old rancho headquarters and the mission outpost with the cooperation of the rancho owners.

### Public Facilities (PF)

3. **Santa Margarita Community Building.** The community building should be renovated, landscaped and provided with improved parking.

**Table 6-1: Schedule for Completing Combining Designation and Public Facilities Programs**


<b>Program</b>	<b>Responsible Agencies</b>	<b>Potential Funding<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Timeframe (years)<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Priority<sup>3</sup></b>
<b>Flood Hazard Area (FH)</b>				
1. Drainage Control in Santa Margarita	County Flood Control District	Zone of Benefit	1-3	High
2. Channel Maintenance in Santa Margarita	County Public Works	District, Co.	1-3	High
<b>Historic Area (H)</b>				
3. Mission Asistencia	General Services	N/A; grant; private	3-5	Moderate
<b>Public Facilities (PF)</b>				
4. Santa Margarita Community Building	General Services	N/A; fees; grant	3-10	Moderate

Notes:

1. N/A in "Potential Funding" column means that the work would be performed by County staff as a part of the normal agenda of a County department. No special funding is required.
2. Timeframes are from 1996, the date of the last major update of the Salinas River Area Plan.
3. Priority listings are the relative importance within each timeframe.



This page intentionally left blank.



This page intentionally left blank.

## Chapter 7: Santa Margarita Community Design Plan

---

The Santa Margarita Community Design Plan was adopted by the County Board of Supervisors on October 9, 2001, and is incorporated by reference into Title 22 of the County Code.

The guidelines in the Design Plan are intended to inform and guide property development in Santa Margarita so that the form and character of the overall community is protected and enhanced. They are available to prospective developers so that early design decisions can be made that are consistent with the plan. The guidelines are both advisory and discretionary, to be used in the review of subdivision and development projects by County staff, the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors to protect the public welfare and environment. The development review process makes a careful examination of a project's quality of site planning, architecture, drainage design and important details such as signage and lighting. The purpose is to insure that every new development will carefully consider the community context in which it takes place and make a conscientious effort to develop a compatible relationship to the natural setting, neighboring properties and community design goals.

**NOTE:** The Santa Margarita Design Plan is available in its entirety at the San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning and Building and on the Department's website: ([www.sloplanning.org](http://www.sloplanning.org)).

This page intentionally left blank.

# SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY COMMUNITY ADVISORY COUNCIL HANDBOOK



**2013**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PURPOSE OF THIS HANDBOOK	1
WHAT IS A COMMUNITY ADVISORY COUNCIL?	2
Board of Supervisors Resolution	2
THE ROLE & ACTIVITIES OF COMMUNITY ADVISORY COUNCILS	3
Mission Statements and Goals	4
Community Advisory Council Duties	4
Hardships Associated with Serving on a Council	4
Composition of Community Advisory Councils	5
COUNCIL RELATIONSHIPS	5
Elected Officials	5
Department of Planning and Building Staff	5
COMMUNITY ADVISORY COUNCIL PROCEDURE AND CONDUCT	6
Agendas	6
Public Notice of Meetings	6
Adequate Meeting Space	7
Keeping Minutes	7
Role of the Chairperson	7
Qualities of a Good Chairperson	8
Practical Tips for the Community Advisory Council	8
PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURE	9
Making Motions	9
Quorum	10
Avoiding a conflict of interest	10
Due Process	10
Open Meetings - Brown Act	11



This handbook has been prepared by:

County of San Luis Obispo  
Department of Planning and Building  
County Government Center  
San Luis Obispo, Ca. 93408-2800  
(805) 781-5600  
[www.sloplanning.org](http://www.sloplanning.org)

County Offices are located on the corner of Osos and Palm Streets in the City of San Luis Obispo.  
Offices are open from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. daily.

## **PURPOSE OF THIS HANDBOOK**

San Luis Obispo County is a unique and highly desirable community in which to live. We believe this did not occur by accident, but resulted from the efforts of many devoted, caring and involved residents in our communities that have participated in the planning process.

This handbook has been created for San Luis Obispo County Community Advisory Council members to use as a guide to providing important feedback and recommendations on issues to the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and the Department of Planning and Building. It identifies what an advisory council is and its role in the planning process. It also offers suggestions on procedures and conduct of the advisory council.

In addition to this handbook, the Department of Planning and Building has also prepared an Advisory Council Training Program. The training program is intended to help current and future advisory council members understand and effectively participate in the planning process. This training program will be offered once a year to advisory council members and other interested parties.

It is our hope that this handbook and training program will help advisory council members understand the roles, and explain the responsibilities of, the Planning and Building Department staff, Community Advisory Council members and the county decision-makers, so that together we can find solutions to issues and explore new opportunities for the future of your community and for the county.

The Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission and Department of Planning and Building would like to thank all of the Community Advisory Council members, both past and present, for their tremendous efforts at giving a voice to their communities' concerns. San Luis Obispo County is fortunate to have such a strong grass roots program.



## WHAT IS A COMMUNITY ADVISORY COUNCIL?

Community Advisory Councils are authorized to represent their communities by the San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors.



The Board of Supervisors adopted a policy that formally established criteria for “Board-Recognized Community Advisory Councils” through adoption of Resolution No. 96-485 on December 10, 1996.

The resolution states that the Board of Supervisors:

- Values the input of Community Advisory Councils
- Wants to make sure that advising bodies addressing the Board of Supervisors on current and future planning matters in the unincorporated areas actually represent the communities for which they speak
- Wishes to establish a consistent set of guidelines to assist in the formation of future Community Advisory Councils

### Board of Supervisors Resolution

The resolution also states that in order for a Community Advisory Council to be recognized by the Board of Supervisors, it **must** meet the following five criteria:

1. A Community Advisory Council must be based in and represent a defined community within an establish Urban or Village Reserve Line, which can include representatives from outlying or surrounding unincorporated areas associated with the community.
2. Community Advisory Council membership should reflect a broad cross-section of the community.
3. Community Advisory Council meetings should occur regularly and be publicly noticed in a timely manner, and be open to all members of the public.
4. Community Advisory Council by-laws should be established and maintained which direct the organization and protocol of the council. These should include a statement of purpose, the rules of order, frequency of meetings, and appointment or election of members.
5. Recommendations made by the Community Advisory Council and forwarded to the Board of Supervisors or the Planning Commission should be arrived at by a majority vote of the quorum of the membership, with as much public input as feasible.

## THE ROLE & ACTIVITIES OF COMMUNITY ADVISORY COUNCILS

There are currently eleven Board-recognized Community Advisory Councils in San Luis Obispo County. These Councils represent the following communities and the surrounding unincorporated areas surrounding:

Avila Valley	North Coast
Cayucos	Los Osos
South County	Oceano
Santa Margarita	San Miguel
Shandon	Templeton
Creston	

Each Community Advisory Council is unique in its composition, its interests and its way of conducting business. Most Community Advisory Councils have elected representatives. This representation can be based on district precincts within the community and the surrounding area, groups or organizations, or a combination of both.

Some Community Advisory Councils review all projects that are proposed in their area of interest. Others review only larger developments. Some have specialized "land use committees" that review all projects and only bring forward certain projects to the whole of the advisory council.



## **Mission Statements and Goals**

Many Community Advisory Councils define their purpose through the creation of a Mission Statement. An adopted Mission Statement make the role and the purpose of the Community Advisory Council clear to its members and the general public who attend meetings. It also provides direction to new members.

Mission Statements should be brief and focus on what is most important to the community. They provide the most value when they are a constant in the operation of the advisory council. The Avila Valley Advisory Council includes its Mission Statement on the agenda so that it remains fresh in everyone's mind.

Other Community Advisory Councils do not have specifically adopted Mission Statements, but define their purpose in their by-laws.

Community Advisory Councils also often adopt goals and objectives. These can be changed from year-to-year to reflect the current Advisory Council's interests and what they would like to complete during their tenure on the council. They should also reflect current issues and concerns of the community. This type of list detailing goals and objectives can be helpful when trying to prioritize the many duties of the council.

## **Community Advisory Council Duties**

Each council assigns itself different duties that are relevant to the particular community. These duties should be specified in the council's by-laws. For example, the duties of the Chairperson, Vice-Chairperson, Secretary and Treasurer should be specifically defined in the council's by-laws.

It is important that someone be assigned the task of reflecting the council recommendations in written form to the Department of Planning and Building and the decision-makers.

One of the council's duties is to advise the county decision-makers on current and future planning matters. This is where Community Advisory Councils interact with staff from the Department of Planning and Building. The council makes recommendations on proposed plans and ordinances and development proposed in their community. The council makes its recommendations based on the wishes of community. However, these recommendations must be within the context of federal, state and local laws and regulations.

## **Hardships Associated with Serving on a Council**

There are often hardships that can be associated with volunteering on a Community Advisory Council. These include:

Long Hours  
Criticism  
Phone Calls

Potential Liability  
Politics  
Personal Expense

## Composition of Community Advisory Councils



Councils can be large with a 20 person board and 10 subcommittees. Others are small with a 5 person board and no subcommittees or subcommittees made up of volunteers other than council representatives.

The Community Advisory Councils in San Luis Obispo County have many different compositions. Some have representation based on geographic areas with subcommittees that evaluate projects only within their geographic area. Other councils have geographic representation with subcommittees focused on specific issues such as circulation and land use. When an Area Plan update is occurring, or a Design Plan is being developed for a community, a council will often form a special subcommittee to evaluate those plans as they are being developed.

All of the Community Advisory Councils have a chairperson, a treasurer, and a secretary (or someone assigned to take notes, minutes and record recommendations during the meetings). Some members are appointed to these positions, some are elected and some simply volunteer.

Some of the councils hold yearly public elections, some hold elections every two years, some hold elections for the board members who then appoint other members, and some have members who are all appointed.

The variety of structures show there is no single way to organize a Community Advisory Council. Every attempt should be made to have Community Advisory Council membership reflect a broad cross-section of the community as was specified in the resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors. How this is done should be based on a method that works best for your council. Each Community Advisory Council should use its own "homegrown" way of getting the community involved.

## COUNCIL RELATIONSHIPS

**Elected Officials.** The Board of Supervisors authorized the Community Advisory Councils with the expectation that the members fully represent a broad cross section of their community. This representation needs to reflect the opinions and wishes of the community as a whole, not the individual membership.

**Department of Planning and Building Staff.** Each Community Advisory Council is assigned a Planning Staff Liaison. The role of the liaison is to be a contact person between the community and the department. The responsibilities of the liaison are:


- Attendance at the monthly Community Advisory Council meetings (this does not include sub-committee meetings)
- A resource for general questions on planning and land use, as well as on San Luis Obispo County plans and ordinances
- Provision of information about new and on-going discretionary projects, including the status of such projects

## COMMUNITY ADVISORY COUNCIL PROCEDURE AND CONDUCT

Rules for how each Community Advisory Council operates are found in the council's by-laws. The section provides information regarding both required and recommended guidelines for operation, procedure and conduct of Community Advisory Councils.

### Agendas

The agenda should be organized, easy to follow, have a consistent format, and include the following minimum information:



1. The date of the meeting
2. Time and location of the meeting
3. The council's mailing address, contact number or email address
4. The order of business

In addition, you may want to include the following:

- The council's mission statement
- The names of the current officers and/or members of council
- The minutes of the previous meeting
- A specified open public comment period

### Public Notice of Meetings



The Community Advisory Council is the link between the community and the decision-makers and should be made up of a broad representation of the community. Thus it is important that the council conducts its business in an open and public manner. The public should be notified of all meetings of the council and its sub-committees. The easiest way to do this is to publicize the meeting agenda in advance of the actual meeting.

The council's agenda should be posted in a visible and accessible location that is well known to the community. This can be on a Community Advisory Council's website, in the local newspaper, on the community post office, on the community meeting room or on a general community information kiosk.

If a specific project is scheduled on the agenda for discussion, the council should send a copy of the agenda to the applicant. By notifying the applicant, they have a chance to discuss their project with council members and all interested community participants. A copy of the agenda should also be sent to the Staff Liaison so they can be prepared to answer questions, provide information at the meeting or ask the department's project manager to attend the meeting in their place.

The county does not notify applicants of when their projects will be reviewed by the council.



## Adequate Meeting Space

The council is responsible for providing an accessible meeting space for the members of the community and other interested parties to attend Community Advisory Council meetings. The meeting space should have adequate seating and space for all who attend. It is important that all interested parties can identify council members and the chairperson, and that all council members and speakers can be heard.

## Keeping Minutes

The council should designate one person and a back up to take minutes at each meeting. This is a very important and sometimes difficult task. Some councils tape their meetings either in addition to, or in lieu of, written minutes.



The minutes of past meetings should be easily available for anyone who wishes to see them. For example, copies of the minutes can be kept in the community library or be posted on the council's or community's website.

It is also important to assign someone the responsibility of transmitting the council's recommendation to the Department of Planning and Building for inclusion in the staff report that is prepared for the project. The recommendation that is forwarded to the department should include comments that the council has approved. This can include project good points, project concerns, recommendations for changes in site design and architecture, and the vote.

## Role of the Chairperson



The chairperson is the leader of the council. The chairperson's responsibilities are explained in each council's by-laws. The chairperson is responsible for making sure that meetings proceed in a fashion that is conducive to fair and open discussion and rational decision-making. The chair must be familiar with the council's procedures and with the agenda items to be discussed at each meeting. The chairperson sets the tone of the meeting, keeps the discussion on track, encourages fairness, moderates and contributes to discussions and helps direct public comment to the issues at hand. Although some council's have chosen to elect a chairperson for two year terms in order to have continuity, having different chairpersons, as well as different members on the council, provide for a diversity of points of view.

Running an effective meeting can be aided by using the following procedures:

- Control the meeting - but make sure that you allow everyone to be heard
- Treat everyone fairly - Everyone should be treated respectfully and equally, this includes any staff members attending from the county
- Make a final recommendation - Matters brought to the council should be acted on in a timely manner
- Not wasting people's time - Keep the discussion focused and on track

## Qualities of a Good Chairperson

The chairperson's focus should be on creating effective and open council meetings. S/he can achieve this by following these recommended guidelines:

- Focus on the subject under consideration, instead of personalities
- Keep a clear view of the big picture so that the meeting does not get bogged down in minor details
- Ask questions of the Staff Liaison in advance of the meeting so they can be prepared and not caught off-guard
- Follow the established rules for conducting meetings
- Assist the flow of ideas and help the members of the council stay on track
- Stay informed - read reports, review plans, ordinance and standards
- Provide an open and comfortable environment and allow an objective flow of ideas with council members, the public, applicants and county staff
- Have a sense of pace - know when to close testimony, conduct deliberations and make final recommendations

## Practical Tips for the Community Advisory Council

- Advise the public to direct questions through the chairperson.
- Use appropriate procedures and clarify those procedures before discussion and input on an agenda item.
- Set time limits where appropriate
- Define issues and avoid diversions
- Deal with facts - don't deal with rhetoric.
- Go beyond generalities.
- Be sure that there is sufficient factual basis and information for reaching a recommendation.
- Make decisions that are consistent with established practice, principles, and precedence.
- Treat all participants in the meetings with respect and courtesy and assure the meetings are open - where all points of view are encouraged.



# PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURE

## Making Motions

The following motions are grouped in the table below according to the purpose to be accomplished.

PURPOSE	MOTION
To introduce business	Move to main motion
To approve action	Move to accept, adopt or ratify
To modify or change	Move to amend or refer to a sub-committee
To defer action	Move to postpone to a definite time, refer to a subcommittee or lay on table
To limit discussion	Move to time debate
To stop discussion	Move the previous question
To determine correctness of an announced voice vote	Move for division of the council
To suppress a question	Object to consideration, move to postpone indefinitely, or lay on table
To object to decision of the chair	Move an appeal from the decision
To make a request	Call for point of information, rise to parliamentary inquiry, or raise questions of privileges
To consider a second time	Move to take from the table, reconsider, or rescind
To repeal action	Move to rescind

### Examples

Some examples of how to make motions:

“Madame Chair, I move that we have determined that this project is compatible with the community.” ..... “I second the motion...”

“Mr. Chairperson, I move to reconsider the council’s decision to limit public discussion time to ten minutes” ..... [no second] ..... “No second, therefore the motion is denied.”

“Chairperson Jones, I move that with the addition of landscaping between the site and the neighboring site to the west, the project is consistent with other development in the area” ..... “I second the motion...”



## Quorum

In order to make motions and vote on them, a quorum must be present. This means that over one half of the duly elected or appointed council members are present at the meeting.

## Avoiding a conflict of interest

A conflict of interest exists if financial interests or other opportunities for tangible personal benefit may exert a substantial and improper influence upon a council member's judgment in exercising decision-making.



Council members can avoid a conflict of interest by:

- abstaining from a vote on a proposed project if the member is directly involved with the project
- not talking privately about matters that concern the Community Advisory Council and the community
- not accepting gifts from people whose projects are in the review process and will be voted on by the council
- clearly stating at public meetings and hearings whether you are representing the action of the whole of the council or whether you are speaking as a private citizen


## Due Process

The Fifth Amendment of the United States grants that “No person shall be ... deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law.”

Due process means that before a decision is made, all information must be considered and everyone has an opportunity to participate in accordance with the rules of the council.

Additionally, California State Law section 11445.10(b) states that:

“The informal hearing procedure is intended to satisfy due process and public policy requirements in a manner that is simpler and more expeditious than hearings procedures otherwise required by statute, for use in appropriate circumstances.



## Open Meetings - Brown Act

The “Brown Act” (Government Code sections 54950 et. seq.) states that meetings of public bodies must be “open and public and all persons shall be permitted to attend any meeting, except as otherwise in the Act” (e.g. personnel, litigation, etc.) and “actions may not be secret, and action taken in violation of open meeting laws may be voided.”

While the “Brown Act” does not apply to most Community Advisory Councils in their present form, it contains important rules that Community Advisory Councils will want to consider in organizing and running their meetings. The “Brown Act” describes a meeting as “[any] congregation of a majority of the members of a legislative body at the same time and place to hear, discuss, or deliberate upon any items that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body or the local agency to which it pertains.” (Government Code section 54952.2).

This act applies to: Local Agencies such as the County Planning Department; Legislative Bodies such as the Board of Supervisors; standing councils of a covered board such as the Planning Commission; and non-profit corporations formed by a public agency or which includes a member of a covered board and receives public money from the covered board.

The act does not apply to: Ad hoc meetings; advisory councils consisting of less than a quorum of the covered board; courts and court agencies and state government.

Councils who are not covered by this act can still use it as a helpful guideline for holding official meetings.

The primary meeting rules of the “Brown Act” are to:

- Post and send notice and an agenda for any regular meeting
- Limit action to those items listed on the agenda
- Hold meetings in the jurisdiction of the agency
- Not require a “sign in” for anyone
- Allow recording and broadcast of the meetings
- Allow the public to address the council
- Conduct only public votes, with no secret ballots
- Treat documents as public “without delay”

SANTA MARGARITA AREA ADVISORY COUNCIL  
BY-LAWS

Revised: February 2016

**Article I. Purposes of the Council**

**Section 1:** To advise the Board of Supervisors and other governmental agencies, in all matters pertaining to, but not restricted to, land uses, zoning, public services, circulation, public improvements, and aspects of orderly community growth.

**Section 2:** To establish an organization representative of the area in matters of planning and development and to represent the community before all bodies, public and private, where the subject is appropriate to the objectives of the area advisory council.

**Section 3:** To represent the community and act as the official intermediary, or spokesperson, between the Board of Supervisors and the public, with the understanding that the council will never infringe upon the right and prerogative of private citizens to speak as individuals in their own behalf.

**Article II. Membership and Elections**

**Section 1:** The Council shall be comprised of ten geographical areas, with two duly elected representatives from nine areas, excepting the Santa Margarita Ranch. The ten geographical areas are: Pozo, Garden Farms, Santa Margarita, Highway 58, Santa Margarita Lake-Rinconada, Santa Margarita Ranch, Las Pilitas-El Dorado, South Atascadero, Parkhill, and Tassajara Canyon.

**Section 1-A:** Area representatives for the nine elected areas shall be elected by persons who reside in their geographical area. All voters must be registered to vote in San Luis Obispo County. All voters must sign a voter's manifest indicating their residential address. For the Santa Margarita Ranch area, two representatives and alternates shall be appointed by the owners of the Ranch without consideration of their domicile. There will be two alternates from each area and each area representative shall appoint one no later than the end of the meeting following the representative's election, re-election, or appointment. The term of office for each area representative is two years. Their terms are never concurrent; one representative is elected in even years and the other in odd years.

**Section 1-B:** In the event of a vacancy caused by loss of a representative, an alternate may fill such vacancy if she/he is able to obtain five signatures from that area's residents, who are also registered voters in San Luis Obispo County. In the event that an alternate is unable to do so, the vacancy will be announced by the chair and ratified by a majority vote of the quorum present. At the following regularly scheduled meeting, a bonafide candidate submitting a petition with 5 signatures will be seated. If more than one candidate presents the signatures required, the seat will be decided by lottery. In the event of a vacancy of an alternate, a new alternate shall be announced by the representative at the following meeting.

**Section 2:** An area representative or candidate shall be a resident of that area and registered to vote in San Luis Obispo County. Any representative on the council at the time a geographical area is revised may finish out his/her term.

**Section 2-A:**

As a courtesy to the council and the community, incumbents should declare their candidacy before the adjournment of the February meeting. All eligible candidates, new or incumbent, must declare to the SMAAC Chair or the Elections Chair no later than the adjournment of the March Meeting.

**Section 3:** Elections shall be held on a Tuesday one week and a day before the April Meeting. Only representatives who are not up for reelection may run the polls and certify the election results. Election results must be made available on the Council website no later than midnight the day following the election.

**Section 3-A:** In elections, in which both seats are vacant, the person obtaining the greatest number of votes shall be seated for a two-year term and the person obtaining the second most votes shall be elected for a one-year term. In the event there is a tie in the number of votes cast the tie will be broken with the toss of a coin. The winner will be seated for the two-year term.

**Section 3-B:** Elected representatives shall be seated at the April Council meeting unless an election challenge is lodged and accepted by the Council as provided in 3-C, in which case only unchallenged candidates should be seated

**Section 3-C:** In the event a candidate wishes to challenge election results, he/she must notify the Chair in writing no later than midnight the Monday following the election. The Chair

should immediately notify all Council members of the challenge, and agendize it for discussion at the April meeting. At the meeting, prior to the seating of any newly elected members, the challenger may make a presentation, and a majority of the Council must vote to accept or reject the challenge for investigation. If the challenge is accepted, the challenging candidate shall be provided with copies of the registered voter list, poll roster and all ballots cast, and allowed one month to collect information and investigate the election. The challenger may withdraw his/her challenge at any time by notifying the Chair in writing. If the challenge is not withdrawn, the challenger may present evidence to the Council at the May meeting. Following the presentation, a majority of the Council must vote to either reject the challenge, or verify the challenge as legitimate. If the challenge is rejected, the election results shall stand and the winning candidate shall immediately be seated. If the challenge is verified as legitimate, the election results shall be thrown out as to the challenged seat only, and another election must be held for that seat the Tuesday one week and a day before the June meeting.

### Article III. Officers

**Section 1:** Officers shall consist of a chairperson, vice-chairperson, secretary, and a treasurer.

**Section 1-A:** Officers shall be elected by a secret ballot vote of the council representatives, unless there is only one nominee for a position. Four separate elections shall be conducted; first the Chairperson, second the Vice-Chairperson, third the secretary and fourth the treasurer.

**Section 2:** Duties of the Officers:

**Section 2-A:** The Chair is to preside at all meetings of the council. The Chair shall open the meeting after ascertaining a quorum is present, then conduct the meeting through the order established in the agenda. Duties include: setting the agenda with input from council members; recognizing members entitled to the floor; stating and putting to vote all legitimate questions that come before the council as motions; tallying and announcing the results of each vote; diligently enforcing the rules of civil discourse and debate; expediting meeting business in a manner compatible with the rights of the members; deciding on questions of order; responding to all inquiries of members bearing on the business of the Council; authenticating by his or her signature, when necessary, all acts, orders or proceedings of the

meeting; posting the business of the Council on the official website; adjourning each meeting when the Council so votes.

**Section 2-B:** The vice-chair shall assume the chairmanship in the absence or resignation of the Chair; and assist the Chair with his/her duties as requested, including posting the business of the Council on the official website

**Section 2-C:** The secretary shall: record the minutes of each proceeding and make them available to Council members in attendance; keep on file all committee reports; keep a file of all correspondence sent on behalf of the Council; keep the Council's membership roll and to call or pass the roll as required; maintain a record of past minutes and prior versions of bylaws; provide a copy of the bylaws to all new representatives and to alternates by request; assist with posting the business of the Council on the official website.

**Section 2-D:** The Treasurer shall: keep financial records and maintain them in accordance with established procedures; prepare a draft budget for Council no later than the June meeting of every year and an update no later than the December meeting of every year; provide copies of all statements and records to the 5th District Supervisor's office for an audit at or immediately following the February meeting each year; coordinate with the Chair to request a discretionary fund allotment from the 5th District Supervisor's office starting in June of each year Any officer may be a signatory to the Council's bank account, but the Treasurer shall have primary responsibility for maintaining the account and financial records.

**Section 2-F:** In the event the Chair is not present at a meeting, the duties of Chair will pass to the Vice Chair. In the event that the Vice Chair is not present, the duties of Chair will pass to the Treasurer. In the event the Treasurer is not present, an acting chair may be selected by a majority vote of the quorum present at the meeting. In the event that the Secretary is absent from a meeting, an acting Secretary may be appointed by the Chair or acting Chair to take minutes for that meeting. The duties of the officers do not transfer to their alternates if the officers cannot be present.

## Article IV. Committees

**Section 1:** A committee is a body of one or more persons, including councilmembers, alternates, or members of the public, appointed by the Chair. This power does not transfer to the acting chair if the elected Chair is absent from a meeting. All committees are required to establish a quorum at the opening of their sessions in order to function as a committee and conduct any business. Upon the election of new Santa Margarita Area Advisory Council officers all standing committee members are dismissed and new members are appointed. New members may include any or all the existing members. Special Committees shall be appointed as needed for a term as needed. Special committee members may continue for the duration of the function. Standing committees may only be dissolved by a two-thirds vote of the council.

**Section 1-A:** Standing Committees shall be comprised of Councilmembers, excluding alternates. The Standing Committees are:

**The Elections Committee** shall be appointed by the Chair no later than the February meeting to conduct the business of running the election in April of each year. Committee members may not be up for election or re-election. The Committee shall: prepare notice of an election, stating eligibility requirements and deadline date, to be published on the official website and other locations as appropriate; prepare candidate list and ballots for area representatives; furnish election information to local media and a list of candidates/open seats for the website; provide for coverage at the election tables; secure and count ballots and immediately provide election results to Chair and Secretary for posting on the website; and maintain election materials, including cast ballots, voter manifest and voter rolls for one year. Although all non-incumbent SMAAC members may volunteer to staff the election tables, only the Elections Committee may tally the votes at the close of the election.

**The Bylaws Committee** shall be comprised of at least three members, to be appointed at the May meeting every year, to report to the Council with any proposed bylaw amendments, if any, for that year. The Committee should present initial recommendations at the June meeting, and take suggestions from the Council at that time. The Committee should present final recommendations to the Council for a first reading per Article VI Section 5 no later than the December meeting of each year. Following approval, the Committee must provide both "track changes" and clean Word versions to the Secretary.



## Article V. Meetings

**Section 1:** The Council shall meet the first Wednesday of each month, and each area seat shall have one vote. The Council shall not meet in July and January.

**Section 1-A:** An elected representative may be deemed to have vacated their seat if they miss more than half of the regular meetings over a Council year (April – March). Attendance by an alternate does not count toward an elected representative's attendance. If the Chair determines that an elected representative has vacated their seat under this section, the Chair shall announce the vacancy and it may be ratified by a majority vote of the quorum present. Following ratification, filling the vacancy shall proceed as per Article III, Section 1-B of these Bylaws.

**Section 2:** Public notice shall be published on the Council website stating the time and place of each regularly scheduled meeting.

**Section 3:** The Chairperson may call a Special Meeting if all council members are notified by telephone or email at least 48 hours in advance.

**Section 4:** The Chairperson must call a special meeting if six or more representatives so request and all council members are notified by telephone or email at least 48 hours in advance.

**Section 5:** All regular and special meeting require a quorum. A quorum means the majority (fifty percent plus one) of the representatives (or alternates) are present, as determined when the meeting is called to order. Seats currently vacant are not counted for purposes of determining a quorum. In the event that a meeting is called to order and no quorum is established the meeting must immediately be adjourned.

**Section 5-A:** An Adjourned Meeting: An adjourned meeting is only held when a regularly scheduled meeting has been adjourned due to lack of a quorum. An adjourned meeting can continue as a public informational meeting where no minutes may be taken, no business conducted, nor any correspondence read.



**Section 6:** Conflicts of interest do not prevent a member from voting if they are disclosed in advance. All conflicts of interest pertaining to a particular item must be disclosed and recorded in writing or in the minutes prior to a member voting on that item. As a general rule, a member is considered to have a disclosable conflict of interest if the matter under consideration would, if approved or denied, have a reasonably foreseeable impact on the member or his or her immediate family (parents, siblings, spouse, or children) that is unique or distinguishable from its effect on the public or neighborhood generally. Each member has the responsibility to determine if they have a conflict of interest on any particular matter, and the appearance of impropriety can be avoided through proper disclosure.

**Section 6-A:** Any member may chose to recuse themselves from considering an item or abstain from a vote, with or without explanation. Recusal means to voluntarily refrain from participating in both the discussion and voting on an item. Abstention means to voluntarily refrain from voting. Recused Councilmembers not replaced by their alternates will not count for purposes of determining a quorum.

#### Article VI. General

**Section 1:** In all matters and things not otherwise provided for herein, the proceedings of the Council shall be governed according to "Robert's Rules of Order, 1990 + Revision".

**Section 2:** Any person or issue that needs correspondence requires that the responsible party submit a handwritten or typed draft to the council for approval. If the Council has authorized the Chair to conduct correspondence on its behalf, the substance of the correspondence must substantially conform with the motion approving such correspondence.

**Section 3:** Motions may only be offered by representatives or alternates when acting for a representative. Each motion must have a second to be voted on.

**Section 4:** An agenda shall be provided to each member prior to each meeting. To expedite the business of the council it shall be adhered to. A public comment or open forum section shall be included on the agenda. At this time the public is invited to comment as well as area representatives that have non-agenda issues to bring forth.

On occasion, an item will come before the board, which will be time sensitive and not have the benefit of the public's comment. Should the board deem action on such an item would be of extreme benefit to the council and or community it can be designated "extraordinary". An "extraordinary" designation would allow the item to be voted on and acted on in the same meeting as presented. To become an "extraordinary" agenda item it must be voted on and pass with a 2/3 vote. It can then be placed on the agenda as an action item.

**Section 5:** Amendments or and revisions to these bylaws proposed by the Bylaws Committee require a majority vote at their first reading. At the next regularly scheduled meeting they require a two thirds majority vote by the council to be incorporated into the bylaws. All bylaw changes are to be incorporated into the appropriate sections and sequence where they are applicable.

#### **Article VII. Written Description of the SMAAC Areas of Representation**

**Garden Farms:** Includes all of Garden Farms and Margarita Farms. Boundaries are Margarita Road on the north, El Camino Real on the east, and Santa Margarita Ranch on the south and west.

**Highway 58/West Pozo Road:** Includes State Highway 58 in a westerly direction from the junction of State Highway 229 to the Santa Margarita Village Reserve Line and West Pozo Road from the junction of State Highway 58 to the Las Pilitas Road junction and all ancillary roads.

**Las Pilitas Road:** All of Las Pilitas Road including ancillary roads.

**Parkhill Road:** Includes all of Parkhill Road and all ancillary roads beginning at the State Highway 58 junction in an easterly direction to the Pozo Road junction, excluding Huerhuero Road and River Road.

**Pozo:** Pozo Road and all ancillary roads from the summit of Rinconada Grade in an easterly direction to the Parkhill Road junction, and then east to Pozo Summit and south to the Avenales Ranch gate, including all of River Road.

**Rinconada:** Pozo Road from the Las Pilitas Road junction in an easterly direction to the summit of the Rinconada Grade, including Santa Margarita Lake Road and all ancillary roads.

**Santa Margarita:** All of Santa Margarita within the village reserve line.

**South Atascadero:** Encompasses the area within the boundaries of Santa Barbara Road on the north, the Salinas River on the east, Santa Margarita Road on the south, and U.S. Highway 101 on the west.

**Tassajara Canyon:** Includes all of Tassajara Creek Road and all ancillary roads and all properties along the east side of U.S. Highway 101 from the State Highway 58 junction on the north to the Cuesta Grade summit on the south, and all properties along the west side of U.S. Highway 101 from the boundary of the Eagle Ranch on the north to the Cuesta Grade summit on the south to the Cuesta Ridge on the west, including Spanish Oaks Ranch.